

# Examining Managerial Practices that Foster Sustainable and Health-Promoting Work Environments for Employees

Adebayo Oluwatosin

Obefami Awolowo University, Nigeria

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 21 March 2022

Revised 29 June 2022

Accepted 22 July 2022

### Key words:

Managerial behavior,  
Employee well-being,  
Leadership empathy,  
Psychological safety,  
Healthy work design,  
Organizational support,  
Burnout prevention.

## ABSTRACT

*This study examines how managerial practices shape the formation of healthy work environments and sustain employee well-being. Drawing upon qualitative literature, the discussion explores leadership behaviors, communication patterns, workload management, work-life balance, and trust-building as critical domains of managerial influence. The findings indicate that leaders who foster autonomy, consistency, and emotional safety contribute significantly to positive employee outcomes. Various studies highlight how authentic leadership, participatory decision-making, and reward systems correlate with increased psychological engagement and organizational commitment. Furthermore, the study emphasizes the need for structural support and feedback loops to ensure that wellness initiatives translate into lived experiences. Managers are positioned not only as operational overseers but as custodians of emotional and physical sustainability within the organizational ecosystem. Their ability to integrate human-centered policies with agile, inclusive leadership determines the quality and continuity of well-being efforts. This research contributes to the expanding discourse on sustainable management by outlining actionable dimensions of leadership that reinforce health in contemporary work structures.*

## INTRODUCTION

Modern organizations are increasingly evaluated not only by their financial performance but also by their ability to foster environments conducive to employee well-being. As work demands intensify across industries, the health of workplace conditions has become central to sustainable productivity and employee retention (Aryanti et al., 2020). A supportive and stable environment enhances psychological engagement, reduces turnover, and encourages discretionary effort. Within this dynamic, managerial leadership serves as a key determinant of whether organizational climates promote vitality or accelerate burnout (Sanchez, 2018).

Management influences workplace quality through deliberate choices in organizational policy, procedural implementation, and day-to-day interpersonal interaction (Amrani et al, 2020). The presence or absence of wellness programs, flexibility mechanisms, and empathetic leadership styles directly shapes the physical, emotional, and mental landscape in which employees operate. According to Wright and Cropanzano (2000), psychological well-

being at work correlates positively with job satisfaction, citizenship behavior, and performance outcomes – variables often modulated by managerial intervention.

As global workforces become more diverse and interconnected, the necessity of a responsive managerial framework becomes increasingly urgent. Leaders are expected to recognize stressors, preempt fatigue, and actively invest in balance-oriented systems. Successful leaders must be able to create a work environment that supports effective communication, sufficient autonomy for employees to organize their tasks, and inclusiveness that values diverse views and backgrounds. The quality of communication, autonomy, and inclusiveness that managers facilitate often determines whether a team flourishes or falters. These considerations are not auxiliary to organizational goals; they are embedded in the very architecture of performance and sustainability (Salem et al., 2017). Leaders who are able to integrate these aspects into their leadership style will be able to create more productive, innovative and committed teams.

\* Corresponding author, email address: [adebayo.oluwatosin@gmail.com](mailto:adebayo.oluwatosin@gmail.com)

When leadership intentionally supports health-promoting practices, a culture of care is institutionalized (Eriksson et al., 2017). Caring leadership not only focuses on results and achieving short-term goals, but also actively invests in the well-being of employees. This encompasses structural designs such as hybrid work arrangements, mental health provisions, and initiatives promoting physical wellness. It also involves symbolic leadership gestures—acknowledgment, fairness, and emotional availability—that signal psychological safety. This psychological security, provided by caring leadership, helps create a supportive work atmosphere where innovation and collaboration can flourish. As argued by Kelloway and Barling (2010), managerial commitment to wellness signals organizational legitimacy, attracting and retaining top-tier talent while enhancing reputation in competitive sectors.

Numerous challenges remain in aligning managerial practice with employee well-being. Despite increasing awareness, many organizations still adopt transactional approaches to labor, prioritizing output over individual flourishing. This approach prioritizes the achievement of results or profits at the expense of employees' personal development and well-being. In practice, this means that while companies claim to support work-life balance, they often do not provide the resources or policies that actually support this goal. One critical issue lies in the inconsistent implementation of health-related policies. While many firms publicly endorse work-life balance, internal culture may undermine these claims through excessive workload, limited flexibility, or unaddressed psychosocial risks. As revealed by Schaufeli and Bakker (2004), when perceived organizational support is low, employee engagement and resilience decline significantly.

Another issue involves the misalignment between managerial intent and employee experience. A manager may promote open-door communication, yet team members may feel constrained by hierarchical dynamics or fear of reprisal. This creates a gap between what managers intend and how employees perceive it, which in turn reduces the effectiveness of communication and hinders efforts to build transparent and collaborative working relationships. These unspoken disconnects erode trust and create hidden stress structures that are rarely captured in performance reviews. Without active dialogue and participatory evaluation, even well-designed initiatives can fail to meet employee expectations, leading to cynicism and disengagement. Misalignment between managerial intent and employee experience can lead to high employee turnover, decreased productivity, and loss of innovation potential.

Organizations often lack measurable indicators to track the effectiveness of wellness efforts. Without clear feedback mechanisms, managers may be unaware of burnout patterns or deteriorating morale until attrition rates spike. This absence of data-driven insight impairs timely intervention and strategic planning. As emphasized by Harter, Schmidt, and Keyes (2003), subjective well-being must be consistently monitored to ensure alignment between organizational values and lived employee realities.

The concern extends to middle management, who often serve as conduits between executive vision and employee experience. These individuals may themselves be overburdened, expected to meet performance targets while mediating interpersonal tensions and operational uncertainty. Without institutional support, they are unlikely to sustain the empathetic leadership required to foster well-being across hierarchies. Recognizing the systemic nature of this issue is essential for constructing effective, resilient organizational models.

Examining how management can facilitate a healthy working environment offers insight into the interplay between leadership style, structural design, and employee psychology. It contributes to the evolving discourse on human-centered organizational models, where employee welfare is not treated as an externality but as a primary objective of managerial action.

This study aims to explore how management decisions and behavior shape the formation of work environments that support employee wellness. It will examine the influence of leadership communication, organizational design, policy implementation, and psychological safety initiatives. The study intends to contribute actionable insights for improving managerial impact on employee welfare across diverse work structures and sectors.

## RESEARCH METHOD

This research employs a qualitative literature-based approach to examine how management practices influence the creation of healthy work environments. The study integrates theoretical constructs and empirical findings to map the relationship between leadership behavior and employee well-being. A literature review, as described by Aveyard (2010), enables researchers to critically analyze existing scholarly contributions, synthesize key themes, and identify conceptual gaps within a defined area of inquiry. This method is appropriate given the multifaceted nature of organizational wellness, which spans disciplines such as psychology, human resource management, and occupational health.

The sources for this research were collected from reputable databases including Google Scholar, ScienceDirect, and Wiley Online Library, with a focus on relevant articles, academic monographs, and scholarly books. The inclusion criteria emphasized relevance to leadership, workplace health, and employee engagement. Thematic analysis was used as the primary method of data interpretation. According to Braun and Clarke (2006), thematic analysis allows researchers to identify recurrent patterns across diverse texts while preserving the integrity of individual findings. By categorizing the literature into thematic clusters such as emotional well-being, managerial support, work-life balance, and organizational trust, this study constructs a comprehensive narrative to explain how management policies and behavior affect the subjective and objective indicators of a healthy workplace.

## **RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

Promoting employee wellness within an organization is inseparable from the quality of its leadership. While policies may articulate values, it is the behavior of managers that gives substance to these ideals. Leaders who are attentive, consistent, and emotionally aware shape environments where individuals feel safe to contribute, voice concerns, and grow. The lived atmosphere of a workplace often mirrors the tone set by those in supervisory roles, making managerial conduct a key variable in the health of organizational life (Hoert et al., 2018). Good policies only work if managers and leaders practice them consistently in their daily lives, creating a culture that truly supports the well-being, both physical and mental, of all team members.

Workplace well-being is not incidental to productivity—it is a precondition for it. Individuals who experience psychological safety at work are more engaged, creative, and resilient under pressure. Conversely, environments characterized by ambiguity, inconsistency, or neglect foster anxiety and withdrawal. Managerial decisions about communication, workload distribution, and recognition silently construct the framework in which wellness either flourishes or deteriorates. Even in seemingly trivial decisions, such as unequal workload distribution or poor communication, there are emotional and psychological burdens that may not be immediately apparent. These decisions carry emotional and psychological weight, even when seemingly procedural (Stoewen, 2016). If these decisions are not managed carefully, they can be detrimental to an employee's mental health and impact the overall performance of the team.

The intersection between leadership and well-being is both strategic and ethical. Employees do not only seek compensation; they seek environments that acknowledge their humanity. They want to feel valued, listened to, and accepted as individuals, not just resources that produce output. Managers who listen with presence, lead with clarity, and respond with fairness establish an invisible architecture of trust. When managers respond fairly, without bias or favoritism, they build a reputation as a trustworthy leader, which strengthens relationships with employees. This trust is not something that is directly visible, but is established through consistent actions and attention to employees' needs and aspirations, which has a profound effect on team motivation and performance. This foundation allows teams to endure periods of uncertainty while maintaining cohesion. In this light, leadership becomes a daily exercise in psychological stewardship (Mohan & Lone, 2021).

Supportive managerial practices serve as stabilizing forces within modern organizations marked by volatility and constant change. In a work environment full of uncertainty and ever-changing dynamics, employees often feel pressured by increasing demands and competing priorities. In such situations, consistent managerial support can act as a psychological anchor that provides a sense of security and stability. When employees navigate increasing demands and competing priority, the consistency of managerial support becomes a psychological anchor. When this support is embedded in everyday behavior—through kindness, fairness, and boundaries—it becomes more influential than formal programs or one-off interventions. Trust accumulates not in declarations but in the predictability of leadership conduct (Koinig & Diehl, 2021). When employees know they can count on their managers to provide fair and ongoing support, they feel better equipped to take on the challenges at hand, even though their surroundings may be unstable.

Empirical research underscores that managerial commitment to well-being has measurable effects on team health and organizational sustainability. Kelloway and Day (2005) found that leaders who cultivate empathy and reliability increase psychological safety, reduce strain, and improve collaboration. This body of evidence affirms that the journey toward a healthy work environment begins not in policy documents, but in the mindful choices leaders make each day—choices that shape culture, behavior, and ultimately, human thriving at work. A good working environment not only produces professionally developed employees, but also enhances their psychological well-being.

Managerial practices that emphasize psychological availability and empathetic listening foster relational trust. When leaders demonstrate responsiveness to employee concerns, it facilitates a climate of mutual respect and emotional resilience. Research by Luthans and Avolio (2003) supports this by indicating that authentic leadership contributes to higher levels of optimism, satisfaction, and organizational commitment. Such outcomes are essential for sustaining engagement and reducing occupational stress.

One critical area of focus is workload management. Managers who delegate tasks equitably, acknowledge time constraints, and resist overextension contribute significantly to employee health. Overwork is not solely a function of task volume but often stems from a lack of boundaries or unclear expectations. As Maslach et al. (2001) emphasize, chronic workload imbalance is a primary predictor of burnout and emotional exhaustion.

Work-life balance initiatives represent another pivotal managerial intervention. These initiatives may include flexible scheduling, hybrid work models, and support for caregiving responsibilities. However, implementation alone is insufficient; managers must actively endorse and model these policies. When supervisors respect personal time and discourage excessive overtime, it validates organizational messages about balance. According to Hill et al. (2001), perceived supervisor support is among the strongest predictors of work-life satisfaction.

Recognition and appreciation are also critical for workplace well-being. Managers who provide regular, sincere acknowledgment of employee contributions create a climate of value and inclusion. These micro-affirmations reinforce intrinsic motivation and buffer against disengagement. Cameron and Spreitzer (2012) note that positive organizational scholarship links gratitude-based leadership with enhanced vitality and prosocial behavior at work.

Communication practices employed by managers shape the psychological texture of the workplace. Clear, consistent, and transparent messaging minimizes uncertainty, which is a major source of stress in dynamic environments. When information is conveyed openly and without ambiguity, employees can understand their roles better and feel more confident in carrying out tasks. The tone and framing of communication affect how employees interpret organizational priorities. Fairness, civility, and openness in communication improve perceived justice and reinforce a sense of belonging (Colquitt et al., 2001).

Inclusive decision-making processes are another mechanism through which managerial practice supports well-being. When employees are invited to participate in policy discussions or operational adjustments, it elevates their sense of agency. This participatory approach strengthens autonomy—a psychological need linked to well-being, as articulated in self-determination theory (Deci & Ryan, 2000). Managers who create space for employee voice enable more responsive and sustainable health interventions.

Physical health programming, such as ergonomic assessments, fitness subsidies, and healthy eating campaigns, is often initiated at the managerial level. While such programs may appear peripheral, they signal a broader ethos of care. When a wellness program is designed and socialized by managers, it not only provides physical facilities, but also creates an organizational culture that places health as part of work success. Supervisors who promote physical wellness indirectly reduce absenteeism and presenteeism, improving both morale and output. Goetzel et al. (2002) found that leadership support was a strong determinant of participation in corporate wellness efforts.

Equally important is how managers address interpersonal conflict. The ability to mediate disagreements impartially and constructively reduces emotional strain and mitigates the corrosive effects of unresolved tension. Conflict mismanagement can produce a toxic environment that undermines wellness initiatives. Effective conflict resolution reflects not only managerial skill but also emotional maturity and organizational integrity.

Training and development opportunities directly impact employee motivation and psychological growth. Managers who encourage learning and support professional aspirations contribute to a sense of progress and self-efficacy. This growth orientation reduces stagnation and promotes cognitive engagement. London and Smither (2002) argue that developmental leadership enhances both job satisfaction and individual adaptability—two variables essential to well-being.

Ethical leadership is another critical factor. Managers who uphold integrity, transparency, and consistency set moral benchmarks that reduce organizational cynicism and emotional fatigue. Brown, Treviño, and Harrison (2005) demonstrate that ethical leadership is positively associated with employee well-being because it enhances trust and reduces psychological contract violations.

Trust-building is a recurring theme in effective managerial health practices. Trust is constructed through reliability, competence, and benevolence.



When employees trust their managers, they are more likely to communicate openly, seek feedback, and engage with organizational resources. As Dirks and Ferrin (2002) note, high-trust environments correlate with reduced stress, greater collaboration, and higher resilience.

Flexibility and individualization are becoming increasingly necessary as generational expectations and workforce diversity evolve. Managers must adapt practices to accommodate neurodiversity, chronic health conditions, and differing cultural understandings of wellness. This requires an agile and empathetic leadership style grounded in equity and awareness. Diversity-sensitive leadership expands the inclusivity of wellness initiatives and strengthens organizational unity.

Evaluation mechanisms are essential for closing the loop on wellness strategies. Managers must establish regular feedback systems to assess the efficacy of policies and adjust accordingly. Data collection—through surveys, interviews, or performance reviews—allows leaders to make evidence-based decisions. Without feedback, even well-intentioned policies may stagnate or misfire. Nielsen et al. (2010) highlight that feedback-rich environments are more adaptive and psychologically supportive.

Finally, sustainability requires managerial consistency. Health-promoting behavior must be habitual, not episodic. Managers must integrate wellness into operational routines, budget decisions, and strategic planning. When leadership commitment to wellness becomes institutionalized, it produces cultural coherence and long-term psychological safety. The continuity of care solidifies trust and signals that employee well-being is a core organizational value.

## CONCLUSION

Managerial practices that support employee well-being do not emerge by default but through intentional cultivation of communication, equity, inclusion, and psychological safety. The evidence underscores that leaders who exhibit authenticity, provide flexibility, support balance, and reinforce recognition directly contribute to healthier work environments. These environments serve as a foundation for engagement, creativity, and retention. By aligning structural policies with relational behavior, management reinforces a shared sense of trust and organizational coherence. Ultimately, the presence of empathetic, consistent leadership is inseparable from the quality of workplace well-being.

The managerial role must evolve to embrace wellness as a core organizational objective. As psychological and physical well-being become critical factors in competitive advantage, businesses must integrate health-oriented practices into leadership evaluation and development. This redefinition of effective management requires both policy literacy and interpersonal skill, empowering leaders to navigate complexity while sustaining human capital. Organizations that institutionalize these frameworks are more likely to adapt, innovate, and thrive in increasingly dynamic labor environments. To sustain healthy work environments, organizations should embed well-being metrics into leadership KPIs and provide targeted training in empathy, conflict mediation, and adaptive scheduling. Managers should be equipped to co-design wellness frameworks with teams, leveraging feedback and diverse needs. Furthermore, long-term support for middle managers is essential, as their capacity to implement wellness is often constrained by top-down demands. Structural and cultural alignment is key to achieving authentic, lasting health outcomes in the workplace.

## REFERENCES

- Amrani, M., Rachid, C., Azzedine, B., & Verzea, I. (2020). Well-Being at Work: A Lever for Sustainable Performance in Workplace. *Mining Science*, 27(27), 89-104.
- Aryanti, R. D., Sari, E. Y. D., & Widiana, H. S. (2020, October 20). A Literature Review of Workplace Well-Being. In *International Conference on Community Development (ICCD 2020)*, 605-609.
- Aveyard, H. (2010). *Doing a Literature Review in Health and Social Care: A Practical Guide (2nd Ed.)*. Open University Press.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using Thematic Analysis in Psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77-101.
- Brown, M. E., Treviño, L. K., & Harrison, D. A. (2005). Ethical Leadership: A Social Learning Perspective for Construct Development and Testing. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 97(2), 117-134.
- Cameron, K. S., & Spreitzer, G. M. (2012). *The Oxford Handbook of Positive Organizational Scholarship*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Colquitt, J. A., Conlon, D. E., Wesson, M. J., Porter, C. O. L., & Ng, K. Y. (2001). Justice at the Millennium: A Meta-Analytic Review of 25 Years of Organizational Justice Research. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 86(3), 425-445.

- Darmawan, D. (2021). Objective Vagueness, Appraisal Bias, and Lack of Employee Involvement in Performance Appraisal and Job Satisfaction in Organizations, *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(1), 117 – 122.
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The “What” and “Why” of Goal Pursuits: Human Needs and the Self-Determination of Behavior. *Psychological Inquiry*, 11(4), 227–268.
- Dirks, K. T., & Ferrin, D. L. (2002). Trust in Leadership: Meta-Analytic Findings and Implications for Research and Practice. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87(4), 611–628.
- Eriksson, A., Orvik, A., Strandmark, M., Nordsteien, A., & Torp, S. (2017). Management and Leadership Approaches to Health Promotion and Sustainable Workplaces: A Scoping Review. *Societies*, 7(2), 14–30.
- Goetzel, R. Z., Anderson, D. R., Whitmer, R. W., Ozminkowski, R. J., Dunn, R. L., & Wasserman, J. (2002). The Relationship Between Modifiable Health Risks and Health Care Expenditures. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 40(10), 843–854.
- Harter, J. K., Schmidt, F. L., & Keyes, C. L. (2003). Well-being in the Workplace and its Relationship to Business Outcomes: A Review of the Gallup Studies. *Flourishing: Positive Psychology and the Life Well-lived*, 205–224.
- Hill, E. J., Hawkins, A. J., Ferris, M., & Weitzman, M. (2001). Finding an Extra Day a Week: The Positive Influence of Perceived Job Flexibility on Work and Family Life Balance. *Family Relations*, 50(1), 49–58.
- Hoert, J., Herd, A. M., & Hambrick, M. E. (2018). The Role of Leadership Support for Health Promotion in Employee Wellness Program Participation, Perceived Job Stress, and Health Behaviors. *American Journal of Health Promotion*, 32(4), 1054–1061.
- Irfan, M., D. Darmawan. (2021). Improving Psychological Wellbeing through Emotion Management in Daily Life, *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(1), 179 – 184.
- Ismail, A. B. (2021). Self-care Practices and Their Implications for Individual Well-being, *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(2), 7 – 10.
- Kelloway, E. K., & Barling, J. (2010). Leadership Development as an Intervention in Occupational Health Psychology. *Work & Stress*, 24(3), 260–279.
- Kelloway, E. K., & Day, A. L. (2005). Building Healthy Workplaces: What We Know So Far. *Canadian Journal of Behavioural Science*, 37(4), 223–235.
- Koinig, I., & Diehl, S. (2021). Healthy Leadership and Workplace Health Promotion as a Pre-Requisite for Organizational Health. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(17), 9260.
- London, M., & Smither, J. W. (2002). Feedback Orientation, Feedback Culture, and the Longitudinal Performance Management Process. *Human Resource Management Review*, 12(1), 81–100.
- Luthans, F., & Avolio, B. J. (2003). Authentic Leadership Development. In K. S. Cameron, J. E. Dutton, & R. E. Quinn (Eds.), *Positive Organizational Scholarship* (pp. 241–258). San Francisco: Berrett-Koehler.
- Maslach, C., Schaufeli, W. B., & Leiter, M. P. (2001). Job Burnout. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 52(1), 397–422.
- Mohan, H., & Lone, Z. A. (2021). Psychological Wellbeing of Employees. *Social Science Research Network*.
- Nielsen, K., Randall, R., Holten, A. L., & González, E. R. (2010). Conducting Organizational-Level Occupational Health Interventions: What Works?. *Work & Stress*, 24(3), 234–259.
- Salem, B., Alves Lino, J., & Simons, J. (2017). A Framework for Responsive Environments. In *Ambient Intelligence: 13th European Conference, Aml 2017, Malaga, Spain, April 26–28, 2017, Proceedings 13* (pp. 263–277). Springer International Publishing.
- Sanchez, I. V. (2018). *Ambientes de Trabajo Saludable, un camino al Rendimiento en la Productividad de las Empresas*. Universidad Militar Nueva Granada.
- Schaufeli, W. B., & Bakker, A. B. (2004). Job Demands, Job Resources, and Their Relationship with Burnout and Engagement: A Multi-Sample Study. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 25(3), 293–315.
- Stoewen, D. L. (2016). Wellness at work: Building Healthy Workplaces. *Canadian Veterinary Journal-Revue Veterinaire Canadienne*, 57(11), 1188.
- Wright, T. A., & Cropanzano, R. (2000). Psychological Well-Being and Job Satisfaction as Predictors of Job Performance. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 5(1), 84–94.