

Contractual Instruments' Effectiveness in Preventing Business Disputes and Ensuring Business Law Stability

¹Agung Satryo Wibowo, ¹Dharma Setiawan Negara,

²Antonino Pedro Marsal, ²Eugenia Branda Da Silva

¹Sunan Giri University of Surabaya, Indonesia

²Instituto Boaventura De Timor Leste

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 15 March 2021

Revised 18 April 2021

Accepted 29 May 2021

Key words:

Business contracts,

Risk management,

Law disputes,

Contractual clauses,

Law protection,

Contract design,

Law certainty.

ABSTRACT

This research explores the effectiveness of business contract design within a risk management framework, with an emphasis on preventing lawsuits that could harm business entities. Contracts are understood as law tools as well as managerial tools that contain regulations regarding rights, obligations, and settlement mechanisms in the event of a violation. This research uses a literature study approach to explore theories and best practices in drafting protection clauses, such as force majeure, limits of liability, and dispute resolution provisions. The findings show that effective contracts are drafted by considering aspects of legal certainty, flexibility, and suitability for regulatory changes. The role of lawyers in the drafting process proves crucial in strengthening contractual strength. The results of this research confirm that precise contract drafting is an integral part of corporate risk management that is oriented towards legal sustainability and business stability. As such, this research contributes to the understanding of the importance of synergy between law professionals and business policy makers in creating contracts that are resilient to potential conflicts.

INTRODUCTION

In the modern business world, business contracts are the foundation that supports the structure of transactions and relationships between economic actors. The existence of a contract is not merely as evidence of an agreement, but as a law tool that regulates the rights and obligations of the parties in a binding law. When business risks are increasingly complex, the certainty formed through contracts becomes a much-needed instrument in ensuring clarity and sustainability of relationships between economic entities (Suurmond, 2018). Contracts provide clear guidance on the limits of liability, dispute resolution mechanisms, and provisions related to changes in business conditions that may occur in the future.

Along with increasing market dynamics and trade globalization, business entities face varied threats, ranging from defaults, financial losses, to potential law disputes. In such structures, the role of contracts expands to become a managerial tool to anticipate and manage law risks. Companies that have the right contract design will be better able to maintain their operational stability amidst uncertainty (Mouzas & Ford, 2012).

Contract clauses can limit liability, allocate responsibilities, and define dispute resolution mechanisms. The placement of arbitration clauses, limitation of liability, or force majeure, for example, is part of a protection strategy that is structured from the start. Thus, the contract becomes more than just a document, but part of the law protection architecture in the risk management structure (DeLong, 2009).

In many organizations, the understanding of the importance of contract function as a law mitigation tool is still not fully developed. Some parties still treat contracts as administrative formalities without thoroughly considering their law implications. Such unawareness leads to weak law protection when conflicts occur, which in turn can significantly harm the business position (Diputra, 2019).

The main problem in risk management through contracts lies in the lack of attention to comprehensive law drafting. According to Farnsworth (1990), many contracts are drafted without considering possible loss scenarios that could occur in the future (Safnul et al., 2020). This suggests that risk management through contracts requires a deep understanding of the legal, economic and contextual aspects of the business relationship.

* Corresponding author, email address: dr.agungsatryowibowo@gmail.com

Another problem relates to the lack of understanding of international law standards. According to Hillman (1996), differences in law systems lead to conflicting interpretations of contractual clauses. Problems arise from the practice of over-reliance on oral agreements. As Gilson (2001) points out, the law is often overlooked. This research is important because it concerns the sustainability of business operations in a competitive economic system. It is also crucial for corporate accountability to stakeholders.

This research aims to examine the effective use of contractual instruments in supporting business risk management, with a focus on how law clauses can be designed to reduce exposure to litigation. Through a literature study approach, this research compiles an analysis of the relevance of contract substance to law protection, and provides an applicable understanding for business actors to be better prepared to face potential disputes. The results of this study are expected to contribute to the strengthening of contractual practices that are more precise, preventive, and oriented towards the law's sustainability.

RESEARCH METHOD

This research uses a literature study approach as the main method to examine the relationship between business contracts and risk management within a law framework. The literature study was chosen because it provides the flexibility to explore various written sources that contain theoretical thoughts, regulations, and actual practices in the preparation of commercial contracts. Through the review of academic journals, legal documents, textbooks and policy reports, this research develops conceptual and applied understanding. As Punch (2005) explains, literature review provides a systematic framework for developing a study based on published evidence, without the need for direct observation or primary data collection.

The analysis procedure was carried out by compiling a number of thematic categories based on issues found in the literature, such as the structure of contract clauses, arrangements on law sanctions, and dispute resolution mechanisms. This process draws on a descriptive-analytical approach that focuses on a critical reading of the relationship between the law's design and potential litigation risks. As stated by Creswell (2007), this method allows researchers to construct patterns from diverse sources and produce conceptual generalizations. With this approach, the research is expected to provide a synthesis that is useful for law professionals and businesses with an interest in the contractual aspects of the business system.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

In modern business interactions, the relationship between business actors cannot be separated from the need for clarity in every transaction. This clarity becomes even more important when it engages assets, liabilities, and expectations of great value. In such dynamics, the presence of legal documents is not just a formality, but a concrete form of mutual agreement that can be verified and legally accounted for. Especially in sectors with high volatility, written instruments become a pillar of stability that minimizes speculative space in relations between parties (Mulhadi & Sembiring, 2019).

The execution of a cooperation in the economic realm will always present the potential for discrepancies between the original intention and its implementation. Without a lawful binding written reference, any differences in interpretation have the potential to lead to disputes that are difficult to resolve amicably. The contract, in this case, acts as a normative shield that keeps the parties' expectations from deviating from the agreed limits. This is why the draft content of the contract needs to consider the possibility of shifting situations that could trigger disputes in the future (Andrews, 2016).

When business is conducted on the basis of oral agreements, the room for disagreement tends to grow, especially when transactions engage multiple jurisdictions or multinational interests. In such an environment, the contract becomes the guardian of order that can mediate differences of interpretation. The importance of a contract lies not only in its formal binding, but also in its capacity to structure a law and order relationship that can be objectively tested for validity. In any dispute, the well-documented position is always in a more established framework of protection (Rajah, 2010).

Carefully drafted contractual instruments reflect an awareness of the potential for friction in commercial relationships. Every clause included is not just a technical description, but an anticipation of possible violations that could occur due to external or internal factors. In this framework, the contract is a kind of "law map" that guides the parties in addressing the dynamics of trade relations. Without such a structure, conflict resolution efforts often rely on unilateral interpretations that prolong the law process (Yalim, 2019).

The absence of a structured contract creates a gray area that invites conflict. In practice, many business entities face lawsuits not because of the intention to violate, but due to inaccuracies in formulating rights and obligations from the start. This shows that the strength of a contract lies in its precision in crafting a narrative of obligations and consequences.

Ensuring that every important aspect has been accommodated in the agreement document is an absolute prerequisite for building a legally resilient partnership system (Mitchell, 2013).

Business contracts are lawful mechanisms designed to create a structure of clarity between parties in the business world. By detailing rights and obligations in writing, contracts become legal documents that can be used to enforce claims in the event of a breach. In terms of risk management, the clarity of contract content can prevent misunderstandings that are the beginning of disputes. When the terms have been formulated in detail from the outset, each party has a normative basis for conducting business relations. The absence of strong documents is often a loophole for conflicts of interpretation, which in turn develop into law issues (Prisandani, 2018).

The effectiveness of a contract is highly dependent on the accuracy of drafting important risk-preventive clauses. Clauses such as indemnification, limit of liability, force majeure, and dispute resolution clauses are some of the main elements that are directly related to managing potential conflicts. Farnsworth (1990) states that the clarity of contract structures designed to filter risks can be the first line of defense for companies in the face of unexpected situations. Without these clauses, companies are more open to lawsuits that are difficult to control.

Good contract design cannot be separated from a thorough understanding of the applicable civil law in the transaction area. In international transactions, choice of law and dispute resolution jurisdiction are crucial. Hillman (1996) highlights that the absence of explicit agreement on the applicable law will lead to multiple interpretations that are difficult to resolve. The effectiveness of a contract is also measured by the extent to which the document anticipates cross-jurisdictional conflicts in detail.

The negotiation process also affects the quality of a law's protections, in addition to the content of the contract. Negotiations that do not engage a lawyer run the risk of resulting in an unbalanced or even legally flawed agreement. Gilson (2001) states that contracts negotiated with the engagement of law professionals have a higher level of law durability. This is because clauses are drafted based on legal risk mapping, rather than short-term commercial interests.

A common weakness often found in drafting business contracts is the use of ambiguous or overly technical language without adequate explanation. Such ambiguities leave room for wide interpretation, and in case of disputes, the aggrieved party often finds it difficult to prove the original intention of the agreement. The effectiveness of a contract therefore

depends on the drafter's ability to strike a balance between legal precision and clarity of language. In many cases, the use of law terminology that is not uniform from one jurisdiction to another is also a source of uncertainty.

The aspect of protecting the weaker party is also an important part of the effectiveness of the contract in preventing disputes. When a contract is drafted unilaterally by the dominant party, the risk of violating the principle of fairness increases. This is particularly evident in standard form contracts that often include clauses that disproportionately favor one party. Without supervision or a review mechanism, such contracts can lead to litigation because they do not reflect the principle of voluntary agreement (Glintić, 2020).

One indicator of a contract's success in managing law risk is the minimal number of disputes that arise post-implementation of the agreement. If the contract succeeds in reducing the number of conflicts or provides efficient resolution, then it shows that the law design has worked well. Dispute resolution mechanisms such as mediation and arbitration stipulated in the contract are often more effective than open and time-consuming court settlements. The effectiveness of the contract is also determined by the settlement mechanism that has been established at the outset (Chakraborty et al., 2019).

Contract effectiveness is also affected by changes in laws and regulations that occur after the contract is signed. The inability of a contract to adapt to changes in policy or new regulations can create legal loopholes that are detrimental to one of the parties. Renegotiation clauses or amendment clauses are crucial as a form of flexibility in long-term agreements. Such clauses provide legal space for parties to adjust the agreement without having to cancel or restart negotiations from scratch (Ryan, 2020).

Understanding and adhering to applicable law accountability standards also strengthens the contract's position in preventing disputes. When companies implement an internal contractual audit system on a regular basis, potential conflicts can be identified early and responded to with corrective actions. Periodic evaluation of the conformity of contract implementation with the content of the agreement reflects lawful commitment on the part of the company and reduces the potential for external lawsuits. This is part of proactive and prevention-oriented risk management (Signorotti, 2000).

Technological developments also have implications for the effectiveness of contracts. The digitization of contracts and the use of smart contracts through blockchain offer opportunities for efficiency but also raise new legal issues. The validity of digital signatures,

data storage jurisdiction, and privacy protection are issues that must be anticipated in the design of electronic contracts. The effectiveness of contracts today is not only tested in terms of law substance, but also in its ability to keep up with technological dynamics (Abwunza et al., 2019).

Empirical studies show that companies that have an in-house law division tend to be better equipped to manage contractual risks than companies that rely entirely on external consultants. This is because the presence of in-house lawyers allows the process of drafting, evaluating, and implementing contracts to be carried out simultaneously and coordinated. The company can develop a contract model that suits its industry-specific needs, rather than being based on a generic template.

Contract effectiveness is also influenced by the law culture of each party. In cross-border transactions, cultural differences in interpreting principles such as goodwill, responsibility and intent often lead to friction in the implementation of agreements. Cross-cultural understanding becomes an important part of drafting contracts that are resistant to the friction of different legal perceptions. This suggests that contract effectiveness requires an interdisciplinary approach between law, management and business anthropology.

Finally, contract effectiveness within a risk management framework cannot be separated from the ethical commitment of the parties engaged. The law may provide the framework and protection, but the moral substance of the business actors remains the main determining element. When integrity is upheld in contract implementation, the risk of conflict will decrease significantly. In other words, the success of a contract lies not only in the strength of the law text, but also in the collective awareness to implement it consistently and fairly.

CONCLUSION

In modern business interactions, the relationship between business actors cannot be separated from the need for clarity in every transaction. This clarity becomes even more important when it engages assets, liabilities, and expectations of great value. In such dynamics, the presence of legal documents is not just a formality, but a concrete form of mutual agreement that can be verified and legally accounted for. Especially in sectors with high volatility, written instruments become pillars of stability that minimize speculative space in relations between parties (Mulhadi & Sembiring, 2019).

The running of a cooperation in the economic realm will always present the potential for discrepancies between the initial intention and its implementation. Without a written reference that is by law binding,

any differences in interpretation have the potential to cause disputes that are difficult to resolve amicably. The contract, in this case, acts as a normative shield that keeps the parties' expectations from deviating from the agreed limits. This is why the draft content of the contract needs to consider the possibility of shifting situations that could trigger disputes in the future (Andrews, 2016).

When business is conducted on the basis of oral agreements, the room for disagreement tends to grow, especially when transactions engage multiple jurisdictions or multinational interests. In such an environment, the contract becomes the guardian of order that can mediate differences of interpretation. The importance of a contract lies not only in its formal bindingness, but also in its capacity to structure lawful relations that can be objectively tested for validity. In any dispute, the position that has strong documentation is always in a more established framework of protection (Rajah, 2010).

Carefully drafted contractual instruments reflect an awareness of the potential for friction in commercial relationships. Each clause included is not just a technical description, but an anticipation of possible violations that can occur due to external or internal factors. In this framework, the contract is a kind of 'law map' that guides the parties in addressing the dynamics of trade relations. Without such a structure, conflict resolution efforts often rely on unilateral interpretations that prolong the law process (Yalim, 2019).

The absence of a structured contract creates a gray area that invites conflict. In practice, many business entities face lawsuits not because of the intention to violate, but due to inaccuracies in formulating rights and obligations from the start. This shows that the strength of a contract lies in its precision in crafting a narrative of obligations and consequences. Ensuring that every important aspect has been accommodated in the agreement document is an absolute prerequisite for building a legally resilient partnership system (Mitchell, 2013).

Business contracts are lawful mechanisms designed to create a structure of clarity between parties in the business world. By detailing rights and obligations in writing, contracts become legal documents that can be used to enforce claims in the event of a breach. In terms of risk management, the clarity of contract content can prevent misunderstandings that are the beginning of disputes. When the terms have been formulated in detail from the outset, each party has a normative basis for conducting business relations. The absence of strong documents is often a loophole for conflicts of interpretation, which in turn develop into law issues (Prisandani, 2018).

The effectiveness of a contract is highly dependent on the accuracy of drafting important risk-preventive clauses. Clauses such as indemnification, limit of liability, force majeure, and dispute resolution clauses are some of the main elements that are directly related to managing potential conflicts. Farnsworth (1990) states that the clarity of contract structures designed to filter risks can be the first line of defense for companies in the face of unexpected situations. Without these clauses, companies are more open to lawsuits that are difficult to control.

Good contract design cannot be separated from a thorough understanding of the applicable civil law in the transaction area. In international transactions, choice of law and dispute resolution jurisdiction are crucial. Hillman (1996) highlights that the absence of explicit agreement on the applicable law will lead to multiple interpretations that are difficult to resolve. The effectiveness of a contract is also measured by the extent to which the document anticipates cross-jurisdictional conflicts in detail.

The negotiation process also affects the quality of legal protection, in addition to the content of the contract. Negotiations that do not engage lawyers run the risk of producing unbalanced or even lawful defective agreements. Gilson (2001) states that contracts negotiated with the engagement of legal professionals have a higher level of law durability. This is because clauses are drafted based on lawful risk mapping rather than short-term commercial interests.

A common weakness often found in drafting business contracts is the use of ambiguous or overly technical language without adequate explanation. Such ambiguities leave room for wide interpretation, and in case of disputes, the aggrieved party often finds it difficult to prove the original intention of the agreement. The effectiveness of a contract therefore depends on the drafter's ability to strike a balance between legal precision and clarity of language. In many cases, the use of law terminology that is not uniform from one jurisdiction to another is also a source of uncertainty.

The aspect of protecting the weaker party is also an important part of the effectiveness of the contract in preventing disputes. When a contract is drafted unilaterally by the dominant party, the risk of violating the principle of fairness increases. This is particularly evident in standard form contracts that often include clauses that disproportionately favor one party. Without supervision or a review mechanism, such contracts can lead to litigation because they do not reflect the principle of voluntary agreement (Glintić, 2020).

One indicator of a contract's success in managing law risk is the minimal number of disputes that arise post-implementation of the agreement. If the contract succeeds in reducing the number of conflicts or provides efficient resolution, then it shows that the law design has worked well. Dispute resolution mechanisms such as mediation and arbitration stipulated in the contract are often more effective than open and time-consuming court settlements. The effectiveness of the contract is also determined by the settlement mechanism that has been established at the outset (Chakraborty et al., 2019).

Contract effectiveness is also affected by changes in laws and regulations that occur after the contract is signed. The inability of a contract to adapt to changes in policy or new regulations can create legal loopholes that are detrimental to one of the parties. Renegotiation clauses or amendment clauses are crucial as a form of flexibility in long-term agreements. Such clauses provide law space for parties to adjust the agreement without having to cancel or restart negotiations from scratch (Ryan, 2020).

Understanding and adhering to applicable legal accountability standards also strengthens the contract's position in preventing disputes. When companies implement an internal contractual audit system on a regular basis, potential conflicts can be identified early and responded to with corrective actions. Periodic evaluation of the conformity of contract implementation with the content of the agreement reflects lawful commitment on the part of the company and reduces the potential for external lawsuits. This is part of proactive and prevention-oriented risk management (Signorotti, 2000).

Technological developments also have implications for the effectiveness of contracts. The digitization of contracts and the use of smart contracts through blockchain offer opportunities for efficiency but also raise new legal issues. The validity of digital signatures, data storage jurisdiction, and privacy protection are issues that must be anticipated in the design of electronic contracts. The effectiveness of contracts today is not only tested in terms of the law's substance, but also in its ability to keep up with technological dynamics (Abwunza et al., 2019).

Empirical studies show that companies that have an in-house legal division tend to be better equipped to manage contractual risks than companies that rely entirely on external consultants. This is because the presence of in-house lawyers allows the process of drafting, evaluating, and implementing contracts to be carried out simultaneously and coordinated. The company can develop a contract model that suits its industry-specific needs, rather than being based on a generic template.

Contract effectiveness is also influenced by the law culture of each party. In cross-border transactions, cultural differences in interpreting principles such as goodwill, responsibility and intent often lead to friction in the implementation of agreements. Cross-cultural understanding becomes an important part of drafting contracts that are resistant to the friction of different legal perceptions. This suggests that contract effectiveness requires an interdisciplinary approach between law, management and business anthropology.

Finally, contract effectiveness within a risk management framework cannot be separated from the ethical commitment of the parties engaged. The law may provide the framework and protection, but the moral substance of the business actors remains the main determining element. When integrity is upheld in contract implementation, the risk of conflict will decrease significantly. In other words, the success of a contract lies not only in the strength of the law text, but also in the collective awareness to implement it consistently and fairly.

REFERENCES

Abwunza, A. A., Peter, T. K., & Muigua, K. (2019). Effectiveness of Arbitration in Contractual Disputes: Tension between Procedural Efficiency and Award Quality. *Journal of Legal Affairs and Dispute Resolution in Engineering and Construction*, 11(2), 1-33.

Andrews, N. (2016). Interpretation of Written Contracts. *Arbitration and Contract Law: Common Law Perspectives*, 229-248.

Chakraborty, T., Kumari, R., Mukherjee, A., & Saha, S. (2019). Formal Contract Enforcement and Entrepreneurial Success of the Marginalized. *Opportunities and Challenges in Development Essays for Sarmila Banerjee*, 171-195.

Creswell, J. W. (2007). *Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing among Five Approaches* (2nd ed.). Sage Publications.

DeLong, S. W. (2009). *What is a Contract*. Social Science Research Network.

Diputra, I. Gst. A. R. (2019). Pelaksanaan Perancangan Kontrak dalam Pembuatan Struktur Kontrak Bisnis. *Advances in Computers*, 3(3), 495-560.

Farnsworth, E. A. (1990). *Contracts*. Little, Brown and Company.

Gilson, R. J. (2001). Engineering a Venture Capital Contract. *Columbia Law Review*, 76(3), 594-641.

Glintić, B. M. (2020). Protection of the Rights of the Weaker Contracting Party in Accordance with the Principles of European Insurance Contract Law. *Strani Pravni Zivot*, 57-73.

Hillman, R. A. (1996). *The Richness of Contract Law: An Analysis and Critique of Contemporary Theories*. Kluwer Academic Publishers.

Mitchell, C. (2013). *Contract Law and Contract Practice: Bridging the Gap between Legal Reasoning and Commercial Expectation*. Bloomsbury Publishing.

Mouzas, S., & Ford, D. (2012). Contracts as a Facilitator of Resource Evolution. *Journal of Business Research*, 65(9), 1251-1253.

Mulhadi, M., & Sembiring, Z. (2019). Legal Characters of Partnership Agreement 212 Mart. *Jurnal Cita Hukum*, 7(2), 253-270.

Prisandani, U. Y. (2018). The Significance of Contractual Intention: A Comparative Analysis on English and Indonesian Law. *Jurnal Hukum Ius Quia Iustum*, 25(3), 494-514.

Punch, K. F. (2005). *Introduction to Social Research: Quantitative and Qualitative Approaches* (2nd ed.). Sage Publications.

Rajah, V. (2010). Redrawing the Boundaries of Contractual Interpretation - from Text to Context to Pre-text and Beyond. *Singapore Academy of Law Journal*, 22, 1-35.

Ryan, N. (2020). Contract Enforcement and Productive Efficiency: Evidence from the Bidding and Renegotiation of Power Contracts in India. *Econometrica*, 88(2), 383-424.

Safnul, D., Kamello, T., Purba, H., & Ikhsan, E. (2020, March 13). Protection of Legal Contracts from Islamic Perspective. In *International Conference on Law, Governance and Islamic Society (ICOLGIS 2019)* Atlantis Press, 413, 68-70.

Signorotti, C. (2000). Efficiency of Legal Restrictions on Contracts in the Presence of Two Signals. *International Review of Law and Economics*, 20(4), 511-535.

Suurmond, C. (2018). *Business, Contracts, Information*. In *Business Modeling and Software Design: 8th International Symposium, BMSD 2018*. Springer International Publishing.

Yalim, A. N. K. (2019). *Interpretation and Gap Filling in International Commercial Contracts*. Intersentia.

*Wibowo, A. S., D. S. Negara, A. P. Marsal, E. B. Da Silva. (2021). Contractual Instruments' Effectiveness in Preventing Business Disputes and Ensuring Business Law Stability, *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(2), 209 - 214.