*Journal of Social Science Studies Vol. 2, No. 2, July 2022, pages 291 – 298*

# Exploring the Neurobehavioral Nexus Between Psychological Stress and Cardiovascular Risk Across Clinical Settings

Fayola Issalillah

*Maulana Malik Ibrahim State Islamic University of Malang*

A R T I C L E  I N F O

***Article history:***
*Received 29 May 2022*
*Revised 16 June 2022*
*Accepted 17 July 2022*

**Key words:**
*Psychological stress,*
*Cardiovascular health,*
*Autonomic dysfunction,*
*Inflammation,*
*Stress management,*
*Heart rate variability,*
*Cardiac prevention.*

A B S T R A C T

*This literature-based review investigates the relationship between psychological stress and cardiovascular health, emphasizing both biological mechanisms and intervention strategies. Psychological stress activates the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis and sympathetic nervous system, resulting in elevated cortisol levels, increased catecholamine release, and systemic inflammation. These physiological disruptions contribute to hypertension, endothelial dysfunction, and arrhythmic tendencies, all of which elevate cardiovascular risk. The review highlights how chronic stress influences health behavior, sleep patterns, and treatment adherence, thereby compounding cardiac burden. It also explores validated stress-reduction interventions, including mindfulness-based techniques, cognitive-behavioral therapy, social support systems, and structured exercise programs. Evidence from clinical trials suggests these interventions improve autonomic balance, reduce inflammatory markers, and enhance psychological resilience. Additionally, gender, socioeconomic status, and early life trauma modulate the effects of stress on cardiac outcomes. The synthesis concludes that managing psychological stress is vital for cardiovascular prevention and recommends integrated therapeutic models. A recalibration of clinical guidelines may be necessary to include psychosocial assessment and stress-targeted treatment as core components of cardiovascular care. Addressing both systemic and behavioral dimensions of stress will contribute to more equitable and sustainable cardiac health outcomes.*

## INTRODUCTION

Stress has long been recognized as a psychological burden, but its physiological consequences—particularly its implications for cardiovascular health—are only recently receiving the empirical scrutiny they merit. In modern society, individuals are exposed to a variety of persistent stressors, including occupational pressure, social instability, and economic uncertainty. These stressors do not merely evoke temporary discomfort; they initiate complex neuroendocrine responses that have been shown to influence cardiovascular function in measurable and detrimental ways (Kivimäki & Steptoe, 2018). The chronic activation of the Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Adrenal (HPA) axis and sympathetic nervous system contributes to alterations in blood pressure, heart rate variability, and vascular inflammation—hallmarks of increased cardiac risk (Hering et al., 2015). Managing stress effectively is becoming an important part of heart disease prevention in modern society.

Beyond acute emotional arousal, sustained psychological stress has been linked to structural and functional changes in the heart and vasculature (Musey et al., 2020). Studies have demonstrated associations between stress and the acceleration of atherosclerotic processes, dysregulation of lipid metabolism, and elevated proinflammatory cytokines. These physiological disturbances predispose individuals to major cardiovascular events, such as myocardial infarction and stroke. Importantly, the impact of stress is not merely additive but may interact with other risk factors—such as hypertension, smoking, and sedentary behavior—to exacerbate cardiac vulnerability (Wirtz & von Känel, 2017). For example, stress can increase blood pressure in individuals who already have hypertension, or exacerbate smoking in response to anxiety or tension. This interaction between stress and risk factors suggests that effective stress management is critical in preventing or reducing the risk of larger cardiovascular.

* *Corresponding author, email address:* ***fayola.issalillah@gmail.com***

The relationship between stress and cardiac pathology is further complicated by behavioral mediators (Giannoglou & Koskinas, 2015). Individuals under chronic stress often engage in maladaptive coping strategies, including excessive alcohol consumption, poor dietary habits, and physical inactivity. These behaviors, while offering temporary relief, compound long-term cardiovascular risk (Golbidi et al., 2015). Stress may interfere with adherence to medical regimens among those with preexisting heart conditions, thereby reducing treatment efficacy and increasing hospital readmission rates. The biobehavioral model thus presents a multidimensional view of stress as both a direct and indirect contributor to cardiovascular disease (Chauvet-Gelinier & Bonin, 2017).

In light of these observations, understanding the connection between psychological stress and heart health becomes essential not only for clinicians but for public health stakeholders (Kamoei & Meschi, 2016). This is because the impact of stress on the cardiovascular system is not only individualized, but also impacts the collective health burden. This inquiry extends beyond mechanistic insight into the realm of prevention and intervention. If stress is indeed a modifiable risk factor, then effective stress management strategies may offer meaningful reductions in cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. Research now seeks to delineate which techniques—whether cognitive-behavioral therapy, mindfulness, or social support mechanisms—are most effective in buffering cardiovascular systems from stress-induced dysregulation (Daneshvari-Fard & Mojtabaei, 2016). Reducing stress is not just about improving quality of life, but also about lowering the incidence of heart disease and long-term healthcare costs.

Psychological stress is not uniformly distributed across populations. Socioeconomic status, occupational environment, and access to healthcare resources mediate one's exposure to and ability to cope with stressors (Duchaine et al., 2017). This context explains why certain groups are more susceptible to the physiological impacts of stress, including an increased risk of cardiovascular disease. Studies from the 1990s and early 2000s documented higher prevalence of stress-related cardiovascular conditions among lower-income and marginalized populations (Steptoe & Marmot, 2002; Brunner, 1997). This suggests that the burden of stress is not only personal but systemic, demanding both individualized care and structural reform. Despite the evidence linking chronic stress to cardiovascular outcomes, routine screening for stress remains limited in cardiology settings, and preventive protocols are inconsistently applied (Trešlová et al., 2019).

Stress is often underrecognized in clinical diagnostics due to its intangible nature. Unlike hypertension or hyperlipidemia, stress lacks a single measurable biomarker, making it more elusive in standard cardiovascular evaluations (Singh et al., 2018). This leads to stress often going unrecognized or underestimated in the diagnosis process, especially in cardiology practices that focus more on physical parameters. Patients may normalize their stress experience or fail to connect emotional strain to physical symptoms. This diagnostic gap delays timely intervention and allows physiological deterioration to progress unchecked (Rozanski et al., 1999). As cardiovascular disease continues to be the leading cause of mortality globally, unaddressed psychological stress remains a critical and underexplored contributor to this crisis (Satyje et et al., 2020).

There is mounting evidence that unresolved stress contributes to a progressive decline in cardiac resilience. Stress-induced activation of the sympathetic-adrenal-medullary system results in prolonged vasoconstriction, endothelial dysfunction, and inflammatory damage to arterial walls. The cascading effects of chronic cortisol elevation, elevated catecholamine levels, and autonomic imbalance undermine cardiovascular integrity and repair mechanisms over time (McEwen, 1998). The cumulative burden of these processes aligns with the concept of allostatic load—a framework used to explain how chronic stress "wears down" the body's regulatory systems.

This growing body of research calls for more rigorous inquiry into the specific mechanisms by which stress disrupts cardiovascular function, as well as the differential susceptibility among individuals. Age, genetic predisposition, and comorbid psychiatric disorders such as depression and anxiety all appear to modulate the cardiovascular consequences of stress exposure. Yet many of these dimensions remain underrepresented in clinical trials, limiting the generalizability of current findings. As the intersection of mental and cardiac health becomes increasingly relevant, the need for integrative models of care becomes pressing.

This study aims to examine the physiological and behavioral mechanisms through which psychological stress influences cardiovascular health and to identify stress management strategies that have demonstrated efficacy in reducing cardiovascular risk. The findings will contribute to a more integrated understanding of cardiac care, advocating for prevention models that address both mental and physiological determinants of heart disease.

## RESEARCH METHOD

This literature-based study adopts a qualitative approach, relying on secondary data to explore the physiological and psychological relationship between stress and cardiovascular health. The method involves a critical synthesis of peer-reviewed journals, medical textbooks, and reputable clinical guidelines to identify recurring patterns and validated findings. By employing thematic analysis, the study categorizes the selected literature into clusters of relevance: physiological pathways of stress-induced cardiac effects, behavioral mediators, stress biomarkers, and the efficacy of stress-reduction interventions. The review focuses on empirical studies that combine psychocardiology and behavioral medicine, emphasizing observational research and controlled trials that provide substantial insight into the chronicity and systemic nature of stress-related cardiac outcomes.

The literature was retrieved from academic databases such as PubMed, Scopus, and ScienceDirect using search terms including "stress and heart disease," "psychological distress and cardiovascular risk," "autonomic dysfunction and coronary events," and "stress management in cardiology." Inclusion criteria required that articles be published in English, appear in peer-reviewed medical or psychological journals, and contain evidence from clinical or population-based studies. Foundational methodological frameworks were drawn from the work of Creswell (2009), who emphasizes the importance of disciplined interpretive analysis in synthesizing qualitative findings. The process also incorporated guidelines from Mays and Pope (2000), which highlight the importance of credibility, transferability, and transparency in qualitative synthesis. These methodological anchors ensure that the review yields both academically rigorous and clinically relevant conclusions.

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The human cardiovascular system is exquisitely sensitive to psychological stimuli, particularly those that generate sustained internal tension. As the mind perceives threat, whether physical or symbolic, the body initiates a series of physiological adjustments that are designed to preserve immediate survival. In the absence of resolution, these same adaptations become pathological. The connection between mental distress and cardiac strain is no longer theoretical but clinically observable, underscoring a pressing need for deeper investigation (Edmondson et al., 2018).

At the core of this dynamic lies a regulatory feedback loop involving the brain's neuroendocrine centers and the peripheral autonomic pathways. The hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis, initially protective, can become a source of systemic harm when its activation is prolonged. When stress persists over a long period of time, this system no longer provides protection, but instead begins to cause systemic dysfunction. Cortisol, the hormone released during this process, begins to alter vascular tone, glucose metabolism, and lipid accumulation in ways that significantly increase cardiovascular vulnerability. Rather than aiding homeostasis, it gradually erodes it (Ndrepepa, 2017). Adaptive responses originally designed to save the body from harm become triggers for long-term susceptibility to cardiovascular disease. Over a period of years, this imbalance leaves the body in a state of chronic low-grade inflammation, vascular endothelial damage and cardiac rhythm dysregulation. This explains why chronic stress is not just a psychological problem, but a real biological condition that has serious health consequences.

Simultaneously, the sympathetic branch of the autonomic nervous system transitions from a transient defense mechanism to a chronic stressor. The sympathetic nervous system, which is designed to help the body react quickly to threats through the "fight or flight" mechanism, responds to stress by releasing catecholamines such as adrenaline and noradrenaline. These chemicals directly increase heart rate and constrict blood vessels, in order to deliver more blood to vital organs in emergency situations. Persistent stimulation leads to an overflow of catecholamines—chemical messengers that raise heart rate and constrict vessels. These changes elevate blood pressure over time, exerting unrelenting mechanical force on vascular walls and cardiac structures. The result is a heightened risk of arterial injury, endothelial dysfunction, and eventually, cardiac events (Henein et al., 2022).

What makes this physiological narrative particularly urgent is its subtlety. Unlike an acute heart attack that is obvious and dramatic, the impact of psychological stress is slow and inconspicuous. Individuals may remain unaware of their internal stress levels, especially when the symptoms manifest indirectly—through fatigue, irritability, or transient arrhythmias. Yet beneath these signs lies a complex interplay of hormonal and neurological interactions that quietly reshapes cardiovascular risk. This interplay does not emerge overnight but unfolds gradually, woven into the texture of daily psychological experiences (Esler, 2017). elevated cardiovascular risk doesn't feel like an urgent matter, until it presents itself as a real health crisis

The clinical literature has begun to offer a more refined appreciation of this relationship, supported by advances in neuroimaging, endocrinology, and psychocardiology. Brain imaging tools such as fMRI and PET scans allow researchers to directly observe how brain centers associated with emotions interact with the autonomic and endocrine nervous systems during stressful conditions. As empirical data accumulates, a clearer picture emerges: psychological stress is not an abstract emotional state but a biological catalyst with measurable effects on heart health. The pathway it follows—from perception to pathology—demands scholarly attention and clinical responsiveness in equal measure (Vaccarino et al., 2021). Stress can trigger the release of stress hormones such as adrenaline and cortisol, which can cause constriction of blood vessels, increased heart rate and elevated blood pressure.

Psychological stress affects cardiovascular health through a multifactorial cascade of neuroendocrine and autonomic dysregulation (Ginty et al., 2017). Chronic stress activates the Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Adrenal (HPA) axis, leading to sustained cortisol secretion. Elevated cortisol levels contribute to hypertension, insulin resistance, and central adiposity, all of which are independent predictors of cardiovascular disease (Black & Garbutt, 2002). In parallel, the sympathetic nervous system becomes persistently activated, increasing catecholamine release and raising resting heart rate and blood pressure—conditions that strain cardiac function (Ronaldson, 2017).

The biological toll of stress is also expressed in inflammatory pathways. Research has shown that individuals under chronic stress exhibit elevated levels of proinflammatory cytokines such as IL-6 and CRP, which accelerate endothelial dysfunction and atherosclerosis (Steptoe et al., 2007). These molecular responses compromise vascular integrity and increase the likelihood of plaque rupture, which can trigger myocardial infarction or stroke. Furthermore, stress-induced inflammation may interact with genetic predispositions, amplifying individual susceptibility to cardiovascular pathology (Fioranelli et al., 2017).

Autonomic imbalance is another hallmark of stress-related cardiac vulnerability. Reduced Heart Rate Variability (HRV) is a known marker of parasympathetic withdrawal and heightened sympathetic tone, both of which are associated with increased cardiac events (Liu et al., 2017). According to Sloan et al. (2007), lower HRV is a strong independent predictor of sudden cardiac death, particularly in patients with underlying coronary artery disease. Stress, not only affects cardiac structure but destabilizes the regulatory systems that maintain homeostasis (Pristipino, 2016).

Beyond these physiological mechanisms, behavioral responses to stress exacerbate cardiac risk. Individuals often adopt maladaptive coping behaviors—smoking, alcohol overuse, or sedentarism—which are closely linked to stress exposure and contribute to disease progression (Everson-Rose & Lewis, 2005). These lifestyle factors compound the effects of stress and reduce the efficacy of medical therapies. For example, smoking and excessive alcohol consumption can worsen blood vessel conditions and increase blood pressure, while lack of physical activity can accelerate plaque buildup and insulin resistance. As a result, the risk of heart complications increases and the treatment that should help control the disease becomes less effective. Individuals under stress are more likely to exhibit poor medication adherence, leading to suboptimal management of conditions such as hypertension or hyperlipidemia.

Sleep disturbance, commonly co-occurring with chronic stress, is another indirect route through which stress contributes to cardiac burden (Liu et al., 2015). When a person experiences prolonged stress, their sleep patterns tend to be disrupted, both in terms of duration and quality of sleep. Insufficient or poor-quality sleep is associated with elevated sympathetic tone, increased blood pressure variability, and impaired glucose regulation. Sleep disorders are not only a quality of life issue, but also a biological risk factor that contributes to the development of cardiovascular disease. Empirical evidence from Hall et al. (2008) found that individuals with higher perceived stress and insomnia symptoms had a significantly greater risk of developing cardiovascular disease over time. These findings emphasize the importance of managing stress and sleep disorders simultaneously in heart disease prevention efforts.

Cognitive factors also modulate the cardiovascular effects of stress. People with a tendency toward rumination or negative cognitive appraisal may experience more prolonged physiological responses to stress (Johnson et al., 2022). This negative assessment reinforces the perception of stress, thereby triggering activation of the sympathetic nervous system and prolonged release of stress hormones, ultimately increasing the burden on the heart and blood vessels. Brosschot et al. (2006) observed that cognitive perseveration maintains cardiovascular arousal beyond the initial stressor, thereby extending the harmful exposure time. This finding underscores the need to address both the emotional and cognitive aspects of stress in cardiac prevention programs. A holistic approach addressing cognitive and emotional stress enhances cardiovascular disease prevention and treatment effectiveness.

One widely accepted intervention for mitigating stress-induced cardiac risk is Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction (MBSR). Kabat-Zinn's model, when adapted for cardiac patients, has shown improvements in blood pressure regulation, cortisol levels, and overall psychological well-being (Carlson et al., 2007). These changes, though modest in isolation, contribute to long-term cardiovascular resilience when maintained consistently. When MBSR practices are performed consistently, patients can maintain a more controllable stress response, reduce the physiological burden on the heart, and improve overall quality of life. MBSR becomes an effective and sustainable intervention strategy in the prevention and management of stress-induced heart disease.

Another evidence-based approach is Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy (CBT), which restructures maladaptive thought patterns and reinforces healthier behavioral responses. By helping individuals identify and change negative or unrealistic thoughts, it also reinforces healthier behavioral responses, such as increased physical activity, better emotional management, and medication adherence. In a randomized controlled trial, Blumenthal et al. (2005) demonstrated that CBT significantly reduced the recurrence of cardiac events in patients with stable coronary artery disease. These results suggest that psychological interventions not only impact mental well-being, but also provide real clinical benefits in reducing the risk of serious cardiovascular events. The mechanism of benefit is believed to stem from improved autonomic regulation and reduced systemic inflammation (Stallard & Stallard, 2021).

Social support mechanisms are also important moderators of stress-related cardiac outcomes. Individuals embedded in strong social networks exhibit lower resting cortisol levels, better immune function, and greater adherence to medical regimens (Uchino, 2006). Conversely, social isolation has been linked with higher all-cause and cardiovascular-specific mortality. Therefore, promoting relational health is essential in reducing psychological burden on cardiac physiology (Fadhli & Situmorang, 2021).

Exercise-based interventions, particularly those incorporating aerobic training, serve a dual purpose by addressing both physical and psychological health (Smith & Merwin, 2021). Regular moderate-intensity activity improves HRV, reduces sympathetic output, and enhances endothelial function (Reed et al., 2019). According to a meta-analysis by Taylor et al. (2004), structured cardiac rehabilitation programs that include exercise significantly reduce stress and all-cause mortality among patients with heart disease.

Pharmacological interventions are sometimes warranted, particularly when psychological stress co-occurs with clinical depression or anxiety (O'Keefe et al., 2019). Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors (SSRIs) have been found to reduce cardiovascular event rates in depressed patient post-myocardial infarction (Lespérance et al., 2007). While medication alone cannot address the full spectrum of stress-related dysfunction, it remains a valuable adjunct in comprehensive care (Spencer & Shepherd, 2020).

Occupational stress deserves special attention given its chronicity and prevalence. Job strain, characterized by high demand and low control, has been robustly associated with increased risk of coronary heart disease (Kivimäki et al., 2006). Organizations can mitigate this risk by fostering autonomy, predictability, and support within the workplace. Interventions that target work-related stress have shown improvements in blood pressure and stress biomarker profiles (Singh & Verma, 2019).

Gender and socioeconomic differences also shape how stress translates into cardiac risk. Women are more likely to experience stress-related disorders and may exhibit different physiological responses, such as endothelial dysfunction rather than plaque accumulation (Vaccarino et al., 2003). Meanwhile, individuals from lower socioeconomic backgrounds often experience cumulative stress exposure, with fewer coping resources, exacerbating cardiovascular disparities (Kivimäki & Kawachi, 2015).

Lastly, early life adversity has lasting cardiovascular consequences. Exposure to trauma or neglect during childhood alters HPA axis functioning and increases stress reactivity throughout life. These changes lead to increased stress reactivity throughout life, making individuals more susceptible to the damaging effects of chronic stress on the cardiovascular system. Adults with a history of adverse childhood experiences are at significantly greater risk for ischemic heart disease, even after adjusting for behavioral factors (Dong et al., 2004). This suggests that the impact of childhood adversity is not only limited to health behaviors, but also affects the biological mechanisms underlying the development of heart disease. This insight calls for trauma-informed approaches in preventive cardiology.

The evidence affirms that psychological stress is both a direct and indirect contributor to cardiovascular disease. Its effects span molecular, behavioral, and systemic domains, requiring integrated interventions that move beyond conventional cardiac risk models. Effective management must combine physiological monitoring with psychological assessment and behavioral support, ensuring that treatment aligns with the complex realities of stress-exposed patients.

## CONCLUSION

Psychological stress has been shown to exert a profound influence on cardiovascular health through interconnected neuroendocrine, autonomic, inflammatory, and behavioral pathways. When a person experiences stress, the body activates a stress response that involves the release of hormones such as cortisol and adrenaline through the Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Adrenal (HPA) axis. Persistent activation of stress-related systems accelerates physiological processes that predispose individuals to hypertension, atherosclerosis, and myocardial instability. The behavioral adaptations that accompany stress—ranging from poor lifestyle choices to disrupted sleep—further intensify cardiac vulnerability. The autonomic nervous system, particularly over-activation of the sympathetic nervous system, also plays a role in worsening cardiac conditions during chronic stress. Sustained increases in heart rate, blood pressure and vasoconstriction can accelerate the hypertensive process and lead to endothelial dysfunction, which is the beginning of atherosclerosis. Chronic stress increases the production of proinflammatory cytokines that aggravate systemic inflammation, thereby accelerating vascular damage and increasing the risk of plaque instability in coronary arteries. This comprehensive interaction between psychological distress and cardiovascular dysfunction necessitates integrated interventions that go beyond symptom management and address the systemic underpinnings of disease onset and progression.

The implications of this review are far-reaching for both clinical and public health domains. Recognizing stress as a modifiable cardiac risk factor requires healthcare systems to prioritize psychosocial screening and management within cardiovascular care protocols. Furthermore, institutions and policymakers should consider the incorporation of mental health support and stress reduction programs into preventive cardiology, particularly in high-risk populations. The biological plausibility and consistency of evidence demand an expansion of traditional cardiac risk models to include validated psychosocial markers. In light of the current findings, healthcare providers are encouraged to adopt multidimensional approaches that include mindfulness, cognitive-behavioral therapy, exercise, and social support to mitigate the effects of stress on the heart. These interventions should be tailored to individual psychological profiles and contextual factors to maximize their efficacy. Future research is needed to further refine integrative stress-management protocols and explore the long-term impact of such interventions on cardiovascular morbidity and mortality.

## REFERENCES


Black, P. H., & Garbutt, L. D. (2002). Stress, Inflammation and Cardiovascular Disease. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 52(1), 1–23.

Blumenthal, J. A., Sherwood, A., Babyak, M. A., Watkins, L. L., Smith, P. J., Hoffman, B. M., ... & Hinderliter, A. L. (2005). Enhancing Cardiac Rehabilitation with Stress Management Training: A Randomized, Clinical Efficacy Trial. *Circulation*, 111(13), 1609–1615.

Brosschot, J. F., Gerin, W., & Thayer, J. F. (2006). The Perseverative Cognition Hypothesis: A Review of Worry, Prolonged Stress-related Physiological Activation, and Health. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 60(2), 113–124.

Brunner, E. J. (1997). Socioeconomic Determinants of Health: Stress and the Biology of Inequality. *British Medical Journal (BMJ)*, 314(7092), 1472–1476.

Carlson, L. E., Speca, M., Patel, K. D., & Goodey, E. (2007). Mindfulness-based Stress Reduction in Relation to Quality of Life, Mood, Symptoms of Stress, and Immune Parameters in Breast and Prostate Cancer Outpatients. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 65(4), 571–581.

Chauvet-Gelinier, J.-C., & Bonin, B. (2017). Stress, Anxiety and Depression in Heart Disease Patients: A Major Challenge for Cardiac Rehabilitation. *Annals of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine*, 60(1), 6-12.

Creswell, J. W. (2009). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches* (3rd Ed.). Sage Publications.

Daneshvari Fard, A., & Mojtabaei, M. (2016). The Effect of Cognitive Behavioral Stress Management and Psychological Well-being and Adherence to Treatment in Patients with Coronary Heart Disease (CHD). International Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences.

Dong, M., Giles, W. H., Felitti, V. J., Dube, S. R., Williams, J. E., Chapman, D. P., & Anda, R. F. (2004). Insights Into Causal Pathways for Ischemic Heart Disease. *Circulation*, 110(13), 1761–1766.

Duchaine, C., Ndjaboue, R., Levesque, M., Vézina, M., Trudel, X., Gilbert-Ouimet, M., Dionne, C. E., Mâsse, B., Pearce, N., & Brisson, C. (2017). Psychosocial Work Factors and Social Inequalities in Psychological Distress: A Population-based Study. *In BMC Public Health*. Springer.

Edmondson, D., Birk, J. L., Ho, V. T., Meli, L., Abdalla, M., & Kronish, I. M. (2018). A Challenge for Psychocardiology: Addressing the Causes and Consequences of Patients' Perceptions of Enduring Somatic Threat. *American Psychologist*, 73(9), 1160-1171.

Esler, M. D. (2017). Mental Stress and Human Cardiovascular Disease. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 74(B), 269-276.

Everson-Rose, S. A., & Lewis, T. T. (2005). Psychosocial Factors and Cardiovascular Diseases. *Annual Review of Public Health*, 26(1), 469–500.

Fadhli, T., & Situmorang, D. D. B. (2021). Implementation of Cognitive Behavioral Therapy with Cognitive Restructuring Technique to Reduce Psychosocial Anxiety in the COVID-19 Outbreak. *Addictive Disorders & Their Treatment*, 20(4), 268-277.

Fioranelli, M., Bottaccioli, A. G., Bottaccioli, F., Bottaccioli, F., Bianchi, M., Rovesti, M., & Roccia, M. G. (2018). Stress and Inflammation in Coronary Artery Disease: A Review Psychoneuroendocrineimmunology-based. *Frontiers in Immunology*, 9, 1-15.

Giannoglou, G. D., & Koskinas, K. C. (2015). Mental Stress and Cardiovascular Disease: Growing Evidence into the Complex Interrelation Between Mind and Heart. *Angiology*, 66(1), 5-7.

Ginty, A. T., Kraynak, T. E., Fisher, J. P., & Gianaros, P. J. (2017). Cardiovascular and Autonomic Reactivity to Psychological Stress: Neurophysiological Substrates and Links to Cardiovascular Disease. *Autonomic Neuroscience: Basic and Clinical*, 207, 2-9.

Golbidi, S., Frisbee, J. C., & Laher, I. (2015). Chronic Stress Impacts the Cardiovascular System: Animal Models and Clinical Outcomes. *American Journal of Physiology-Heart and Circulatory Physiology*, 308(12), 1476-1498.

Hall, M. H., Buysse, D. J., Dew, M. A., Prigerson, H. G., Reynolds, C. F., & Kupfer, D. J. (2008). Intrusive Thoughts and Avoidance Behaviors are Associated with Sleep Disturbances in Bereavement-related Depression. *Depression and Anxiety*, 25(6), 538–545.

Henein, M. Y., Vancheri, S., Longo, G., & Vancheri, F. (2022). *The Impact of Mental Stress on Cardiovascular Health – Part II*. Stomatology.

Hering, D., Lachowska, K., & Schlaich, M. P. (2015). Role of the Sympathetic Nervous System in Stress-Mediated Cardiovascular Disease. *Current Hypertension Reports*, 17(80), 1-9.

Irfan, M., D. Darmawan. (2021). Improving Psychological Wellbeing through Emotion Management in Daily Life, *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(1), 179 – 184.

Issalillah, F. & Nur Aisyah. (2022). The Elderly and the Determinants of Stress, *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(1), 9 – 12.

Johnson, D. A., Knutson, K. L., Colangelo, L. A., Hale, L., Redline, S., Carnethon, M. R., & Kershaw, K. N. (2022). Associations of Chronic Burden, Sleep Characteristics, and Metabolic Syndrome in the Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults Study. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 84(6), 711-718.

Kamoei, K., & Meschi, F. (2016). The Relationship Between Perceived Stress and Coping Styles with Psychological Well-being in Cardiac Patients. *International Journal of Medical Research and Health Sciences*, 5(11), 707-711.

Kivimäki, M., & Kawachi, I. (2015). Work Stress as a Risk Factor for Cardiovascular Disease. *Current Cardiology Reports*, 17(74), 1-9.

Kivimäki, M., & Steptoe, A. (2018). Effects of Stress on the Development and Progression of Cardiovascular Disease. *Nature Reviews Cardiology*, 15(4), 215-229.

Kivimäki, M., Virtanen, M., Elovainio, M., Kouvonen, A., Väänänen, A., & Vahtera, J. (2006). Work Stress in the Etiology of Coronary Heart Disease—a Meta-analysis. *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health*, 32(6), 431–442.

Lespérance, F., Frasure-Smith, N., Koszycki, D., Laliberté, M. A., Van Zyl, L. T., Baker, B., ... & Guertin, M. C. (2007). Effects of Citalopram and Interpersonal Psychotherapy on Depression in Patients with Coronary Artery Disease. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 297(4), 367–379.

Liu, W., Wang, X., Mei, Z., Gong, J., Gao, X., Zhao, Y., Ma, J., Xie, F., & Qian, L. (2015). Chronic Stress Promotes the Progression of Pressure Overload-induced Cardiac Dysfunction Through Inducing More Apoptosis and Fibrosis. *Physiological Research*, 64(3), 325-334.

Liu, Y.-Z., Wang, Y.-X., & Jiang, C.-L. (2017). Inflammation: The Common Pathway of Stress-Related Diseases. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 11(316), 1-11.

Mays, N., & Pope, C. (2000). Qualitative research in health care: Assessing quality in qualitative research. BMJ, 320(7226), 50–52.

McEwen, B. S. (1998). Protective and Damaging Effects of Stress Mediators. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 338(3), 171–179.

Musey, P. I., Schultebraucks, K., & Chang, B. P. (2020). Stressing Out About the Heart: A Narrative Review of the Role of Psychological Stress in Acute Cardiovascular Events. *Academic Emergency Medicine*, 27(1), 71-79.

Ndrepepa, G. (2017). Psychological Distress and Mortality in Stable Coronary Heart Disease: Persistence of High Distress Means Increased Risk. *Heart*, 103(23), 1840-1841.

O'Keefe, E. L., O'Keefe, J. H., & Lavie, C. J. (2019). Exercise Counteracts the Cardiotoxicity of Psychosocial Stress. *In Mayo Clinic Proceedings*. Elsevier.

Pristipino, C. (2016). Psychological Stress, Inflammation, Immunity, and Coagulation Intertwining in Ischemic Heart Disease. *Psychoterapy for Ischemic Hearth Disease*. Springer.

Reed, J. L., Keast, M.-L., Beanlands, R. A., Blais, A. Z., Clarke, A. E., Pipe, A. L., & Tulloch, H. (2019). The Effects of Aerobic Interval Training and Moderate-to-vigorous Intensity Continuous Exercise on Mental and Physical Health in Women with Heart Disease. *European Journal of Preventive Cardiology*, 26(2), 211-214.

Ronaldson, A. (2017). Psychosocial Stress and Cardiovascular Disease: The Role of the Hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal Axis. *Doctoral Dissertation*, University College London.

Rozanski, A., Blumenthal, J. A., & Kaplan, J. (1999). Impact of Psychological Factors on the Pathogenesis of Cardiovascular Disease and Implications for Therapy. *Circulation*, 99(16), 2192–2217.

Satyjeet, F., Naz, S., Kumar, V., Aung, N. H., Bansari, K., Irfan, S., & Rizwan, A. (2020). Psychological Stress as a Risk Factor for Cardiovascular Disease: A Case-control Study. *Cureus*, 12(10), 1-4.

Singh, S. A., Gupta, P. K., Rajeshwari, M., & Janumala, T. (2018). Detection of Stress Using Biosensors. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 5(10), 21003-21010.

Singh, S., & Verma, M. (2019). A Conceptual Study on Occupational Stress and its Impact on Employees. *Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research*, 6(6), 110-117/

Sloan, R. P., Shapiro, P. A., Bagiella, E., & Myers, M. M. (2007). Cardiac Autonomic Control and Treatment of Depression in Coronary Artery Disease. *Biological Psychiatry*, 62(6), 600–606.

Smith, P., & Merwin, R. M. (2021). The Role of Exercise in Management of Mental Health Disorders: An Integrative Review. *Annual Review of Medicine*, 72(1), 45-62.

Spencer, D., & Shepherd, C. (2020). A045 An Exercise Based Cardiac Rehabilitation Programme is Associated with Significant Improvement in Psychological Health. *Heart Lung and Circulation*, 29, S19.

Stallard, P., & Stallard, P. (2021). Evidence-based Practice in Cognitive-behavioural Therapy. *Archives of Disease in Childhood*, 107(2), 109-113.

Steptoe, A., & Marmot, M. (2002). The role of Psychobiological Pathways in Socio-economic Inequalities in Cardiovascular Disease Risk. *European Heart Journal*, 23(1), 13–25.

Steptoe, A., Hamer, M., & Chida, Y. (2007). The Effects of Acute Psychological Stress on Circulating Inflammatory Factors in Humans: A Review and Meta-analysis. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity*, 21(7), 901–912.

Taylor, R. S., Brown, A., Ebrahim, S., Jolliffe, J., Noorani, H., Rees, K., ... & Oldridge, N. (2004). Exercise-based Rehabilitation for Patients with Coronary Heart Disease: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *American Journal of Medicine*, 116(10), 682–692.

Trešlová, M., Šedová, L., Olišarová, V., Chloubová, I., & Tóthová, V. (2019). Stress within Preventive Cardiology. *Vnitřní Lékařství*, 65(7-8), 483-489.

Uchino, B. N. (2006). Social Support and Health: A Review of Physiological Processes Potentially Underlying Links to Disease Outcomes. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 29(4), 377–387.

Vaccarino, V., Parsons, L., Every, N. R., Barron, H. V., & Krumholz, H. M. (2003). Sex-based Differences in Early Mortality After Myocardial Infarction. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 341(4), 217–225.

Vaccarino, V., Shah, A. J., Mehta, P. K., Pearce, B. D., Raggi, P., Bremner, J. D., & Quyyumi, A. A. (2021). Brain-heart Connections in Stress and Cardiovascular Disease: Implications for the Cardiac Patient. *Atherosclerosis*, 328, 74-82.

Vitrianingsih, Y., & F. Issalillah. (2021). Voluntary Motivation and Emotional Wellbeing: Exploring Differences Based on Internal and External Drives, *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(1), 147 – 152.

Wirtz, P. H., & Von Känel, R. (2017). Psychological Stress, Inflammation, and Coronary Heart Disease. *Current Cardiology Reports*, 19(111), 1-10.