

Integrating Diversity and Sustainability in Organizations: How to Impact Performance, Corporate Competitiveness, and the Creation of Inclusive Work Environments

¹Rahayu Mardikaningsih, ²Mila Hariani

¹Sunan Giri University of Surabaya, Indonesia

²Mayjen Sungkono University of Mojokerto, Indonesia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 27 February 2022

Revised 18 April 2022

Accepted 23 June 2022

Key words:

Diversity,
Sustainability,
Inclusivity,
Policy,
Competitiveness,
Performance,
Evaluation.

ABSTRACT

Diversity and sustainability are two interconnected concepts that play an important role in the long-term success of a corporate. The effective application of diversity principles in an organization can create an inclusive work environment and improve employee performance, which in turn has a positive impact on the corporate competitiveness in the global market. Integrating diversity with sustainability policies often faces internal challenges, such as resistance to cultural change and difficulty in measuring its impact. Some of these obstacles can reduce the effectiveness of the policy and affect the achievement of organizational goals. It is important for corporates to develop diversity policies that are measurable and evaluable, and to ensure that their implementation is reflected in daily practice. Using relevant performance indicators, such as employee satisfaction surveys and sustainability reports, corporates can monitor the impact of diversity policies on their sustainability goals. The advice for corporates is to focus more on continuous diversity education and training and to strengthen monitoring systems that enable objective assessments. With a more structured and transparent approach, corporates can ensure that their diversity policies improve organizational culture and make a significant contribution to their sustainability and competitiveness in the global marketplace.

INTRODUCTION

The phenomena of sustainability and diversity are increasingly becoming important topics in the organizational world, especially related to efforts to create an inclusive work environment. Sustainability is related to the management of natural resources, and involves social and economic dimensions that include equality and fairness in the workplace. Diversity encompasses various aspects, including race, gender, cultural background, sexual orientation, and age, which contribute to the creation of a more inclusive and respectful work environment (Shore et al., 2011). Organizations that can integrate the principle of diversity with sustainability efforts are expected to be able to create a culture that is more responsive to the needs of various groups in society (Rezaee, 2016). Managing sustainability and diversity can be the key to achieving the organization's long-term goals. This effort not only complies with environmental regulations but also creates healthy and balanced working conditions.

This all reflects a shift in the managerial paradigm that focuses on social values and inclusiveness. Many global corporates today are not only considering the aspect of profitability and are starting to recognize the importance of diversity to increase productivity and innovation. This paradigm encourages management to be more open to social issues and treat diversity not as an administrative obligation, but as a strategic asset that contributes significantly to the growth and competitiveness of the company in the global era. Diversity in the workplace provides a broader perspective in decision making and contributes to employee satisfaction and engagement (Gould et al., 2020). Diversity does not only include fulfilling social obligations but also a strategy to achieve better results with differences in background, experience, and perspectives. Sustainability and diversity are factors related to the corporate image and are closely related to long-term success in creating a healthy and fair workplace (Cillo et al., 2019).

* Corresponding author, email address: rahayunardikaningsih@gmail.com

Despite growing recognition of the importance of diversity, many organizations still face challenges in implementing effective diversity policies (Boehm et al., 2014). These challenges arise from a lack of in-depth understanding of how diversity can be integrated into an organization's sustainability strategy, as well as how to overcome obstacles that arise, such as discrimination and stereotyping (Morioka & Carvalho, 2016). It is important to take a deeper look at the relationship between sustainability and diversity to create an inclusive work environment, in order to provide clear guidance for organizations to manage social change in the workplace.

Despite increased awareness of the importance of diversity in organizations, many corporates still struggle to implement diversity policies effectively. One of the main problems faced is resistance from individuals or groups within organizations who may not fully accept diversity or seek to maintain the existing dominant culture. This can lead to the formation of subcultures within organizations that affect the level of inclusiveness and sustainability in the workplace. Research shows that an organization's unpreparedness to deal with this cultural change can hinder the achievement of desired diversity and inclusiveness goals (Cox, 1993).

The application of the principle of diversity often gets stuck in an approach that is more symbolic than substantive. Many organizations focus on achieving diversity statistics, such as race or gender, without integrating diversity into more in-depth decision-making and policy processes. This incomplete approach reduces the effectiveness of diversity programs because it does not pay attention to the deep cultural aspects, attitudes, and values in the organization. Research by Thomas (1990) reveals that diversity that is not properly managed can risk causing tension and conflict in the workplace, which is detrimental to the work environment and lowers organizational performance.

Sustainability in the social sphere and diversity also faces obstacles in its integration with corporate economic policies. Many organizations consider diversity to be a social responsibility only, one that is more related to corporate image, rather than part of a long-term strategy focused on sustainability. This results in a lack of commitment at the strategic level to create sustainable policies in terms of diversity, which in turn impacts the organization's long-term performance. Research by Lee et al. (2010) highlights that without a clear strategic commitment to diversity, corporates will find it difficult to measure the positive impact of diversity on their sustainability and performance.

The application of the principles of diversity and sustainability in organizations is an increasingly important issue to observe, given its impact on long-term performance and corporate reputation. Corporates that can effectively integrate diversity into their operational policies and practices have a greater opportunity to create an inclusive work environment, which in turn encourages innovation and productivity. Research shows that diversity can increase creativity and the ability to solve problems more effectively, which is an important factor for competitiveness in the global market (Cox, 1993). In addition, organizations that prioritize diversity can often attract and retain the best talent from various backgrounds, which will strengthen the foundation of their long-term sustainability. Understanding and studying the relationship between diversity and sustainability is essential to creating organizations that are successful in the short term and sustainable in the future.

This phenomenon must also be observed because the challenges faced by corporates in implementing diversity and sustainability policies often stem from a narrow understanding and resistance to cultural change. Although many organizations have adopted diversity policies, these practices are often not deep enough or not integrated with the overall strategic objectives of the corporate (Sabharwal, 2014). This results in the lack of sustainability in efforts to create an inclusive work environment, as well as hindering the organization's potential to achieve optimal results in the long-term (Thomas, 1990). Studying how diversity and sustainability can be applied more effectively in the workplace will provide important insights for corporates to design better policies and overcome existing obstacles, which in turn will support the development of a more inclusive and productive organizational culture.

The purpose of this study is to analyze the relationship between the application of the principles of diversity and sustainability in organizations and their impact on long-term performance and the competitiveness of corporates in the global market. This study aims to understand how the integration of these two principles can influence the results expected by corporates in the long-term. This study also aims to identify the obstacles faced by corporates in integrating diversity with sustainability policies effectively. An understanding of these obstacles is expected to provide insights into the factors that influence the achievement of corporate goals related to diversity and sustainability.

This study aims to examine how corporates can measure the impact of diversity policies on achieving organizational sustainability goals and creating an inclusive work environment. This study will contribute to designing more effective policies to create more inclusive and sustainable workplaces.

RESEARCH METHOD

The literature study approach in this research is used to explore a deeper understanding of the relationship between diversity and sustainability in organizations. A literature study allows researchers to analyze various sources of relevant theories and previous research findings, as well as to develop a framework to answer research questions. This method focuses on collecting and evaluating journal articles, books, and research reports related to organizational diversity, sustainability principles, and their impact on performance and the work environment. This is important to identify trends, challenges, and best practices that already exist in the literature on the topic (Bryman, 2016). This approach allows researchers to build a more solid framework of how diversity affects important aspects and how sustainability is integrated in organizational policies and practices to create a more inclusive and equitable workplace.

Literature studies also allow researchers to compare and contrast various views and results found by previous researchers regarding diversity and sustainability. For example, some studies show that well-managed diversity can improve innovation and organizational performance (Williams & O'Reilly, 1998), despite others show the challenge of strategically integrating diversity into corporate sustainability policies (Eisenbeiss et al., 2008). As such, the literature review provides a solid foundation for formulating hypotheses and provides a more comprehensive insight into the issues raised in this study. This understanding can help organizations design policies with social and environmental values in mind, and support their business objectives.

Through a literature study approach, researchers can explore relevant theories to underpin an understanding of diversity and sustainability in organizations. This approach allows researchers to see the development of these concepts over time and understand how they are applied in business practice. Through a critical analysis of various literature sources, researchers can draw conclusions about the relationship between diversity and sustainability in organizations and provide recommendations based on evidence found in the literature (Barrett & Mayson, 2008).

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The Relationship Between the Application of the Principles of Diversity and Sustainability and the Corporate Long-Term Performance

The application of the principles of diversity and sustainability in an organization has a close relationship and can have a significant impact on the long-term performance and competitiveness of a corporation in the global market. Diversity, in terms of culture, gender, race, and experience, can encourage innovation and creativity in an organization. Research shows that diverse teams are better able to face complex challenges and produce more creative solutions due to the complementary nature of various perspectives (Page, 2007). When diversity is applied in relation to sustainability, corporations can utilize the wealth of these perspectives to design more inclusive products and services, in accordance with the diverse needs of consumers in the global market. Sustainability-focused products and services can create added value for corporates in the long-term.

Sustainability involving environmental, social, and economic aspects also plays a role in ensuring long-term corporate success. These three aspects are interrelated and influence each other to create a sustainable business model and not just focus on financial gain. In a world that is increasingly concerned with social responsibility and environmental impact, corporates that apply the principles of sustainability tend to be more trusted by consumers and other stakeholders (Morioka & Carvalho, 2016). Sustainability not only includes ecological aspects but creates positive value for communities and the economy as a whole (Nuraini et al., 2021). This has a direct impact on the corporate's reputation, which further enhances partner trust, customer loyalty and brand image. Corporate that integrates the principles of diversity and sustainability will find it easier to adapt to rapid market changes and increasingly complex consumer demands (Elkington, 1997).

The influence of the principles of diversity and sustainability on long-term performance is also seen in increased employee engagement and better retention (Rezaee, 2016). Employees who feel valued in an inclusive work environment have a higher level of satisfaction and are more motivated to work productively. This leads to a lower turnover rate, which is an important factor in reducing recruitment and training costs. Employees who feel valued in the workplace are more committed to the organization's goals, which leads to increased productivity and corporate performance (Kossek et al., 2011).

Corporates that fail to manage diversity properly and do not prioritize sustainability risk losing competitiveness in the global market. In the context of globalization, corporates that do not adopt the principle of diversity will find it difficult to interact with markets that are diverse in terms of demographics and culture. Poor diversity in organizations can lead to internal tensions and employee dissatisfaction, which ultimately affects the quality of decisions made and the overall organizational performance (Harrison et al., 1998). The inability to utilize the various perspectives and experiences of employees from different backgrounds can lead to dissatisfaction, friction between teams, and even conflicts that disrupt productivity. Integrating diversity with sustainability strategies is crucial to maintain competitiveness in an increasingly competitive global market.

The effective application of diversity can also expand networks of business relationships and strategic partnerships, which in turn increases corporate access to international markets. A study by Kotter (2012) states that corporates with an inclusive culture are more likely to have an extensive network, which enables them to establish relationships more easily with business partners from various backgrounds. An inclusive culture creates an environment where collaboration and exchange of ideas occur more openly, allowing the organization to forge more solid and mutually beneficial relationships with various parties, both local and international. The ability to collaborate with partners from various cultures and perspectives benefits corporates in terms of access to new markets and diversification of products or services (Sabharwal, 2014).

Diversity combined with sustainability policies enables corporates to be more responsive to regulatory demands and social change (Cillo et al., 2019). In many countries, regulations relating to workforce diversity and corporate social responsibility are becoming stricter, and corporates that cannot meet these demands risk facing sanctions or losing market opportunities (Rangan et al., 2015). Corporates that incorporate both principles can be more flexible to respond to evolving regulations and norms, safeguard their reputation, and protect their position in the global marketplace. Compliance with evolving regulations and adapting to social norms that increasingly require corporates to act more ethically and responsibly, demonstrates that corporates are not only pursuing financial returns but are paying attention to the social and environmental impacts of their business. This increases public trust and the loyalty of consumers who are increasingly aware of sustainability and diversity issues.

Finally, the application of the principles of diversity and sustainability in organizations can strengthen corporate competitiveness by creating long-term value (Boehm et al., 2014). As public and consumer awareness of social and environmental issues increases, corporates that actively integrate diversity and sustainability into their business models tend to be more valued by consumers and stakeholders. Corporates that implement the principles of diversity and sustainability play a role in supporting the achievement of sustainable development goals through a more inclusive and equitable economic system. This can open up new opportunities and increase corporate competitiveness in the long-term, as well as making a positive contribution to the sustainability of the global economy (Porter & Kramer, 2011).

Barriersto Integrating Diversity and Sustainability: Their Impact on Organizational Performance

Integrating diversity with sustainability policies in corporations is not easy, and there are various obstacles faced by organizations in their efforts to achieve it. One of the main obstacles is resistance to change in the corporate culture. Many organizations have long operated in certain ways and find it difficult to adapt to new policies that emphasize diversity and sustainability. New policies are often viewed as an additional burden or deemed irrelevant to the company's top priorities, especially if the benefits are not immediately apparent in the short term. As Cox (1993) pointed out, an organizational culture that is not open to change or diversity can lead to rejection of these new policies, hindering effective implementation efforts. Without strong cultural support, these policies are difficult to accept, and ultimately cannot have the desired impact.

Limited resources are also a significant obstacle to integrating diversity and sustainability. Corporates are often hampered by limited funds, time, and manpower to implement programs that support these two principles. Many organizations, especially small to medium-sized ones, find it difficult to allocate a dedicated budget for inclusivity programs or sustainability initiatives because they have to prioritize more pressing operational needs. For example, to create better diversity in a corporate, organization must undertake various initiatives such as training, changing recruitment processes, and may need to provide more flexible work policies (Boehm et al., 2014). Limited resources to fund these activities often make it difficult for corporates to achieve their goals, and this can impact the effectiveness of the desired policy.

The next obstacle is the lack of commitment from top leaders and corporate management. Leader commitment has a major influence on the strategic direction of the organization, including in terms of setting policy priorities and resource allocation. Without a strong commitment from corporate leaders, the integration of diversity and sustainability is given a lower priority in the corporate strategic planning. Corporate leaders play a crucial role in setting a clear direction and example in this policy. When corporate leaders do not demonstrate exemplary behavior in supporting diversity and sustainability, efforts at the managerial or employee level tend to be poorly coordinated (Nielsen, 2010). The success of this policy depends on assertive and consistent leadership to uphold these principles.

Another problem faced by corporates in integrating diversity and sustainability is the difficulty of measuring the impact of these policies. Both diversity and sustainability have long-term impacts that are difficult to measure quantitatively and directly (Rezaee, 2016). Impact measurement often involves more subjective indicators, such as employee satisfaction, corporate reputation, or customer relations. However, because these policies have only recently been implemented, corporates find it difficult to determine whether diversity and sustainability are directly related to improved performance or competitive advantage (Bansal & Roth, 2000). Without effective measuring tools, corporates may feel that these policies do not provide clear results, thus reducing commitment to their implementation.

The challenge of internal communication is another significant barrier. Diversity and sustainability require clear and effective communication so that the goals to be achieved can be understood by all parties in the organization (Morioka & Carvalho, 2016). Corporate organizations often fail to effectively communicate the vision, values, and goals related to diversity and sustainability policies to all employees as a result of ineffective communication. This causes misunderstanding or even disinterest among employees, which can reduce the effectiveness of the policy (Sabharwal, 2014). According to Meneghetti and Stocco (2012), poor communication about this policy can lead to ambiguity about the role of each individual in achieving these goals.

Bureaucracy and rigid organizational structures also have the potential to be obstacles to diversity and sustainability integration. Organizations with rigid hierarchical structures may find it difficult to implement policies that require flexibility and cross-departmental collaboration. Diversity, for example,

requires inclusive management, involving many parties from various departments, despite sustainability requires broader collaboration within the organization and decision-making involving various parties (Johnson, 2006). An overly bureaucratic organizational structure can hinder the flow of communication and rapid decision-making, which is urgently needed for the implementation of this dynamic policy.

Corporates often face the challenge of aligning diversity policies with sustainability policies, especially when there is a mismatch between short- and long-term goals. Sustainability policies often focus on long-term goals, such as reducing carbon emissions or social sustainability, which require large upfront investments, despite diversity policies may require rapid and immediate changes at the operational level. The mismatch between these two policies often challenges the effective integration of diversity and sustainability in organizations (Aguilera et al., 2007). Corporates need to develop strategies that can accommodate both.

Finally, there are also obstacles related to gaps in understanding and values between different generations in organizations. Corporates with multigenerational workforces often face differences of opinion regarding the importance of diversity and sustainability. Younger generations may be more concerned with issues of diversity and sustainability than older generations. This gap can lead to disagreements and internal conflicts that can hinder efforts to integrate these policies (Rupp et al., 2006).

Measuring the Impact of Diversity Policy Implementation on Sustainability Objectives and Inclusive Work Environments

Corporates can measure the impact of diversity policy implementation on the achievement of organizational sustainability goals through various indicators relevant to both aspects. One of the most common ways is to identify and analyze corporate social performance in various aspects, such as inclusive recruitment, equal opportunities for all employees, and reducing gaps in the organization. Monitoring these aspects on a regular basis can assess whether the diversity policy is actually implemented and brings significant positive changes in the organization. According to Robinson and Judge (2013), diversity in organizations contributes to strengthening corporate culture and can improve the quality of decisions made, which directly affects the long-term performance and sustainability of organizations. This measurement can be done through employee satisfaction surveys and monitoring of demographic diversity in corporates.

Corporates can use performance evaluations based on environmental indicators related to diversity, such as the level of diversity in the management team and the corporate's sustainability strategy (Sabharwal, 2014). One approach to this is measuring the contribution of diversity to increasing an organization's ability to adapt to changes in the external environment, as well as its ability to maintain long-term financial stability (Rezaee, 2016). Corporates need to respond quickly to changes in technology, policy, or consumer preferences. In the public and private sectors, diversity in decision-making is also associated with the financial sustainability of corporates, because organizations that integrate various perspectives are better able to respond to the challenges and opportunities in the market (Harrison & Klein, 2007). Diversity enriches the decision-making process with more diverse ideas, allowing corporates to see problems from multiple perspectives and devise more innovative solutions.

Corporates can also measure the impact of diversity policies on sustainability goals through social and environmental impact assessments, which are often conducted through metrics such as sustainability reports that include performance in workforce management. Sustainability reports that include data on diversity in the workplace, as well as its impact on the achievement of sustainability goals, are increasingly becoming an important tool for corporates to assess their impact on communities and stakeholders (Cillo et al., 2019). Therefore, corporates can use this report to identify areas for improvement and to visualize the relationship between diversity and their sustainability goals. An effectively utilized sustainability report demonstrates a commitment to thriving in diversity and sustainability, thus strengthening their image as an organization that focuses not only on profit but also on an inclusive work environment and social impact.

Corporates that succeed in implementing diversity policies often show improvements in employee retention, especially among previously underrepresented groups. Measuring the impact of diversity in this case can be done by monitoring employee turnover rates and evaluating the inclusive development and training programs that have been implemented. By recording data related to the retention of employees from various backgrounds, corporates can assess whether their diversity policies contribute to the creation of an inclusive work environment and support the sustainability of the organization.

The implementation of a diversity policy can also be seen through increased customer satisfaction and better relationships with local communities. When companies demonstrate a commitment to diversity and inclusiveness, it creates a positive image that reflects human values and social responsibility. Corporates can measure the impact of diversity policies by monitoring feedback from customers, as well as the impact on the corporate's attractiveness in the market. Many studies show that more inclusive corporates tend to have higher customer loyalty, because consumers are increasingly concerned with the values promoted by corporates, including diversity and inclusiveness in the work environment (Carter & Silva, 2010). Corporates that adopt diversity policies can also measure the extent to which these policies strengthen relationships with customers and improve the corporate reputation in the market.

Corporates can also measure the impact of diversity policies on sustainability goals by evaluating how well these policies can encourage innovation and creativity in the workplace (Morioka & Carvalho, 2016). According to Page (2007), diversity in teams can increase creativity and innovation by combining different perspectives in decision making. Corporates that successfully implement effective diversity policies can see an increase in the quantity and quality of product or service innovations they offer (Boehm et al., 2014). This impact can be measured by calculating the level of innovation produced, such as the number of patents filed or the increase in new products launched on the market.

Measuring the impact of diversity on the achievement of sustainability goals in a corporate is inseparable from the corporate success in creating an inclusive environment for all employees. An inclusive work environment contributes to individual well-being and has a direct impact on the overall productivity and innovation of the corporate. One effective method of measuring this level of inclusiveness is through periodic employee surveys. These surveys should cover a range of important topics, such as feeling accepted in the workplace, valuing differences, and having access to equal career opportunities. According to research conducted by Shore et al. (2011), employees who feel valued and accepted in an inclusive work environment tend to have higher levels of job satisfaction and are more engaged in their work. This shows that well-managed diversity can increase employee motivation and commitment, which in turn contributes to the achievement of the corporate sustainability goals.

The results of this survey can provide valuable insights into the extent to which the diversity policies implemented by corporates have succeeded in creating an inclusive work environment and supporting sustainability. By analyzing the data obtained, corporates can identify areas for improvement and develop more effective strategies to increase inclusiveness. For example, if the survey shows that employees from certain groups feel undervalued or have limited access to career development opportunities, the corporate can design more inclusive training and development programs to address these needs. In addition, corporates can also use the survey results to assess the effectiveness of existing diversity programs and make the necessary adjustments to ensure that all employees feel involved and valued. Thus, measuring the impact of diversity serves as an evaluation tool, and as a driver for continuous improvement to create an inclusive work environment, which in turn will support the achievement of the corporate overall sustainability goals.

CONCLUSION

The conclusion that can be drawn from the description of diversity and sustainability in organizations shows that the two have interrelated roles and can influence the long-term performance and competitiveness of corporates in the global market. The effective application of the principle of diversity in organizations contributes to improving the quality of decisions and innovation, and creates an inclusive work environment that leads to increased employee satisfaction. Diversity in a team can enrich perspectives, which in turn increases an organization's ability to adapt to changes in the market and external environment. Organizations that integrate the principle of diversity with sustainability policies tend to be better able to maintain relevance and achieve stable financial sustainability.

However, despite the many benefits that can be gained from implementing diversity policies, corporates also face a number of obstacles to effectively integrating diversity with sustainability policies. The main obstacles often faced include resistance to changing corporate culture, difficulties in measuring the impact of diversity on the achievement of sustainability goals, and the challenge of creating a truly inclusive work environment. Corporates must also face the risk of a gap between the implemented policies and the perceptions of employees and external stakeholders, which can affect the success of these policies.

It is important for corporates to develop and implement a measurable and transparent diversity policy, and to ensure that this policy is truly reflected in their daily practices. Corporates need to use relevant performance indicators, such as the level of diversity in the management team, employee satisfaction surveys, and sustainability reports, to monitor and evaluate the impact of diversity policies on their sustainability goals. Corporates must also provide ongoing training and education to increase understanding of diversity and inclusiveness, both at the managerial level and among employees.

The advice that can be given is that corporates need to identify and overcome internal obstacles that can hinder the implementation of effective diversity policies. Corporates are also advised to strengthen monitoring and evaluation systems that enable them to assess the extent to which these policies contribute to the achievement of sustainability goals. Measuring the impact of diversity can be done through periodic surveys, interviews with employees, and analysis of sustainability reports containing diversity data and organizational performance. Corporates can ensure that diversity policies have a positive impact on internal work culture and increase the corporate competitiveness in the global market.

REFERENCES

- Aguilera, R. V., Rupp, D. E., Williams, C. A., & Ganapathi, J. (2007). Putting the S Back in CSR: A Multi-level Theory of Social Change in Organizations. *Academy of Management Review*, 32(3), 836-863.
- Bansal, P., & Roth, K. (2000). Why Companies Go Green: A Model of Ecological Responsiveness. *Academy of Management Journal*, 43(4), 717-736.
- Barrett, R., & Mayson, S. (2008). *International Handbook of Entrepreneurship and HRM*. Edward Elgar Publishing Limited.
- Boehm, S. A., Kunze, F., & Bruch, H. (2014). Spotlight on Age-diversity Climate: The Impact of Age-inclusive HR Practices on Firm-level Outcomes. *Personnel Psychology*, 67(3), 667-704.
- Bryman, A. (2016). *Social Research Methods* (5th ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Carter, N. M., & Silva, C. (2010). Women in Management: Delusions of Progress. *Harvard Business Review*, 88(3), 28-30.
- Cillo, V., Petruzzelli, A. M., Ardito, L., & Del Giudice, M. (2019). Understanding Sustainable Innovation: A Systematic Literature Review. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 26(5), 1012-1025.

- Cox, T. (1993). *Cultural Diversity in Organizations: Theory, Research & Practice*. Berrett-Koehler Publishers.
- Eisenbeiss, S. A., van Knippenberg, D., & Boerner, S. (2008). Transformational Leadership and Team Innovation: Integrating Team Climate Principles. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 93(6), 1-13.
- Elkington, J. (1997). *Cannibals with Forks: The Triple Bottom Line of 21st-century Business*. Capstone.
- Gould, R., Harris, S. P., Mullin, C., & Jones, R. (2020). Disability, Diversity, and Corporate Social Responsibility: Learning from Recognized Leaders in Inclusion. *Journal of Vocational Rehabilitation*, 52(1), 29-42.
- Harrison, J., & Klein, K. J. (2007). What's the Difference? Diversity Constructs as Separation, Variety, or Disparity in Organizations. *Academy of Management Review*, 32(4), 1199-1228.
- Harrison, J., Price, K. H., & Bell, M. P. (1998). Beyond Relational Demography: Time and the Effects of Surface- and Deep-level Diversity on Work Group Functioning. *Academy of Management Journal*, 41(1), 96-107.
- Johnson, C. E. (2006). *Meeting the Ethical Challenges of Leadership: Casting Light or Shadow*. Sage Publications.
- Kossek, E. E., Kelliher, C., & Kueer, R. (2011). Implementing a Work-life Balance Policy: A Longitudinal Study of its Impact on Employee Well-being. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 22(11), 2425-2441.
- Kotter, J. P. (2012). *Leading Change*. Harvard Business Review Press.
- Lee, M. Y., & Choi, Y. S. (2010). Managing Diversity and its Impact on Organizational Outcomes: The Moderating Role of Organizational Commitment. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 21(5), 786-804.
- Meneghetti, F. M., & Stocco, M. (2012). Communication in Diversity Management: Challenges and Opportunities. *International Journal of Business Communication*, 49(4), 356-380.
- Morioka, S. N., & Carvalho, M. M. (2016). Measuring Sustainability in Practice: Exploring the Inclusion of Sustainability into Corporate Performance Systems in Brazilian Case Studies. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 136, 123-133.
- Nielsen, M. F. (2010). Organizational Commitment and Leadership: The Role of Corporate Governance in Building Effective Management Teams. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, 18(1), 62-83.
- Page, S. E. (2007). *The Difference: How the Power of Diversity Creates Better Groups, Firms, Schools, and Societies*. Princeton University Press, New Jersey.
- Porter, M. E., & Kramer, M. R. (2011). Creating Shared Value: Redefining Capitalism and the Role of the Corporation in Society. *Harvard Business Review*, 89(1-2), 62-77.
- Rangan, V. K., Chase, L., & Karim, S. (2015). The Truth About CSR. *Harvard Business Review*, 93(1), 40-49.
- Rezaee, Z. (2016). Business Sustainability Research: A Theoretical and Integrated Perspective. *Journal of Accounting literature*, 36(1), 48-64.
- Robinson, G., & Judge, T. A. (2013). *Organizational Behavior*. Pearson Education, Australia.
- Rupp, D. E., Shao, R., Thornton, M. A., & Skarlicki, D. P. (2006). Customer Responses to Ethical Behavior in Business: A Multi-level Investigation. *Academy of Management Journal*, 49(3), 423-444.
- Sabharwal, M. (2014). Is Diversity Management Sufficient? Organizational Inclusion to Further Performance. *Public personnel management*, 43(2), 197-217.
- Shore, L. M., Cleveland, J. N., & Sanchez, D. (2011). Inclusive Workplaces: A Review and Model. *Human Resource Management Review*, 21(4), 43-70.
- Shore, L. M., Randel, A. E., Chung, B. G., Dean, M. A., Ehrhart, K. H., & Singh, G. (2011). Inclusion and Diversity in Work Groups: A Review and Model for Future Research. *Journal of management*, 37(4), 1262-1289.
- Thomas, R. R. (1990). The Impact of Diversity on Organizational Performance: The business Case for Diversity. In *Managing Diversity: A Conceptual Framework*. Sage Publications, UK.
- Williams, K. Y., & O'Reilly, C. A. (1998). Demography and Diversity in Organizations: A Review of 40 Years of Research. *Research in Organizational Behavior*, 20, 77-140.

*Mardikaningsih, R. & M. Hariani. (2022). Integrating Diversity and Sustainability in Organizations: How to Impact Performance, Corporate Competitiveness, and the Creation of Inclusive Work Environments, *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(2), 77 - 84.