

Social Construction of Gender, Regulatory Bias, and Inequality of Rights in Modern Family Law Systems

Abdurrahman Assayuthi, Sarwo Waskito, Wakid Evendi

Universitas Sunan Giri Surabaya, Indonesia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 25 November 2022

Revised 14 December 2022

Accepted 14 January 2023

Key words:

Family law,
Gender equality,
Regulation,
Bias,
Legislation,
Women's representation,
Judicial practice.

ABSTRACT

This study critically examines the social construction of gender roles in family law structures and practices through a thematic qualitative literature review. The synthesis of findings shows that regulatory texts and court practices in the field of family law are heavily influenced by traditional perceptions of male-female relations, as enshrined in the Marriage Law and the Compilation of Islamic Law. The tendency to place men as heads of families and women in the domestic sphere has resulted in systemic barriers to women's access to essential rights, such as child care, economic rights, and decision-making. The lack of gender-based legal education in formal institutions and the dominance of male actors in the legislative process reinforce the marginalization of women's rights in the family arena. More progressive legislative efforts still face cultural resistance and implementation barriers in the judicial environment. Although there have been several reform initiatives, real change requires the involvement of cross-sectoral actors – policy makers, advocates, academics, and civil society – to push family law towards greater justice and adaptability to modern social dynamics. This study emphasizes the urgency of strengthening gender equality-based legal education, revising regulations, and increasing women's representation in family law policy-making as key catalysts for the formation of an inclusive social order and ensuring the equitable distribution of rights within the family.

INTRODUCTION

The family law system in many societies represents a strategic arena for the interpretation and negotiation of social norms regarding male-female relations. In certain social orders, constructions of masculinity are often identified with leadership, authority, and economic responsibility, while femininity is positioned within the domestic sphere, subordination, and obedience. Even though social developments have changed the landscape of roles within the family, family law, both at the level of rules and judicial practice, remains a space for the reproduction of traditional ideas about gender. One reason for this is that family law does not stand alone, but is always structured on the historical, religious and cultural norms of the local community (Larsen et al., 2013; Charrad, 2011; Moors, 2018).

Global literature on family law often highlights that the process of formulating and implementing laws in this area is highly susceptible to the impact of gender stereotyping (Halley & Rittich, 2010; Islam & Asadullah, 2018). In predominantly Muslim

societies, various domestic regulations, such as the Compilation of Islamic Law and the Marriage Law, have explicitly or implicitly affirmed the construction of the husband as the head of the family and the wife as the manager of the household. This legislative framework encourages an asymmetrical relationship that has a long-term impact on women's access to child custody rights, economic rights, and decision-making after divorce (Nasution & Nasution, 2021; Syarif, 2021). This phenomenon is in line with the findings of Zahid and Darmawan (2022), which confirm that social stereotypes play a major role in shaping intergroup relations and social inequality, including in the case of the division of roles and authority within the family.

In Indonesia, family law regulations are strongly reflected in the Marriage Law and the Compilation of Islamic Law, where religious interpretations and remnants of the colonial past are combined in a set of rules that emphasize male leadership in the household and the domestication of women. In judicial practice, gender bias is

* Corresponding author, email address: dr.sarwotaskito@gmail.com

increasingly evident when judges interpret the implementation of norms by bringing in social assumptions, such as the assessment of working women, who are often seen as violating their domestic duties (Nurjanah et al., 2022; Nurlaelawati & Van Huis, 2019). The lack of gender equality-based legal education and the low representation of women in policy-making positions exacerbate this inequality (Rokhmad & Susilo, 2017). In the case of professionalism in law enforcement, Saktiawan et al. (2021) emphasize the importance of ethical principles and integrity in legal advocacy practices in Indonesia, as these values form the foundation for ensuring substantive justice is upheld without bias towards gender or social status.

Modern social realities show a major transformation in the structure and function of families, particularly in urban and middle-class families. Women are increasingly taking on economic and leadership roles in the household. However, legal regulations have not fully reflected these changes, causing a mismatch between social structures and normative frameworks. As a result, women who exceed conventional boundaries are often trapped by stigma and experience discrimination, while legal policies are slow to adjust to these changes in reality (Fineman, 2013; Platt, 2017; Lemons, 2019). Darmawan et al. (2021); Pakpahan et al. (2022) explain that social stigma and discrimination often have a direct impact on individuals' psychological well-being and social engagement, making more inclusive legal changes important to reduce the psychosocial impact experienced by women in modern family systems.

The main problem arising from the social construction of family law is the inequality of access and substantive justice for women in the domestic and public spheres. Regulations that standardize husbands as leaders and wives as homemakers result in women being highly dependent on male authority, especially in terms of strategic decision-making, child custody, and the division of economic rights after divorce (Larsen et al., 2013; Moors, 2018). This also has an impact on the legitimacy of court practices, which often fail to recognize the basic needs of women and children when dealing with family law issues.

Apart from the legal framework, problems also arise from biased legal implementation strategies. Judges and law enforcement officials generally lack education on gender equality and are trapped in traditional interpretations that are inadequate for accepting the dynamics of modern families. As a result, there are often legal interpretations that place

women in a minor and less protected position, especially when there are conflicts over custody or the division of joint property (Nasution & Nasution, 2021; Charrad, 2011). Adaptive communication skills and sensitivity to social diversity are crucial to prevent interpretative conflicts between officials and litigants (Marsal & Darmawan, 2022).

The transition of social change in today's society has led to a discrepancy between conservative formal legal values and evolving social needs. Working women are positioned as a threat to domestic harmony, while men's failure to fulfil their financial responsibilities is relatively more forgivable. Debates on women's space and rights are increasingly prominent, amid demands for gender-equitable family law reform (Halley & Rittich, 2010; Nurlaelawati & Van Huis, 2019). The adaptation of family law to the demands of social modernity has been very slow, while cultural pressures and social stigma remain a heavy burden for women who are trying to redefine their roles in the household.

The gap between social expectations and the reality of family law remains at the heart of the problem. The asymmetry of women's roles and access in the family arena manifests itself in the form of neglect of basic rights, whether in education, economics, or the care of future generations (Syarif, 2021; Platt, 2017). Family law norms that have not accommodated social change have proven to reproduce inequality and slow down the progress of substantive justice. Conservatism in gender constructs adopted by key regulations, such as the Marriage Law, has caused stagnation in the process towards equality and justice. Hardyansah et al. (2022) emphasize that socio-economic inequality often stems from lifestyles and social structures that are not adaptive to changing values, making family law reform an urgent necessity for achieving equitable welfare.

Focusing on this issue is important because the ability of the family law system to adapt to changes in social structure will have direct consequences on the welfare of family members, especially women and children. Family laws that are sensitive to shifts in gender norms can open up space for the creation of more egalitarian and equitable relationships within the household institution. Equally important, changes in the structure of family law have great potential to set a precedent for broader cultural transformation in society. Therefore, critical studies of the role of gender norms in family law are very significant in building a knowledge base that can spark regulatory reform.

In-depth literature studies are also key to formulating a family law framework that is in line with the sociological realities of modern society and capable of mitigating inequalities resulting from traditional social constructs. A paradigm shift based on gender analysis will help produce legal formulations that are fair, adaptive, and relevant to the real needs of society. Through systematic literature analysis, the academic community can contribute significantly to strengthening advocacy and developing new regulations based on data and empirical experience.

The main issues presented in this discussion are how social constructions of gender roles are reflected in family law regulations and practices and influence women's access to essential rights within the family. Furthermore, to what extent are legislative mechanisms and court practices able to accommodate social dynamics and mitigate gender bias in the application of family law?

The objective of this study is to analyse the structure and dynamics of social constructions of gender roles in the formation and implementation of family law, both at the regulatory and judicial levels, and to evaluate the capacity of the legislative and judicial systems to respond to demands for gender equality in modern society. This study is also expected to contribute significantly to the formulation of family law policies that are more relevant, fair, and sensitive to social developments, while strengthening the academic basis for advocating future regulatory reforms.

RESEARCH METHOD

This research design uses a qualitative approach based on literature study, which focuses on in-depth analysis of scientific works, journals, and key reference books in the fields of family law and sociology. Literature study was chosen as the main method with the aim of understanding how social constructions related to gender roles develop and are reproduced in the formation and application of family law. In this process, the researcher applied thematic analysis based on the identification of key themes and patterns of argumentation that emerged in various literature sources (Creswell & Poth, 2018). Thematic analysis allows researchers to dissect the relationship between gender norms, social structures, and legal regulations through a process of systematic coding, categorization, and interpretation of textual data.

The next stage involves the critical selection of publications that meet the criteria of relevance, validity, and topicality. Each source used is

examined for authenticity and relevance to the research problem to avoid literature bias. Data collection is carried out by searching scientific databases, digital libraries, and credible journal catalogues, ensuring that the selected sources come from publications that have undergone a peer review process (Given, 2008; Bowen, 2009). After the data was collected, the researchers conducted a review and thematic analysis by grouping the data according to the research questions, thereby facilitating the drawing of an original and substantial final synthesis.

The application of qualitative literature study methods in this topic offers the advantage of exploring the complexity of social realities regarding gender bias in family law regulations and practices, without being constrained by the limitations of field data. The validity of the research results is maintained through triangulation of literature data and cross-validation of content between sources, as recommended by Flick (2018). These verification steps ensure that the conclusions drawn are not only academically valuable but also based on accurate facts and empirical references.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Reflections on Gender Bias in Family Law Regulations and Practices

Social constructions of gender roles have formed the main foundation of family law regulations and their application in society. Masculinity norms are synonymous with leadership and authority in the household, while femininity continues to be associated with domestic roles, caregiving, and obedience. The differences between men and women in natural and cultural contexts often underlie the division of labor. As a result, the imbalance of roles between men and women can, in some cases, lead to male domination over women (Firdausy, 2022).

In many Muslim societies, this social construct gains legitimacy through family laws that are written and interpreted within a hierarchical gender framework (Sonbol, 2003). This classification of roles is embedded in various formal regulations, including the Compilation of Islamic Law and the Marriage Law, which affirm men as the head of the family and primary breadwinner, and women as managers of the household and children (Cammack & Feener, 2012; Larsen et al., 2013). This phenomenon has long-standing systemic consequences for the division of rights and obligations within the family institution (Kazianga & Wahhaj, 2017).

Empirically, gender bias in family law is often reproduced latently, both in the text of legislation and in judicial practice. The affirmation of the husband's superiority over his wife enshrined in law places women in a position that is vulnerable to rights violations, especially in cases of divorce, child custody disputes, and the distribution of economic rights (Wieringa, 2015; Santoso & Nasrudin, 2021). In many cases, women's access to essential rights is highly dependent on the policies of judges or mediators who are steeped in perceptions and social values that are deeply rooted in the culture of society. The legal framework regulated by the state is often more an articulation of traditional norms than a reflection of current social needs (Fineman, 2013; Barkah et al., 2022). The importance of developing policies that are sustainable yet remain relevant to local cultural values, so that the legal system can adapt to social changes without losing its cultural legitimacy.

In society, socializing is taught as something that is prominent and overlooked, therefore it is valid to claim that gender is socially constructed through our daily practices, regardless of whether we are aware of this construction or not (Greco, 2013). Evidence on the ground shows that women who are economically and socially active still face legal barriers and community stigma. Discrimination exists, for example, when women who work outside the home are seen as having failed in their domestic duties. Meanwhile, husbands' neglect of their financial obligations is often tolerated. This disparity in the interpretation of regulations limits women's ability to access and demand their rights fairly (Platt, 2017; Ahmed, 2021). This bias is crystallized in court decisions that still often award child custody to fathers even though the child's emotional attachment to the mother is stronger, or divide joint property unequally.

Recent research also reveals that family law regulations and judicial institutions are still heavily dominated by gender-biased interpretations, both at the legislative level and in law enforcement practices (Lazreg, 2018; Shaham, 2010). The lack of gender awareness among law enforcement officials adds to the list of obstacles for women in their fight for justice, especially in relation to custody, inheritance, and economic access after divorce. Existing legal education models emphasize normative procedural aspects rather than critical learning from a gender perspective (Rinaldo, 2014). As a result, the process of legal reform often stops at the level of policy formalities without touching on more fundamental paradigm shifts in understanding justice and gender equality in the legal sphere.

In society, the significant changes in women's social roles have not been substantially accommodated by the family law system. Many women are now the backbone of the household economy and play a role in strategic decision-making, but this reality often clashes with traditional values that are still strongly upheld by regulations (Ahmed, 2021). This creates a gap between real social needs and the legal norms enforced by the state. Legal regulations tend to be static and rigid, so the actualization of women's rights is slow.

This situation indicates that family law in Indonesia is still more oriented towards preserving the social order than towards empowering individuals. As a result, changes in women's roles in the economic and social structure have not been accompanied by updates to legal instruments that are responsive to these dynamics. In line with Darmawan's (2022) findings, changes in the social and economic behavior of modern women, including the shifting role of housewives in economic activities, show that women now have a significant contribution to decision-making and household consumption dynamics, a fact that should be reflected in family law policies. This gap between social reality and legal norms weakens women's bargaining position in legal forums, as the applicable rules do not fully reflect the principle of substantive equality as mandated in various international human rights instruments. Therefore, family law reform needs to be directed towards normative adjustments as well as a paradigm shift that places women as active subjects in social and legal development.

The implications of this lag in family law are evident in women's lack of courage to demand their rights, especially inheritance rights, custody rights, and protection from domestic violence. Legal awareness among the general public is also often low, due to a lack of legal assistance and minimal education on gender equality issues. Legal aid institutions and women's social organizations still have very limited reach, while formal legal instruments do not yet have effective mechanisms for monitoring the implementation of decisions that promote gender justice (Sportel, 2016; Fournier, 2016).

Gender bias is a condition that favors or disadvantages one gender. The assumption that women are nurturing and diligent, and are not suited to being heads of households, results in all domestic work becoming the responsibility of women (Rasdiana, 2022). Another factor that reinforces gender bias in family law is the existence of a patriarchal culture that continues to be perpetuated through social institutions, education, and the mass

media. The representation of women in legislative bodies and in law-making practices is minimal, so that women's aspirations are often ignored (Lazreg, 2018). The lack of women's involvement in the legislative process results in family law tending to favor outdated norms rather than responding to the demands of the times (Mir-Hosseini, 2011). It is this gap that causes family law reforms to always face resistance from conservative groups.

The theoretical approach of several researchers concludes that reform efforts in the family law system have not been balanced with a paradigm shift in legal education and training for judicial officials. This proves the existence of a systemic rigidity in viewing gender norms as something fixed, rather than as a social product that can be transformed in line with the dynamics of society (Rahman, 2012). Thus, discrimination against women often arises institutionally because the legal system still uses social references that lag behind reality. This situation shows that legal reform cannot stand alone without being accompanied by more inclusive institutional cultural change.

The interpretation of family law at the judicial level also depends heavily on the background and preferences of individual law enforcement officials. Judges' decisions are often influenced by social values and subjective interpretations, exacerbating uncertainty and injustice. This demonstrates the weakness of the regulatory system in controlling the overly broad scope of interpretation, which is not accompanied by controls based on substantive values of justice (Schenk, 2018). In this case, the reflective capacity and social sensitivity of judicial officials are crucial factors in bridging the gap between legal norms and the social reality of society. Without training and supervision mechanisms oriented towards gender equality and social justice, family law practices risk continuing to reproduce bias and discrimination in judicial decisions.

Women's lack of access to legal rights within the family is exacerbated by the disconnect between the social system and formal state regulations. In many cases, women who are victims of domestic violence find it difficult to obtain protection because law enforcement officials tend to focus on mediation that preserves family integrity, rather than taking a firm stance for justice and protection of victims' rights (Santoso & Nasrudin, 2021; Sinambela & Mardikaningsih, 2022). In rural communities, traditional and religious values are more dominant than state law, resulting in a plurality of interpretations and enforcement of norms.

Differences in regional characteristics, economic backgrounds, and access to education further exacerbate the reality of inequality in the implementation of women's rights. This issue is not merely a technical obstacle, but rather indicates that there are structural mechanisms that lock women into a position of subordination. The gap in roles and access to essential rights within the family persists across regions and over time, requiring comprehensive changes that target social, legal, and cultural roots. Family welfare can only be achieved if social and legal relations within the institution of marriage are built on an equal footing, without discrimination based on gender or religious background.

The modern family system should provide equal opportunities for women and men to participate in all aspects of family life, including decision-making, economic matters and childcare. However, family law practices still largely ignore this reality. Discourse on authority and leadership in the household is still dominated by old paradigms, while social needs are moving towards greater equality and inclusiveness. In this case, social transformation that emphasizes gender equality requires the reconstruction of values and legal norms that are more responsive to changes in family roles. Reformulating family law policy is important so that the law functions as an instrument of social control and as a tool for empowerment and justice for all family members.

In practice, women who try to fight for justice in the family law system often face complex structural challenges. These range from resistance from extended families and administrative barriers to weak advocacy networks. This situation reflects the continuing strong influence of patriarchal social structures, which treat women not as autonomous legal subjects but as part of a family unit subject to male authority, meaning that their legal efforts are often hampered by conservative social norms. There is a great need to reform perspectives and legal mechanisms to be more accommodating and supportive of the principle of substantive justice for all family members.

The cultural process also causes the selection of roles between men and women and subsequently leads to three things, namely femininity and masculinity, the division of public and domestic roles, and dominant and subordinate positions (Maulida, 2021). Thus, the social construction of gender roles internalized in family law regulations and practices proves that substantive justice for women is still far from being achieved.

Transformation is achieved not only through regulations, but also through changes in social paradigms and the strengthening of advocacy networks. There needs to be strong synergy between regulations, legal education, changes in public mindset, and the representation of women in every line of policy-making. Regulations without paradigm shifts will only reinforce the status quo and distance society from true justice in the family.

Legislative Transition and Gender Bias Resistance in the Judicial Domain

The mechanism of family law legislation currently faces major challenges in its efforts to adapt to rapid social changes and gender dynamics in modern society. When family laws are drafted, there is a tendency to repeat traditional norms that place men in a dominant position and women in the domestic sphere. Formal legislation, such as the Marriage Law and the Compilation of Islamic Law, often remains based on old social constructs, so that any attempt to update regulations must contend with cultural stigma and resistance from conservative groups (Lapidus, 2014; Noviana et al., 2022). This situation has slowed the shift towards a more inclusive gender paradigm.

Efforts to reform family law legislation have not been fully able to mitigate gender bias (Warshak, 2005). In the legislative process, the dominance of male actors and the lack of female representation mean that women's aspirations and real experiences often go unheard (Nelson & Constantinidis, 2017). The effectiveness of legislation is often hampered by social discourse, patriarchal ideology, and lobbying practices in parliament that tend to maintain the status quo. As a result, regulatory revisions are hampered and the resulting legal products still contain many articles that are gender discriminatory (Butt, 2010; Purkon et al., 2022).

In the realm of court practice, social bias is reflected in various civil and divorce case rulings, particularly those related to child custody, property division, and women's economic rights after divorce (Ramadhita, 2022). Many judges still bring traditional perspectives into their interpretation of the law, resulting in women who are the breadwinners of the family still being considered as not fulfilling their "natural duties" in the household (Grijns & Horii, 2018; Al-Sharmani, 2017). Child custody, for example, is often granted to fathers solely on the basis of patriarchal kinship relations, rather than considerations of the child's overall welfare and rights.

The mismatch between social needs and regulatory realities is exacerbated by law enforcement officials' poor understanding of gender issues. The legal education received by officials is often normative in nature, without incorporating substantive gender-based justice analysis (Brenner, 2011; Salenda, 2016). Court practices have proven to be insufficient in encouraging women to assert their rights—whether in terms of economic matters, childcare, or protection from domestic violence. This situation indicates that the main problem lies not only in weak regulations, but also in institutional mindsets that continue to treat women as secondary parties in legal proceedings.

The influence of religious and cultural values is reinforced by the involvement of religious leaders in the mediation process and the interpretation of law by religious court officials. In many cases, compromises are made so as not to conflict with religious texts or dominant customs, even though social realities have changed (Meetoo & Mirza, 2010; Yilmaz, 2019). Legal procedures are sometimes manipulated to avoid open conflict with conservative social norms, preventing women from obtaining substantive justice. For example, in Articles 3-4 and 5 of the UUP, it appears that the UUP is pro-polygamy. All the reasons that allow husbands to practice polygamy are viewed solely from the perspective of the husband's interests, without any consideration of the wife's interests (Asnawi, 2011).

Various studies show that religious courts and legislative bodies often fail to absorb the aspirations of modern women who need a more adaptive family law system (Badran, 2013; Hammer, 2015). The process of legal reform is often only superficial, without touching on substantial aspects such as the division of domestic roles, economic rights, and women's leadership in the family. This hinders the creation of a legal environment that is truly sensitive and responsive to social developments.

Internal debates among policymakers cannot be ignored either. There is friction between reformist groups demanding the revision of discriminatory articles and traditional groups who want to maintain the classical interpretation. If not managed carefully, this debate has the potential to cause stagnation and polarization within the body responsible for drafting family law regulations (Ozkaya, 2022; Noviana et al., 2022). This dynamic shows that the legislative process in the field of family law is often influenced more by political and cultural pressures than by academic considerations and gender equality principles based on human rights (Zahid & Darmawan, 2022).

Progress in mitigating gender bias is beginning to be felt in a number of selected cases, particularly in urban areas with higher levels of legal literacy. Education, advocacy by civil society organizations, and increased representation of women in the legislature are beginning to show change, albeit still very limited (Aliyah et al., 2022; Hariani et al., 2022). However, in many rural areas, the influence of customs and religion is still very strong, so women who fight for their rights risk facing stigma and social isolation.

This gap shows that legal and policy changes cannot be separated from the socio-cultural context in which the law is implemented. Top-down legal reforms tend to be less effective if they are not accompanied by a participatory approach that involves community leaders, religious leaders, and local organizations in the process of internalizing gender equality values. In line with the views of Infante and Darmawan (2022), women's involvement in practices and decision-making in various sectors, including human resource management, is an important indicator of progress in gender equality, which should also be reflected in the realm of family law and policy. Efforts to change perceptions and social norms at the community level are strategic steps to ensure that the principle of substantive justice is culturally accepted and consistently implemented in everyday life.

On the other hand, there have been efforts to transform family law through community involvement, capacity building, and public campaigns on gender equality in the domestic sphere (Mir-Hosseini, 2011; Brenner, 2011). Gender-based legal education for judicial officials is slowly being implemented. However, its effects are still limited because it has not been widely integrated into formal legal training and education curricula. In addition, the implementation of these programmed is often not accompanied by a continuous evaluation mechanism, making it difficult to measure their impact on changing attitudes and practices in the field.

In the Indonesian Supreme Court rulings and progressive legal interpretations often provide a breath of fresh air for women's movements, but their scope and binding force are still not comprehensive (Purkon et al., 2022; Azwir et al., 2022). The role of women's organizations, advocates, and the strengthening of cross-sectoral networks are crucial to pushing for systemic change in family law. This collaborative effort is also important to ensure that progressive jurisprudence outcomes can be translated into concrete policies at

the implementation level, so that legal reform does not stop at the normative level, but truly touches the social life of the community.

Addressing social constructs regarding gender roles in family law requires profound transformation, both in terms of regulations and social awareness. An important first step is to reform family law to be more gender-sensitive. This means that every rule must be drafted and interpreted with consideration for equality between men and women, and norms that explicitly place one gender in a subordinate position must be eliminated. Regulations need to be reviewed so that they no longer reproduce traditional roles that limit women's space in the family.

In addition to legal reform, gender-sensitive legal education should be strengthened at all levels, from higher education to law enforcement training. Judges, advocates and court officials must be equipped with an understanding of gender bias in order to be able to make fair decisions. This step can be reinforced by integrating gender equality modules into the law curriculum and establishing inter-professional discussion forums to broaden perspectives on substantive justice. Change must also be encouraged at the cultural level through campaigns and the involvement of community leaders to shift the old paradigm towards equality.

An approach that involves increasing the representation of women in policy-making institutions will encourage the creation of more equitable policies. Women's courage to fight for their rights through formal and informal channels must be supported by strong civil society organizations. Without synergy between the legal system, education, public campaigns and community empowerment, gender bias in family law will remain entrenched in a changing society.

CONCLUSION

This study shows that the reproduction of gender norms in family law regulations and practices has a significant impact on women's access to essential rights, including child care, property division, and strategic decisions within the family. The persistence of old, conservative regulations, the lack of female representation in the legislative process, and the dominance of traditional values in the judicial environment are major obstacles to achieving substantive justice based on gender equality. Reforms towards an inclusive family law system still need concrete encouragement through discourse, legislative, institutional, and grassroots-level socialization transformations.

The main implication of this research is the importance of a paradigm shift throughout the entire family law system: from legislation, legal education, capacity building for judicial officials, to strengthening advocacy institutions. The involvement of women in legislative bodies, civil society advocacy, and gender-equality-based legal education needs to be prioritized. In addition, there needs to be a mechanism for periodic evaluation of the implementation of family policies so that every regulatory change truly has an impact on substantive justice at the community level. If not adopted immediately, the family law system will lag behind, and the social effects of stagnant domestic relations and unfair distribution of rights within families will continue to recur.

Strategic recommendations that can be proposed include: accelerating the revision of family law regulations to make them more adaptive to sociological realities, increasing education and training for law enforcement officials on gender issues, strengthening synergies between civil society organizations, universities and legislative bodies, and encouraging women to actively fight for their rights through formal and informal channels. Without multidimensional synergy, the challenge of gender bias in family law will not be resolved in a sustainable and fair manner.

The effectiveness of family law reform depends not only on regulatory changes, but also on changes in the paradigms of legal actors at the grassroots level. Law enforcement officials, such as judges, prosecutors, and legal advisors, need to be equipped with a comprehensive gender equality perspective in order to be able to interpret the law contextually and fairly. The integration of substantive justice principles in the law enforcement process will reduce the gap between formalistic legal norms and dynamic social needs, especially in cases involving women and children.

In addition, civil society participation is a key factor in ensuring the sustainability of family law reform. Non-governmental organizations, academics, and the mass media can act as social watchdogs and public education agents to raise public awareness of the law. Improving community-based legal literacy and strengthening the capacity of women's organizations at the local level will expand access to justice and strengthen women's bargaining position in the social and legal systems. Thus, family law reform is not only a state agenda, but also a social movement that affirms a commitment to the principles of gender equality and justice.

REFERENCES

Ahmed, L. (2021). *Women and Gender in Islam: Historical Roots of a Modern Debate*. Yale University Press.

Aliyah, N. D., Y. Vitrianingsih, & M. E. Safira. (2022). The Importance of Family Support in Mental Wellbeing: The Impact of Dependency, Disharmony, and Inability to Address Mental Health Issues. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(2), 99 - 106.

Asnawi, H. S. (2011). Kritik Teori Hukum Feminis terhadap UU No. 1 Tahun 1974 tentang Perkawinan: Suatu Upaya dalam Menegakkan Keadilan HAM Kaum Perempuan. *Al-Ahwal: Jurnal Hukum Keluarga Islam*, 4(1), 117-130.

Azwir, A., Pagar, P., & Nasution, M. S. A. (2022). The Legality of Divorce in Aceh: A Study of Divorce Practices Out of Religious Courts. *Al-Manahij: Jurnal Kajian Hukum Islam*, 165-180.

Badran, M. (2013). *Feminism in Islam: Secular and Religious Convergences*. Simon and Schuster.

Barkah, Q., Huzaimah, A., Rochmiyatun, S., & Ramdani, Z. (2022). Abandonment of Women's Rights in Child Marriage; An Islamic Law Perspective. *AL-IHKAM: Jurnal Hukum & Pranata Sosial*, 17(2), 383-411.

Bowen, G. A. (2009). Document Analysis as a Qualitative Research Method. *Qualitative Research Journal*, 9(2), 27-40.

Brenner, S. (2011). Private Moralities in the Public Sphere: Democratization, Islam, and Gender in Indonesia. *American Anthropologist*, 113(3), 478-490.

Butt, S. (2010). *Islam, the State and the Constitutional Court in Indonesia*. Pac. Rim L. & Pol'y J., 19, 279.

Cammack, M. E., & Feener, R. M. (2012). *The Islamic Legal System in Indonesia*. Pac. Rim L. & Pol'y J., 21, 13.

Charrad, M. M. (2011). Gender in the Middle East: Islam, State, Agency. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 37(1), 417-437.

Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative Inquiry & Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches* (4th ed.). Sage.

Darmawan, D. (2022). Faktor-Faktor yang Mempengaruhi Perilaku Ibu Rumah Tangga Berbelanja di Supermarket. *Journal of Trends Economics and Accounting Research*, 3(1), 40-48.

Darmawan, D. et al. (2021). *Psychological Perspective in Society 5.0*. Zahir Publishing.

Fineman, M. A. (2013). Societal Factors Affecting the Creation of Legal Rules for Distribution of Property at Divorce. In *At the Boundaries of Law*. Routledge.

Firdausy, M. H. (2022). Konstruksi Sosial Gender Di Masyarakat. *Gender Dan Keadilan Sosial*.

Flick, U. (2018). *An Introduction to Qualitative Research*. Sage.

Fournier, P. (2016). *Muslim Marriage in Western Courts: Lost in Transplantation*. Routledge.

Given, L. M. (2008). *The Sage Encyclopedia of Qualitative Research Methods*. Sage.

Greco, J. (2013). Gender: a Social Construction. *The Sociological Imagination: Undergraduate Journal*, 2(2).

Grijns, M., & Horii, H. (2018). Child Marriage in a Village in West Java (Indonesia): Compromises Between Legal Obligations and Religious Concerns. *Asian Journal of Law and Society*, 5(2), 453-466.

Halley, J., & Rittich, K. (2010). Critical Directions in Comparative Family Law: Genealogies and Contemporary Studies of Family Law Exceptionalism. *The American Journal of Comparative Law*, 58(4), 753-775.

Hammer, J. (2015). Marriage in American Muslim Communities. *Religion Compass*, 9(2), 35-44.

Hardyansah, R., Darmawan, D., Udjari, H., & Pakpahan, N. H. (2022). Managing Prosperity through a Minimalist Lifestyle: Social and Economic Implications. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(2), 163-168.

Hariani, M., E. Masnawati, & J. M. Corte-Real. (2022). Understanding Family-Based Mechanisms in Teaching Ethics and Moral Values to Children. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(1), 213 – 216.

Infante, A., & Darmawan, D. (2022). Gender Equality: Women's Involvement in Human Resource Management Practices. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(1), 27-30.

Islam, K. M. M., & Asadullah, M. N. (2018). Gender Stereotypes and Education: A Comparative Content Analysis of Malaysian, Indonesian, Pakistani and Bangladeshi School Textbooks. *PloS One*, 13(1), e0190807.

Kazianga, H., & Wahhaj, Z. (2017). Intra-household resource allocation and familial ties. *Journal of Development Economics*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JDEVECO.2017.03.002>

Lapidus, I. M. (2014). *A History of Islamic Societies*. Cambridge University Press.

Larsen, L., Vogt, K., Moe, C., & Mir-Hosseini, Z. (2013). *Gender and Equality in Muslim Family Law*.

Lazreg, M. (2018). *The Eloquence of Silence: Algerian Women in Question*. Routledge.

Lemons, K. (2019). *Divorcing Traditions: Islamic Marriage Law and the Making of Indian Secularism*. Cornell University Press.

Marsal, A. P., & Darmawan, D. (2022). Communication Strategies in Managing Conflict in Multicultural Teams. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(2), 285-290.

Maulida, H. (2021). Perempuan dalam Kajian Sosiologi Gender: Konstruksi Peran Sosial, Ruang Publik, dan Teori Feminis. *Journal of Politics and Democracy*, 1(1), 71-79.

Meetoo, V., & Mirza, H. S. (2010). "There is Nothing 'Honourable' about Honour Killings": Gender, Violence and the Limits of Multiculturalism. In *Honour, Violence, Women and Islam* (pp. 50-74). Routledge-Cavendish.

Mir-Hosseini, Z. (2011). Beyond 'Islam' vs 'Feminism'. *IDS Bulletin*, 42(1), 67-77.

Moors, A. (2018). Debating Islamic Family Law: Legal Texts and Social Practices. In *A Social History of Women and Gender in the Modern Middle East* (pp. 141-175). Routledge.

Nasution, K., & Nasution, S. (2021). Implementation of Indonesian Islamic Family Law to Guarantee Children's Rights. *Al-Jami'ah: Journal of Islamic Studies*, 59(2), 347-374.

Nelson, T., & Constantinidis, C. (2017). Sex and Gender in Family Business Succession Research: A Review and Forward Agenda from a Social Construction Perspective. *Family Business Review*, 30(3), 219-241.

Noviana, L., Wigati, R., & Sakdiyah, N. H. (2022). The Dynamics of Family Law Reform in Asia and Africa (Portrait of Polygamy Regulations in Indonesia, Malaysia, Pakistan, Morocco and Tunisia). *Al-Syakhsiyah: Journal of Law and Family Studies*, 4(2), 187-187.

Nurjanah, S., Santoso, D., Fatarib, H., Jalil, M., & Murdiana, E. (2022). Lessons Learned from Child Protection Rights in Religion Paradigm and National Law. *Journal of Social Studies Education Research*, 13(1), 237-258.

Nurlaelawati, E., & Van Huis, S. C. (2019). The Status of Children Born Out of Wedlock and Adopted Children in Indonesia: Interactions Between Islamic, Adat, and Human Rights Norms. *Journal of Law and Religion*, 34(3), 356-382.

Ozkaya, Z. N. (2022). Transformative Patterns in Modern Family Structures and Their Influence on Contemporary Social Cohesion. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(1), 277 – 282.

Pakpahan, N. H., Darmawan, D., & Rojak, J. A. (2022). Racial Discrimination and How

Psychological Wellbeing and Social Engagement Impacts: A Review of the Literature on Identity, Stigma, and Coping Strategies in Multicultural Societies. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(1), 87-94.

Platt, M. (2017). *Marriage, Gender and Islam in Indonesia: Women Negotiating Informal Marriage, Divorce and Desire*. Routledge.

Purkon, A., Buang, A. H., & Jamaludin, M. H. (2022). The Role of Supreme Court Jurisprudence in Development of Islamic Family Law in Indonesia. *Al-Qadhafi: Jurnal Hukum Islam Dan Perundang-Undangan*, 9(2), 362-376.

Rahman, F. Z. (2012). Gender Equality in Muslim-Majority States and Shari'a Family Law: is There a Link? *Australian Journal of Political Science*, 47(3), 347-362.

Ramadhita, R. (2022). Bias Gender dalam Hukum Acara Perceraian di Indonesia: Latar Belakang, Dampak, dan Solusinya. *De Jure*. <https://doi.org/10.18860/j-fsh.v14i1.15966>

Rasdiana, R. (2022). Bias dan Kesetaraan Gender, Peranan Ganda, dan Kekerasan dalam Rumah Tangga. *Jurnal Tana Mana*, 3(1), 48-62.

Rinaldo, R. (2014). Pious and Critical: Muslim Women Activists and the Question of Agency. *Gender & Society*, 28(6), 824-846.

Rokhmad, A., & Susilo, S. (2017). Conceptualizing Authority of the Legalization of Indonesian Women's Rights in Islamic Family Law. *Journal of Indonesian Islam*, 11(2), 489-508.

Saktiawan, P., Hardyansah, R., Darmawan, D., & Putra, A. R. (2021). Ethical Principles in Indonesian Legal Advocacy: Sustaining Justice in Adversarial Systems Through Professional Integrity. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(2), 239-244.

Salenda, K. (2016). Abuse of Islamic Law and Child Marriage in South-Sulawesi Indonesia. *Al-Jami'ah: Journal of Islamic Studies*, 54(1), 95-121.

Santoso, D., & Nasrudin, M. (2021). Polygamy in Indonesia and its Relevance to the Protection of Women and Children in the Perspective of Islamic Law Philosophy. *Akademika: Jurnal Pemikiran Islam*, 26(1), 121-136.

Schenk, C. G. (2018). Islamic Leaders and the Legal Geography of Family Law in Aceh, Indonesia. *The Geographical Journal*, 184(1), 8-18.

Shaham, R. (2010). *The Expert Witness in Islamic Courts: Medicine and Crafts in the Service of Law*. University of Chicago Press.

Sinambela, E. A. & R. Mardikaningsih. (2022). Daycare Business as a Parenting Solution for Working Families. *Journal of Science, Technology and Society*, 3(1), 29-34.

Sonbol, A. E.-A. (2003). The Woman Follows the Nationality of Her Husband: Guardianship, Citizenship and Gender. *Hawwa*. <https://doi.org/10.1163/156920803100420298>

Sportel, I. (2016). *Divorce in Transnational Families: Marriage, Migration and Family Law*. Springer.

Syarif, N. (2021). The Discourse and Practice of Islamic Family Law in Indonesia. *Psychology and Education Journal*, 58(1), 5201-5212.

Warshak, R. A. (2005). Gender bias in child custody decisions. *Family Court Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/J.174-1617.1996.TB00429.X>

Wieringa, S. E. (2015). Gender Harmony and the Happy Family: Islam, Gender and Sexuality in Post-Reformasi Indonesia. *South East Asia Research*, 23(1), 27-44.

Yilmaz, I. (2019). Nation Building, Islamic Law and Unofficial Legal Pluralism: The Cases of Turkey and Pakistan. *Legal Pluralism in Muslim Contexts*, 109-138.

Zahid, R. A., & Darmawan, D. (2022). Analyze the Effect of Social Stereotypes on Intergroup Relations in Society and Social Equality. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(2), 195-200.

*Assayuthi, A., S. Waskito, & W. Evendi. (2023). Social Construction of Gender, Regulatory Bias, and Inequality of Rights in Modern Family Law Systems, *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 3(1), 231 - 240.