Relational Dynamics Shaping Job Satisfaction Among Employees in Startup Organizational Settings

Yusuf Rahman Al Hakim, Mochamad Irfan

Universitas Mayjen Sungkono Mojokerto

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

This study investigates how interpersonal relationships influence employee job satisfaction in startup companies. Drawing upon interdisciplinary literature, the analysis identifies trust, communication quality, emotional support, feedback culture, relational inclusion, conflict navigation, and peer recognition as decisive variables shaping how satisfaction is experienced and sustained. Startups are shown to operate in highly relational environments, where team cohesion and mutual affirmation often compensate for the absence of formal structure. Unlike traditional corporations, these companies require fluid, emotionally intelligent interactions to maintain employee motivation and engagement. The study reveals that satisfaction is not merely a function of task design or incentives, but is deeply embedded in the relational architecture of the workplace. Positive interpersonal dynamics reinforce belonging and emotional resilience, while fractured relationships contribute to alienation and attrition. The findings call for a more intentional incorporation of relational indicators into research and practice, especially in startups where the boundaries between professional and personal spheres are often blurred. The paper concludes by recommending relationally centered leadership models and peer-based recognition systems that align with the fast-paced, people-driven nature of startup culture. By elevating the study of interpersonal connection, the analysis contributes to a broader understanding of job satisfaction grounded in human experience.

INTRODUCTION

The emergence of startup companies as drivers of innovation and economic agility has introduced new paradigms in organizational dynamics, particularly concerning human resource practices. Unlike traditional corporations, startups often operate under conditions of rapid growth, uncertainty, and limited hierarchical structure, which reshapes how professional relationships are developed and maintained. These environments frequently depend on fluid communication, shared responsibility, and intense collaboration (Patel et al., 2012). As a result, the quality of interpersonal interactions is not peripheral but central to daily operations, influencing both task execution and emotional well-being. For employees immersed in such demanding and intimate atmospheres, the presence of supportive peer and supervisory relations can significantly shape their sense of fulfillment and purpose at work (Polyanska & Martynets, 2022).

In workplaces marked by high pressure and fluctuating expectations, interpersonal connections act as psychological stabilizers. When trust, mutual respect, and authentic communication exist among colleagues, individuals are more likely to interpret their work environment as affirming and dignified (Lucas, 2015). Conversely, relational neglect, conflict, or exclusion can lead to dissatisfaction, emotional fatigue, and attrition. The startup setting, with its accelerated pace and limited resources, amplifies the consequences of interpersonal dynamics. Unlike in larger firms, where formal structures can buffer relational breakdowns, startup employees often lack such institutional protections. Thus, relationship quality becomes a direct determinant of morale, motivation, and commitment (Gapeyeva-Yukce et al., 2022).

Startups also typically blur the lines between professional and personal spheres, fostering cultures that value emotional authenticity and team cohesion (Lange, 2011). While this can generate strong bonds and shared identity, it may also produce

vulnerability when expectations around availability, feedback, or emotional labor go unspoken or unmet. In such spaces, interpersonal relationships function as both sources of resilience and potential stressors. Understanding how these relational dynamics correlate with job satisfaction requires a close reading of the emotional, communicative, and cultural textures that frame daily professional life (Men, 2021).

Scholarly attention to job satisfaction has traditionally emphasized structural variables such as compensation, career progression, and workload (Feldman, 2015). However, emerging literature suggests that relational quality particularly in small and adaptive organizations is equally if not more consequential. Job satisfaction, as understood by Locke (1976), is a positive emotional state resulting from the appraisal of one's job experiences. These appraisals are profoundly shaped by interpersonal encounters. Whether individuals feel heard, respected, supported, or isolated can drastically alter their interpretation of work-related outcomes, making relationship quality a critical variable deserving focused inquiry within startup ecosystems (Bohnen et al., 2021). The formal appraisal process within organizations also contributes to this perception. As Darmawan (2021) points out, unclear criteria and bias in performance appraisals can undermine job satisfaction by creating a sense of unfairness and reducing trust in the organizational system.

Research exploring the relational dimension of job satisfaction has uncovered important yet insufficiently developed insights. For example, Kram and Isabella (1985) emphasized the significance of developmental relationships, but their findings remain anchored in corporate or bureaucratic settings. Hackman and Oldham (1976) job characteristics model touched upon social interaction, but did not explore it in depth. Much of the research remains generalist, lacking contextual application to startups. The complexity of relational influence in fast-paced, emotionally demanding, and culturally fluid environments like startups requires more than a transposition of corporate frameworks.

A critical gap exists in understanding how interpersonal dynamics within startups shape employee satisfaction beyond instrumental performance (Chammas et al., 2019). Existing studies often overlook how emotional exchanges, trust cycles, informal feedback, and peer validation function as core determinants of workplace contentment. Researchers such as Herzberg (1968) and Weiss (2002) have contributed foundational ideas about motivation and affective reactions at work, yet their models do not fully reflect the experiential realities of startup teams where relationship dynamics are inseparable from organizational identity.

These issues demand closer academic attention due to their implications for employee retention, psychological safety, and long-term company sustainability. Startups experiencing high turnover or cultural collapse often suffer from invisible relational fractures rather than explicit structural failure. Given the prevalence of such firms in the global economy and their increasing role in shaping the future of work, a deeper inquiry into the relational substrates of job satisfaction is necessary and timely.

This study aims to examine scholarly literature that explores the influence of interpersonal relationships on job satisfaction among employees in startup companies. It seeks to synthesize key findings, identify theoretical and methodological gaps, and contextualize interpersonal dynamics within the unique structural and cultural configurations of startups. The findings are expected to inform both academic understanding and organizational practice by clarifying how relational quality shapes satisfaction in agile work environments.

RESEARCH METHOD

This study adopts a qualitative literature review methodology to investigate the influence of interpersonal relationships on employee job satisfaction within startup companies. The literature review approach is especially suitable for synthesizing existing academic knowledge across multiple disciplines such as organizational behavior, psychology, and management studies. According to Hart (1998), a literature review enables the researcher to not only gather what is known but also to critically examine how knowledge has been constructed, where gaps remain, and how conceptual linkages are formed. This method is ideal for exploring relational variables in organizational environments where standardized empirical metrics may not fully capture emotional and interpersonal complexities. Through thematic synthesis, the review provides a structured yet flexible framework to analyze relational constructs in the specific work cultures of startups, which are often characterized by flat hierarchies, informal communication styles, and fluid role boundaries.

Sources were selected from peer-reviewed journals, academic books, and empirical studies published across databases such as JSTOR, EBSCOhost, and ScienceDirect. Inclusion criteria focused on publications related to interpersonal dynamics, employee well-being, and job satisfaction, especially in small, agile, or non-hierarchical organizational

structures. The review process followed the guidelines outlined by Jesson et al. (2001), which emphasize analytical rigor through structured classification, thematic comparison, and conceptual mapping. The review excluded sources that dealt solely with traditional corporate environments unless they provided transferrable insights applicable to startups. Emphasis was placed on relational factors such as peer trust, communication quality, informal mentoring, and emotional validation, which have been noted as salient yet underexplored aspects of job satisfaction. This methodology enables the construction of an integrative narrative that reflects both the complexity and specificity of relational life in emerging enterprises.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Interpersonal relationships in startup companies serve as both structural and emotional frameworks that deeply shape how employees perceive, evaluate, and respond to their job environment. Unlike traditional corporate settings, startups often lack rigid hierarchies and standardized procedures, placing greater reliance on interpersonal cohesion to maintain functionality and morale. Within these organizations, relational dynamics such as trust, empathy, and communication quality are not peripheral elements but essential determinants of daily workflow and long-term retention (Mattsson et al., 2019). When employees experience meaningful social interactions, they develop a psychological attachment not only to their role but to the ecosystem of the organization itself (Baruch, & Rousseau, 2019). This attachment enhances satisfaction by embedding emotional security and identity reinforcement into the professional experience. The absence of such relational grounding often translates into stress, alienation, and a weakened sense of purpose, all of which negatively affect employee satisfaction levels in high-demand, rapidly evolving startup environments (Khalimova, 2020).

Trust, as a relational construct, plays a pivotal role in mediating the relationship between interpersonal dynamics and job satisfaction (Guinot et al., 2014). Trust is cultivated through consistent behavior, perceived competence, and the absence of exploitative intent within peer and supervisory interactions. In startup environments, where roles often overlap and task boundaries are fluid, the presence or absence of trust significantly influences how employees interpret both their responsibilities and the motives of their colleagues (Poljašević et al., 2021). High levels of mutual trust reduce interpersonal friction, create safe spaces for vulnerability, and allow constructive risk-taking

qualities essential for navigating the innovation cycles typical of startups. Conversely, when trust is lacking, individuals often experience heightened anxiety, defensiveness, and disengagement, particularly when feedback loops are poorly managed. Employees who feel uncertain about others' reliability or intentions are less likely to contribute openly or invest emotionally in their work, leading to reduced satisfaction and higher turnover risk (Motschnig & Ryback, 2016).

Communication quality further modulates the impact of interpersonal relationships on employee satisfaction (Awad & Alhashemi, 2012). Effective communication in startups must compensate for the lack of bureaucratic clarity, requiring a high degree of interpersonal sensitivity, active listening, and feedback responsiveness (Men, 2021). When communication is open, inclusive, and respectful, employees are more likely to feel understood and valued. This relational clarity reduces ambiguity, aligns expectations, and enhances motivation. According to Gibb (1961), supportive communication climates foster trust and cooperation, creating a psychological atmosphere where individuals are encouraged to express concerns, contribute ideas, and engage fully in collaborative processes. In contrast, poor communication characterized by ambiguity, condescension, or neglect contributes to misalignment, disengagement, and eventual dissatisfaction with one's work experience (Shen & Austin, 2017). In addition to quality communication, alignment between personal values and organizational values is also an important determinant of job satisfaction. Research by Irfan and Al Hakim (2021) shows that the internalization of organizational values by employees not only increases job satisfaction but also strengthens their engagement. In the context of startups where organizational culture is still developing, this process of internalizing values often occurs informally through daily communication and relational interactions, creating a mutually reinforcing cycle between effective communication and the formation of a cohesive organizational identity.

The emotional climate generated by interpersonal interactions also significantly influences job satisfaction (Poljasevic, 2021). In high-pressure environments such as startups, where workloads are often intense and job roles are rapidly evolving, the emotional support derived from peers and supervisors can serve as a buffer against burnout and fatigue. Maslach and Jackson (1981) emphasized that emotional exhaustion is

mitigated by workplace environments that promote empathy and mutual validation. When employees receive affirmation, encouragement, or simply a listening ear from colleagues, they report greater levels of satisfaction and resilience (Seligman, 2011). Conversely, emotionally cold or indifferent environments may accelerate stress responses, resulting in feelings of dehumanization and disengagement from the organizational mission (Dordevic et al., 2021). This confirms that fostering a supportive emotional climate, such as support from colleagues and superiors, is a strategic step to reduce factors that can undermine job satisfaction, especially in a high-pressure startup environment (Mardikaningsih & Sinambela, 2022).

Peer relationships in startups often function as informal mentoring systems, where experienced members guide newcomers through unwritten norms, expectations, and operational shortcuts (Allen et al., 1999). These informal bonds contribute to a sense of belonging and help newcomers adapt more quickly to the organizational culture, reducing the anxiety associated with ambiguity or high expectations. Kram and Isabella (1985) observed that peer mentoring, even when unstructured, provides instrumental and emotional resources that formal systems may not address.

The reciprocity present in interpersonal relationships also affects satisfaction by reinforcing fairness and mutual respect. When employees perceive that their support for colleagues is acknowledged and reciprocated, they experience higher levels of emotional investment in their teams. Cropanzano and Mitchell (2005) proposed that social exchange relationships, built on trust and reciprocal obligation, foster affective commitment and satisfaction. In contrast, perceived one-sidedness where one party consistently gives without receiving support can lead to resentment and emotional fatigue. Startups, due to their fluid structures and intense demands, magnify these experiences, making the quality of reciprocity a critical indicator of employee well-being.

Shared identity and alignment of values among employees further strengthen interpersonal connections and contribute to job satisfaction (Diskiene & Gostautas, 2010). When individuals feel that they are part of a team with a shared mission and ethical orientation, their relational interactions are characterized by coherence and mutual reinforcement. According to Dutton et al. (1994), identity-based relationships at work generate meaning and continuity, enhancing satisfaction by reducing role conflict and existential ambiguity.

Startups that foster a culture of shared purpose thus benefit from deeper relational bonds that translate into employee commitment and contentment.

Power dynamics within interpersonal relationships also shape job satisfaction outcomes. In egalitarian startup cultures, where hierarchy is minimized, employees often experience greater autonomy and relational equality, which supports satisfaction (Sugiura, 2023). However, when power is unevenly distributed either informally through charisma or structurally through unacknowledged leadership tensions may arise. These tensions can manifest as perceived injustice, favoritism, or marginalization, especially when voices are excluded from decision-making processes. Research by Spreitzer and Quinn (2001) suggests that empowerment through inclusive interaction enhances satisfaction, while perceived disempowerment leads to detachment and emotional withdrawal. The importance of leadership and work environment in managing these power dynamics is also found in different organizational contexts. A study by Radjawane and Darmawan (2022) on construction project workers confirmed that effective leadership and a supportive work environment are key predictors of job satisfaction.

Relational transparency, or the degree to which individuals authentically express themselves in interpersonal settings, also influences satisfaction (Rego & Giustiniano, 2022). When employees can voice dissent, express vulnerability, or disclose uncertainty without fear of punishment or ridicule, relational trust deepens and emotional safety is established. Walumbwa et al. (2008) found that relational transparency is a key component of authentic leadership, which in turn predicts higher employee satisfaction. In startup cultures that reward openness and emotional honesty, this authenticity creates atmospheres of inclusion, innovation, and psychological well-being (Lui et al., 2023).

The presence of social recognition within interpersonal networks also contributes significantly to job satisfaction (Yang et al., 2009). When employees are acknowledged by their peers for accomplishments, effort, or support, the relational impact is both affirming and motivating. Recognition from coworkers not just supervisors validates contributions and strengthens informal bonds. According to Eisenberger et al. (2001), perceived organizational support extends to peer behavior, influencing how employees internalize their value within the team. In startup environments, where resources for formal rewards may be limited, peer-based acknowledgment often serves as the most

immediate and meaningful form of reinforcement.

Workplace inclusion, fostered through interpersonal sensitivity and cultural awareness, further impacts how employees experience satisfaction. When relational environments acknowledge diverse identities and perspectives, individuals report stronger emotional engagement and a sense of personal dignity (Tranvag et al., 2015). In startups, where teams are often diverse and globally distributed, inclusive interpersonal practices such as actively listening to underrepresented voices or co-creating norms serve as relational anchors that sustain participation and morale. Mor Barak (2000) emphasized that inclusion goes beyond demographic representation and requires genuine relational validation. Employees who feel that their individuality is recognized not only perform better but also derive deeper satisfaction from their roles. On the other hand, subtle exclusion, whether through cliques, language barriers, or uneven information flow, creates invisible relational fractures that erode psychological safety and reduce job commitment.

Another relational mechanism influencing job satisfaction is the management of interpersonal conflict (Mageda et al., 2018). In fast-paced startup environments, where collaboration is intense and stress levels are high, disagreements are inevitable. The way in which these conflicts are addressed—through empathy, clarity, and constructive dialogue or through avoidance, blame, and emotional distancing affects how individuals evaluate their workplace experience. De Dreu and Weingart (2003) found that relationship-oriented conflict resolution strategies enhance group cohesion and satisfaction, whereas task-oriented conflicts left unresolved often escalate into interpersonal strain. Startups that cultivate conflict literacy and encourage emotionally intelligent communication create relational conditions that support sustained engagement and satisfaction.

Feedback quality, especially when delivered through peer interactions, also plays a critical role in shaping job satisfaction. Unlike formal performance appraisals, which may be infrequent or hierarchical, peer feedback occurs continuously and informally in startups (Antunes et al., 2022). When this feedback is honest, respectful, and oriented toward growth, it strengthens interpersonal trust and motivates improvement. Kluger and Denisi (1996) demonstrated that the way feedback is perceived affects emotional response and long-term motivation. In relational cultures where feedback is dialogic and embedded in trust, employees are more likely to internalize critique positively and maintain high levels of satisfaction (Lewis, 2020). When feedback is delivered with judgment, sarcasm, or inconsistency, it breeds defensiveness and emotional fatigue, eroding trust and hindering growth (Drouvelis & Paiardini, 2021). The impact of feedback quality on job satisfaction is not the end of the process, but rather part of a larger cycle. Research by Irfan et al. (2023) reinforces this cause-and-effect relationship by showing that job satisfaction, which is shaped by various factors including a supportive work environment, is a significant driver of motivation and ultimately optimal employee performance. The impact of feedback quality on job satisfaction is not the end of the process, but rather part of a larger cycle. Research by Irfan et al. (2023) reinforces this cause-and-effect relationship by showing that job satisfaction, which is shaped by various factors including a supportive work environment, is a significant driver of motivation and ultimately optimal employee performance.

The interplay between interpersonal boundaries and relational closeness also shapes job satisfaction in nuanced ways (Daniel, & Sonnentang, 2016). While strong relationships can foster collaboration and support, overly porous boundaries may lead to over-involvement, emotional overextension, or blurred professionalism. In startup environments that celebrate closeness and "family-like" cultures, the absence of relational boundaries can result in burnout or unspoken resentment (Birdsong, 2020). Nippert-Eng (1996) argued that the management of work and personal domains requires intentional relational negotiation to preserve individual well-being. Employees who feel pressure to remain constantly available or emotionally present may experience a decline in satisfaction, even within teams marked by high cohesion (Alliger et al., 2015). Therefore, it is important not only to recognize these relational dynamics, but also to implement structural approaches that support work-life balance. Research by Arifin et al. (2021) shows that active efforts to create work-life balance through flexible policies, managerial support, and an organizational culture that values personal time can significantly increase employee job satisfaction. Thus, balance in relational intensity is essential for sustaining satisfaction over time (Lal, 2020).

Lastly, the collective memory of relational experiences within an organization informs satisfaction through storytelling, cultural rituals, and shared meaning. These relational histories composed of moments of celebration, adversity, recognition, or betrayal—accumulate into a communal sense of "what it feels like" to work in a given startup. This

narrative, though intangible, powerfully influences how employees interpret new experiences and make decisions about their future within the company. Brown, Denning, Groh, and Prusak (2005) highlighted the role of storytelling in shaping organizational identity and emotional climate. When interpersonal relationships are marked by consistency, affirmation, and transparency over time, the resulting cultural narrative is one of belonging and trust, reinforcing job satisfaction as both a personal and shared reality.

CONCLUSION

This literature-based analysis confirms that interpersonal relationships are a foundational dimension of job satisfaction within startup companies. The relational climate composed of trust, communication, emotional reciprocity, feedback, and inclusion determines how employees interpret their work, their place within the team, and their potential for personal and professional fulfillment. Startups, due to their structural fluidity and high demands, amplify the psychological consequences of relational dynamics. Employees in such settings experience their job satisfaction not only through tasks or compensation but through the quality of human connection embedded in their daily interactions. When relationships are empowering, inclusive, and consistent, they generate a sense of belonging and purpose that strengthens engagement. Conversely, when interpersonal bonds are misaligned, neglectful, or fragmented, satisfaction diminishes even when other organizational factors remain favorable.

Recognizing interpersonal dynamics as a critical layer of organizational health invites a shift in show startup leaders, scholars, and policymakers approach employee well-being. Relational variables cannot be treated as informal or peripheral concerns; they must be integrated into the design of organizational culture, management training, and team development. Startups that deliberately cultivate inclusive communication, transparent leadership, and mutual respect lay the groundwork for satisfaction that is resilient meaningful, and ethically grounded. Furthermore, research frameworks must evolve to systematically include interpersonal indicators as central components in assessing employee outcomes, particularly in agile, evolving companies that defy conventional HR models.

Future research should explore the longitudinal impact of relational quality on employee retention, innovation, and psychological safety within startup ecosystems. Practitioners are encouraged to establish reflective feedback mechanisms, co-create relational norms with employees, and prioritize mentorship rooted in emotional intelligence. Leadership development should include relational literacy, emphasizing not only what leaders do, but how they interact. Scholars should refine metrics that capture relational nuance, such as perceived fairness, peer recognition, and boundary negotiation. Only by anchoring practice and research in relational awareness can startups foster work environments where job satisfaction is sustained through authentic, inclusive, and resilient human connection.

REFERENCES

Allen, T. D., McManus, S. E., & Russell, J. E. (1999). Newcomer Socialization and Stress: Formal Peer Relationships as a Source of Support. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 54(3), 453-470.

Alliger, G. M., Cerasoli, C. P., Tannenbaum, S. I., & Vessey, W. B. (2015). Team Resilience: How Teams Flourish Under Pressure. *Organizational Dynamics*, 44(3), 176-184.

Antunes, L. G. R., Vasconcelos, F. F., Oliveira, C. M. D., & Corrêa, H. L. (2022). Dynamic Framework of Performance Assessment for Startups. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 71(7), 2723-2742.

Arifin, S., Irfan, M., & Darmawan, D. (2021). How to Establish Work-Life Balance to Enhance Employee Job Satisfaction. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(2), 21-24.

Awad, T. A., & Alhashemi, S. E. (2012). Assessing the Effect of Interpersonal Communications on Employees' Commitment and Satisfaction. *International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management*, 5(2), 134-156.

Baruch, Y., & Rousseau, D. M. (2019). Integrating Psychological Contracts and Ecosystems in Career Studies and Management. *Academy of Management Annals*, 13(1), 84-111.

Birdsong, M. (2020). *How We Show Up: Reclaiming Family, Friendship, and Community*. Hachette UK, New York.

Bohnen, G. K., Faccin, K., & Klein, L. L. (2021). Praticas De Cooperacao Interorganizacional: Investigacao De Rendas Relacionais Em Startups. *Revista Da Micro e Pequena Empresa*, 14(3), 19-35.

Brown, J. S., Denning, S., Groh, D., & Prusak, L. (2005). *Storytelling In Organizations: Why*

Storytelling Is Transforming 21st Century Organizations and Management. Butterworth-Heinemann, Boston.

Chammas, C. B., & Hernandez, J. M. D. C. (2019). Comparing Transformational and Instrumental Leadership: The Influence of Different Leadership Styles on Individual Employee and Financial Performance in Brazilian Startups. *Innovation & Management Review*, 16(2), 143-160.

Cropanzano, R., & Mitchell, M. S. (2005). Social Exchange Theory: An Interdisciplinary Review. *Journal of Management*, 31(6), 874–900.

Daniel, S., & Sonnentag, S. (2016). Crossing the Borders: The Relationship Between Boundary Management, Work–Family Enrichment and Job Satisfaction. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 27(4), 407-426.

Darmawan, D. (2021). Objective Vagueness, Appraisal Bias, and Lack of Employee Involvement in Performance Appraisal and Job Satisfaction in Organizations. *Journal of Social Science Studies,* 1(1), 117-122.

De Dreu, C. K. W., & Weingart, L. R. (2003). Task Versus Relationship Conflict, Team Performance, and Team Member Satisfaction: A Meta-Analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88(4), 741–749.

Diskienė, D., & Goštautas, V. (2010). Relationship between Individual and Organizational Values and Employees' Job Satisfaction. *Current Issues of Business & Law/Verslo ir Teisės Aktualijos*, 5(2), 295-319.

Dorveic, B., Milanovic, S., & Stankovic, J. (2021). The Influence of Communication Satisfaction on Job Satisfaction: The Case of Employees in the Republic of Serbia. *Ekonomski Horizonti*, 23(2), 165-178.

Drouvelis, M., & Paiardini, P. (2021). Feedback Quality and Performance in Organisations. Leadership Quarterly. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 33(6), 1-14.

Dutton, J. E., Dukerich, J. M., & Harquail, C. V. (1994). Organizational Images and Member Identification. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 39(2), 239–263.

Eisenberger, R., Armeli, S., Rexwinkel, B., Lynch, P. D., & Rhoades, L. (2001). Reciprocation of Perceived Organizational Support. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 86(1), 42–51.

Feldman, K. J. (2015). *A Quantitative Study on Faculty Workload, Compensation, Retention, and Job Satisfaction*. Northcentral University, San Diego.

Gapeyeva-Yukce, L., Saukkonen, J. M., & Kautto, H. (2022). Shared Stressors and Stress Coping Strategies in Start-up Teams. European Conference on Innovation and Entrepreneurship. *Academic Conferences and Publishing Limited*, 17(1), 240-248.

Gibb, J. (1961). Defensive Communication. *Journal of Communication*, 11(3), 141–148.

Guinot, J., Chiva, R., & Roca-Puig, V. (2014). Interpersonal Trust, Stress and Satisfaction at work: An Empirical Study. *Personnel Review*, 43(1), 96-115.

Hackman, J. R., & Oldham, G. R. (1976). Motivation through the Design of Work: Test of a Theory. *Organizational Behavior and Human Performance*, 16(2), 250–279.

Hart, C. (1998). *Doing a Literature Review: Releasing the Social Science Research Imagination*. SAGE Publications, London.

Herzberg, F. (1968). One More Time: How do You Motivate Employees?. *Harvard Business Review*, 46(1), 53–62.

Irfan, M., & Al Hakim, Y. R. (2021). Organizational Values Internalization as a Determinant of Employee Job Satisfaction and Engagement. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(2), 119-124.

Irfan, M., Sigita, D. S., & Hariani, M. (2023). Optimizing Employee Performance through Work Motivation and Job Satisfaction: A Case Study in a Surabaya-based Company. *Bulletin of Science, Technology and Society*, 2(1), 12–15.

Jesson, J., Matheson, L., & Lacey, F. M. (2001). *Doing your Literature Review: Traditional and Systematic Techniques*. SAGE Publications, London.

Khalimova, M. V. (2020). Socio-Psychological Factors of Interpersonal Relationships in Entrepreneurial Activity. *International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research*, 9(4), 1658-1660

Kluger, A. N., & DeNisi, A. (1996). The Effects of Feedback Interventions on Performance: A Historical Review, a Meta-Analysis, and a Preliminary Feedback Intervention Theory. *Psychological Bulletin*, 119(2), 254–284.

Kram, K. E., & Isabella, L. A. (1985). Mentoring Alternatives: The Role of Peer Relationships in Career Development. *Academy of Management Journal*, 28(1), 110–132.

Lal, M. M. (2020). Peer Feedback: A Tool for Growth. *JONA: The Journal of Nursing Administration*, 50(1), 3-4.

Lange, B. (2011). Professionalization in Space: Social-Spatial Strategies of Culturepreneurs in Berlin. *Entrepreneurship and Regional Development*, 23(3-4), 259-279.

Liu, X., Huang, Y., Kim, J., & Na, S. (2023). How Ethical Leadership Cultivates Innovative Work

Behaviors in Employees? Psychological Safety, Work Engagement and Openness to Experience. *Sustainability*, 15(4), 1-22.

Locke, E. A. (1976). *The nature and causes of job satisfaction. In M. D. Dunnette (Ed.), Handbook of Industrial and Organizational Psychology*. Rand McNally, Chicago.

Lucas, K. (2015). Workplace Dignity: Communicating Inherent, Earned, and Remediated Dignity. *Journal of Management Studies*, 52(5), 621-646.

Mageda, A. S., Hanan, N. Z., & Mirfat, M. L. (2018). The Correlation Between Interpersonal Conflict and Job Satisfaction among Intensive Care Nurses. *IOSR Journal of Nurshing and Health*, 7(6), 59-68.

Mardikaningsih, R., & Sinambela, E. A. (2022). Impact of Burnout and Stress on Employee Satisfaction in Work. *International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology*, 2(1), 21–25.

Mattsson, J., Helmersson, H., & Standing, C. (2019). The Role of Relationships in Start-up Development. *Journal of Strategic Marketing*, 27(7), 559-582.

Men, L. R. (2021). How does Startup CEO Communication Influence Employee Relational and Behavioral Outcomes. *Public Relations Review*, 47(4), 1-25.

Men, L. R. (2021). The Impact of Startup CEO Communication on Employee Relational and Behavioral Outcomes: Responsiveness, Assertiveness, and Authenticity. *Public Relations Review*, 47(4), 1-25.

Mor Barak, M. E. (2000). The Inclusive Workplace: An Ecosystem Approach to Diversity Management. *Social Work*, 45(4), 339–353.

Motschnig, R., & Ryback, D. (2016). *Transforming Communication in Leadership and Teamwork*. Springer, Cham.

Nippert-Eng, C. (1996). *Home and Work: Negotiating Boundaries through Everyday Life*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.

Patel, H., Pettitt, M., & Wilson, J. R. (2012). Factors of Collaborative Working: A Framework for a Collaboration Model. *Applied ergonomics*, 43(1), 1-26.

Poljasevic, B. Z. (2021). Interpersonal Relationship as a Factor of Job Satisafaction. *Časopis O Društvenom I Tehnološkom Razvoju*, 3(1), 21-2

Poljašević, B. Z. (2021). Interpersonal Relationship as a Factor of Job Satisafaction. *Časopis O Društvenom I Tehnološkom Razvoju*, 3(1), 21-29.

Polyanska, A., & Martynets, V. (2022). Start-up as an Environment to Promote Innovation Activities. *University Scientific Notes*, 1(2), 36-50.

Radjawane, L. E., & Darmawan, D. (2022). Construction Project Worker Satisfaction Reviewing from the Role of the Work Environment and Leadership. *International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology*, 1(3), 36–40.

Rego, A., Cunha, M. P. E., & Giustiniano, L. (2022). Are Relationally Transparent Leaders More Receptive to the Relational Transparency of Others? An Authentic Dialog Perspective. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 180(2), 695-709.

Seligman, M. E. (2011). Building Resilience. *Harvard Business Review*, 89(4), 100-106.

Shen, L., & Austin, L. (2017). Communication and Job Satisfaction. *In Handbook of Research on Human Factors in Contemporary Workforce Development*. IGI Global, 201-225.

Spreitzer, G. M., & Quinn, R. E. (2001). *A Company of Leaders: Five Disciplines for Unleashing the Power in Your Workforce*. Jossey-Bass, San Francisco.

Sugiura, A. (2023). The Well-Being of Startup Employees: Contradictory Value Systems at Work. *Epidemiology*, 41, 11-17.

Tranvåg, O., Petersen, K. A., & Nåden, D. (2015). Relational Interactions Preserving Dignity Experience: Perceptions of Persons Living with Dementia. *Nursing Ethics*, 22(5), 577-593.

Walumbwa, F. O., Avolio, B. J., Gardner, W. L., Wernsing, T. S., & Peterson, S. J. (2008). Authentic Leadership: Development and Validation of a Theory-Based Measure. *Journal of Management*, 34(1), 89–126.

Weiss, H. M. (2002). Deconstructing Job Satisfaction: Separating Evaluations, Beliefs, and Affective Experiences. *Human Resource Management Review*, 12(2), 173–194.

Yang, H. H., Lai, Y. H., Chao, W. C., Chen, S. F., & Wang, M. H. (2009). Social Networks and Job Satisfaction of Nurses. *WSEAS Transactions on Communications*, 8(7), 698-707.