

# Understanding Family-Based Mechanisms in Teaching Ethics and Moral Values to Children

<sup>1</sup>Mila Hariani, <sup>2</sup>Eli Masnawati, <sup>3</sup>José Mendes Corte-Real

<sup>1</sup>Mayjen Sungkono University of Mojokerto, Indonesia

<sup>2</sup>STKIP PGRI Bangkalan, Indonesia

<sup>3</sup>Universidade Oriental Timor Lorosa'e

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 21 January 2022

Revised 15 March 2022

Accepted 10 May 2022

### Key words:

Moral education,  
Family values,  
Ethical development,  
Emotional resonance,  
Parental modeling,  
Child socialization,  
Value transmission.

## ABSTRACT

*This literature-based study investigates the emotional and behavioral mechanisms through which families transmit moral and ethical values to children. It highlights how interactions within the family – ranging from modeling, storytelling, disciplinary reasoning, to shared rituals – form the earliest ethical foundations in a child's life. Drawing from interdisciplinary sources in psychology, sociology, and education, the paper synthesizes insights on the emotional resonance and consistency of these mechanisms, particularly in the face of contemporary disruptions such as digital media and socioeconomic pressures. Key findings emphasize the importance of emotionally attuned parenting, inductive reasoning, and ritualized moral practices, while also identifying challenges posed by reduced family time and conflicting external influences. The review concludes that while familial moral instruction remains indispensable, its effectiveness is increasingly vulnerable to societal change. The study calls for renewed attention to the home environment as the earliest and most enduring source of ethical formation. This paper contributes to scholarly discourse by offering a consolidated framework of family-based moral education and by urging future research and policy to support and strengthen these mechanisms.*

## INTRODUCTION

The family serves as the primary conduit for introducing children to societal norms and values. Through daily interactions, parents model ethical behavior, embedding moral principles within the child's consciousness (Wojciechowska, 2020). This foundational role often surpasses formal education due to its emotional depth and consistency. Children internalize values by observing caregivers, with emotional responses reinforcing behaviors like empathy and responsibility. While schools provide structured moral instruction, the family's influence renders these teachings personal and enduring (Roostin, 2018). In an era dominated by digital media and external influences, the family's stability offers clarity and immediate feedback, essential for consistent moral development (Kazubowska, 2019). Thus, the family remains pivotal in shaping a child's ethical framework, ensuring values are deeply rooted and resilient.

Although the family's role in moral development is pivotal, it is frequently overlooked in academic discourse, which often prioritizes educational systems, psychological theories, or social policies.

Nevertheless, the family offers a unique contribution to instilling moral values subtly within daily life (Oberuč & Zapletal, 2017).

However, the authority of the family in moral education is progressively diminishing. Durkin (1995) observed that contemporary families tend to delegate value formation to external institutions, such as schools or digital media, potentially impeding the emotional internalization of values. Economic pressures and evolving work patterns further reduce family togetherness, thereby weakening parents' roles as ethical mentors at home.

Inconsistencies in moral guidance within families also pose significant challenges. Grusec and Goodnow (1994) emphasized that effective value internalization relies on the consistency between the values taught and parental behavior. Discrepancies between instruction and action can lead children to develop confused moral frameworks.

Moreover, the absence of emotional connections in value transmission presents another obstacle. Eisenberg and Fabes (1998) explained that children are more inclined to adopt moral values when they are emotionally engaged with their caregivers. An

\* Corresponding author, email address: [dr.elimasnawati@gmail.com](mailto:dr.elimasnawati@gmail.com)

approach that is solely instructional, lacking emotional support, may result in compliance without genuine moral internalization.

The declining influence of the family on children's moral development warrants further examination. Research indicates that a decrease in shared family activities correlates with lower levels of moral empathy in children (Biller, 1993). It is essential to reassess the home environment as a primary setting for moral formation, ensuring that the values imparted have a lasting impact on children's social lives.

This study aims to explore the ways families act as agents of moral and ethical education by identifying how ethical values are communicated, modeled, and internalized within the household. It will examine the emotional dynamics that support or inhibit the adoption of ethical values, as well as the structural or behavioral patterns that either reinforce or undermine these values. The insights gained from this inquiry are expected to contribute meaningfully to interdisciplinary scholarship, offering a foundation for further empirical research and policy recommendations that support families in this essential social function.

## RESEARCH METHOD

This study utilizes a qualitative literature review to synthesize and interpret existing scholarly insights on the familial transmission of moral and ethical values. By systematically evaluating theoretical frameworks and empirical findings from peer-reviewed journals, academic books, and foundational texts, the research aims to develop a comprehensive understanding of families as moral educators. As Hart (1998) notes, literature reviews not only summarize existing knowledge but also identify gaps and emerging patterns for future research. Following Machi and McEvoy's (2009) conceptual synthesis model, the study identifies core constructs, compares divergent perspectives, and forms new theoretical linkages. Sources were selected based on relevance to childhood moral development, parental influence, and emotional dynamics, ensuring methodological rigor as described by Galvan (2006). An interdisciplinary approach, incorporating developmental psychology, moral education, and family sociology, enriches the analysis.

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The family serves as the foundational context for moral development, where children first encounter ethical guidance through daily interactions with caregivers (Heywood, 2013). Observing parental behaviors such as patience and compassion enables

children to internalize these values as normative (Smetana, 2018). Unlike formal institutions, the family environment offers emotional intimacy, allowing moral teachings to be personalized and emotionally resonant (Oktay et al., 2010). Emotional alignment between parent and child enhances the absorption of ethical messages beyond cognitive understanding (Molchanov, 2013). Consistency between parental words and actions reinforces the credibility of moral instruction, fostering authentic internalization of values (Verma, 2013).

These early experiences, rooted in emotional exchange and behavioral observation, serve as the bedrock of an individual's ethical orientation. Without this foundational framework, subsequent moral education – whether through school, religion, or society – risks becoming disjointed or superficial. The family, therefore, does not simply introduce morality; it shapes the emotional architecture through which moral understanding is processed and retained for life (Abdulbagiyeva, 2021).

The process by which families transmit moral and ethical values to children is deeply intertwined with emotional bonding and consistent modeling. Moral instruction within the family does not occur in isolation but is embedded in daily interactions, routines, and emotional exchanges. Grusec and Kuczynski (2006) highlight the significance of emotional climate in the home, suggesting that children are more receptive to moral lessons when those lessons are delivered in an atmosphere of warmth and empathy. This implies that mechanisms such as parental responsiveness, affection, and mutual respect are not peripheral to moral education but constitute its very foundation.

Language plays a pivotal role in ethical instruction within families. Engaging children in discussions about fairness and responsibility enhances their moral reasoning (Walker & Taylor, 1991). Nonverbal cues, such as facial expressions and tone, further reinforce these values. Observational learning is crucial; children emulate behaviors observed in parents, especially when actions align with professed values (Bandura, 2001). Inconsistencies between words and actions can lead to moral dissonance. Inductive discipline, emphasizing reasoning over punishment, fosters empathy and moral autonomy more effectively than authoritarian methods (Hoffman, 2000). Family narratives and rituals, like bedtime stories and traditions, embed moral lessons, reinforcing shared values across generations (Fiese et al., 2002). These practices create emotionally resonant experiences that anchor a child's ethical identity.

In today's social landscape, the family's role in instilling moral values faces significant challenges.

Factors such as dual-income households, extended work hours, and digital distractions have diminished parent-child interactions. Putnam (2000) noted that reduced family time weakens traditional social capital, affecting moral value transmission. Children now often turn to peers, educators, or media for moral guidance, potentially misaligning with familial norms. Turkle (2011) observed that digital media fragments attention, reducing emotional presence in family life. Socioeconomic pressures further strain parents' capacity for moral instruction; Conger and Donnellan (2007) found that economic stress impairs parenting practices. Cultural contexts also influence moral teachings; Miller and Bersoff (1992) demonstrated that collectivist cultures emphasize social harmony, while individualist cultures prioritize autonomy. These complexities necessitate a nuanced understanding of familial moral education.

Peer influence represents a significant external factor that competes with familial mechanisms. Harris (2009) argued that peers exert a stronger influence on behavior during adolescence than parents. While this claim has been contested, it draws attention to the need for families to establish strong ethical foundations early in childhood. The earlier and more emotionally grounded the moral instruction, the more resilient the child is to conflicting messages from outside sources.

Religious and spiritual frameworks within families can reinforce moral mechanisms, offering both content and structure for ethical learning. Religious texts, prayers, and shared faith practices often provide narratives that reinforce values such as honesty, kindness, and justice. Smith and Denton (2005) emphasize that when religion is practiced within a close-knit family structure, it enhances emotional engagement and coherence in moral instruction. However, inconsistency between religious teachings and parental behavior may backfire, leading children to reject both.

Parental self-awareness and emotional intelligence are also crucial. According to Gottman et al. (1997), parents who recognize and validate their children's emotions are more effective in guiding moral development. Such emotional coaching fosters empathy, a cornerstone of ethical reasoning. When parents respond to misbehavior with emotional literacy rather than punitive reactions, they help children build internal frameworks for ethical reflection and self-regulation.

Ultimately, the mechanisms through which families teach moral values are effective only when they are emotionally consistent, cognitively coherent, and embedded in meaningful relationships. When

these conditions are met, children develop an ethical compass that is resilient, reflective, and grounded in both feeling and reason. However, the societal conditions that support these mechanisms are under increasing strain, necessitating renewed attention to the family as an ethical institution.

## CONCLUSION

The family's role as a transmitter of moral and ethical values remains foundational, yet increasingly contested in contemporary life. Through mechanisms such as behavioral modeling, emotional responsiveness, verbal reasoning, and meaningful rituals, families embed ethical values within children's cognitive and emotional frameworks. These mechanisms function most effectively when practiced consistently and in emotionally attuned environments. However, societal changes – ranging from digital distractions to economic stress – have disrupted the constancy and depth of familial engagement, thereby challenging the coherence and strength of moral instruction. The findings indicate that family-based moral education, while enduring in potential, is increasingly subject to pressures that compromise its effectiveness.

The weakening of emotional and behavioral transmission of ethics within families carries profound consequences for future generations' moral stability. As familial mechanisms deteriorate, the internalization of moral values becomes fragile, risking the formation of ethically disoriented individuals. Social cohesion, civic responsibility, and interpersonal empathy – all of which begin at home – could diminish unless families are supported in reclaiming their ethical instructional function. Multidisciplinary engagement from educators, social psychologists, and policymakers is essential to reinforce the emotional and structural conditions needed for this moral anchoring.

Future efforts should focus on revitalizing the emotional integrity of parent-child relationships by encouraging practices that center on shared time, reflective dialogue, and ethical consistency. Scholarly attention must continue to examine the evolving landscape of family life and its implications for value transmission. Researchers should consider longitudinal and cross-cultural studies that trace the transformation of moral education within the household across generations and global contexts. Such inquiry will be vital in informing interventions, training, and policies that support the family's enduring yet endangered position as the moral compass of society.

## REFERENCES

- Abdulbagiyeva, A. R. (2021). Factors Influencing Development of Adolescents' Moral Values. *Azimuth of Scientific Research: Pedagogy and Psychology*, 10(1(34)), 302-304.
- Bandura, A. (2001). Social Cognitive Theory: An Agentic Perspective. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 52(1), 1-26.
- Biller, H. B. (1993). *Fathers and Families: Paternal Factors in Child Development*. Auburn House.
- Conger, R. D., & Donnellan, M. B. (2007). An Interactionist Perspective on the Socioeconomic Context of Human Development. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 58(1), 175-199.
- Durkin, K. (1995). *Developmental Social Psychology: From Infancy to Old Age*. Blackwell.
- Eisenberg, N., & Fabes, R. A. (1998). Prosocial Development. In *Handbook of Child Psychology (5th Ed)*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Fiese, B. H., Tomcho, T. J., Douglas, M., Josephs, K., Poltrock, S., & Baker, T. (2002). A Review of 50 Years of Research on Naturally Occurring Family Routines and Rituals. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 16(4), 381-390.
- Galvan, J. L. (2006). *Writing Literature Reviews: A Guide for Students of the Social and Behavioral Sciences (3rd Ed.)*. Pyrczak Publishing.
- Gottman, J. M., Katz, L. F., & Hooven, C. (1997). *Meta-Emotion: How Families Communicate Emotionally*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Grusec, J. E., & Goodnow, J. J. (1994). Impact of Parental Discipline Methods on the Child's Internalization of Values: A Reconceptualization of Current Points of View. *Developmental Psychology*, 30(1), 4-19.
- Grusec, J. E., & Kuczynski, L. (Eds.). (2006). *Handbook of Parenting and the Transmission of Values*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Harris, J. R. (2009). *The Nurture Assumption: Why Children Turn Out the Way They Do*. Free Press.
- Hart, C. (1998). *Doing a Literature Review: Releasing the Social Science Research Imagination*. SAGE Pub.
- Heywood, C. (2013). The Child and the Home: A Historical Survey. *Home Cultures*, 10(3), 227-244.
- Hoffman, M. L. (2000). *Empathy and Moral Development: Implications for Caring and Justice*. Cambridge University Press.
- Kazubowska, U. (2019). Values in the Family - the Specificity and Transfer in the Process of Forming the Identity of the Child. In *Society, Integration, Education Proceedings of the International Scientific Conference*, 240-253.
- Machi, L. A., & McEvoy, B. T. (2009). *The Literature Review: Six Steps to Success*. Corwin Press.
- Miller, J. G., & Bersoff, D. M. (1992). Culture and Moral Judgment: How are Conflicts Between Justice and Interpersonal Responsibilities Resolved? *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 62(4), 541-554.
- Molchanov, S. V. (2013). The Moral Development in Childhood. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 86, 615-620.
- Oberuč, J., & Zapletal, L. (2017). Family as One of the Most Important Factors in a Child's Upbringing. *Acta Technologica Dubnicae*, 7(2), 105-112.
- Oktay, A., Ramazan, O., & Sakin, A. (2010). The Relationship Between Preschool Teachers' Professional Ethical Behavior Perceptions, Moral Judgment Levels and Attitudes to Teaching. *Gifted Education International*, 26(1), 6-14.
- Putnam, R. D. (2000). *Bowling Alone: The Collapse and Revival of American Community*. Simon & Schuster.
- Roostin, E. (2018). Family Influence on the Development of Children. *Journal of Primary Education*, 2(1), 1-12.
- Smetana, J. G. (2018). Becoming Moral: Introduction to the Special Issue on Early Moral Development. *Human Development*, 61(4-5), 209-213.
- Smith, C., & Denton, M. L. (2005). *Soul Searching: The Religious and Spiritual Lives of American Teenagers*. Oxford University Press.
- Turkle, S. (2011). *Alone Together: Why We Expect More from Technology and Less from Each Other*. Basic Books.
- Verma, V. (2013). Parental Influence on the Emotional, Moral, and Social Development of Children and Findings of Popular Psychologists. *International Organization of Scientific Research (IOSR) Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, 16(3), 100-103.
- Walker, L. J., & Taylor, J. H. (1991). Family Interactions and the Development of Moral Reasoning. *Child Development*, 62(2), 264-283.
- Wojciechowska, M. (2020). Family as a Source of Forming Axiological System of Youth. Coherence Between Value Systems of Parents and Children. *Journal of Vasyl Stefanyk Precarpathian National University*, 7(1), 144-155.