Legal Framework for Free Contraception in Community Clinics: Consent and Data Protection

Muhammad Fithri Rahmani, Elly Christanty Gautama, Fayola Issalillah

Universitas Sunan Giri Surabaya, Indonesia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 13 December 2023
Revised 18 January 2024
Accepted 24 February 2024

Key words:
Contraception,
Consent,
Clinic,
Confidentiality,
Medical records,
Family planning,
Personal data.

ABSTRACT

This article examines the distribution and use of free contraceptives in community clinics through a normative legal method with qualitative literature studies and thematic synthesis. The focus is on establishing legal parameters for family planning services at licensed facilities, as well as determining standards for consent and proof of consent in medical records, including confidentiality and health data processing. The analysis links the Health Law, Population Law, Medical Practice Law, Health Personnel Law, clinic regulations, medical records, patient safety, personal data protection, and electronic system regimes. The results of the analysis show that free distribution remains a health service that must meet the prerequisites of facility authority, workforce competence, understandable explanations, voluntariness, and orderly documentation. Legal parameters require clinical screening, logistical quality control, referrals, and incident reporting mechanisms so that access programmed do not create new risks for patients. In the area of consent, minimum standards include explanations of method options, benefits, risks, side effects, warning signs, and alternatives, accompanied by confirmation of patient understanding and the opportunity to refuse. Proof of consent is placed on specific forms and counselling notes in medical records, with a clear authorization trail for electronic recording. Confidentiality is achieved through access restrictions, separation of individual service data from aggregate reporting, and legally based information release procedures. Health data processing is subject to the principles of clear purpose, minimization, security, retention, and incident response, so that leak prevention is part of service compliance. This article emphasizes that legal certainty for free contraceptive services requires a governance design that balances access, patient safety, and privacy protection, and provides a basis for civil and administrative liability in the event of a breach of obligations. The discussion places community clinics as actors responsible for ensuring operational permits, division of authority, and use of licensed logistics. Consent documents are recommended to include the date, identity of the officer, summary of information, and voluntary statement, then stored in accordance with retention requirements. To strengthen privacy, the article recommends regular training, access audits, and the use of pseudonyms in programmed reports. These findings can be used by regulators, facility managers, and professional organizations to develop uniform and auditable operational standards. The scope is limited to the analysis of written norms, so field implementation requires empirical research in various regions and facilities.

INTRODUCTION

The distribution of free contraceptives at community clinics is often positioned as part of fulfilling reproductive health rights and controlling unplanned pregnancies. Community clinics in Indonesia are health facilities that are generally managed by non-governmental organizations (NGOs), social foundations, or specific communities to provide affordable health services to the wider community, especially marginalized groups. Some prominent examples include the Cita Sehat Primary Clinics, which are spread across various major cities, and the Pondok Kasih Clinic, which focuses on providing services in slum areas in Surabaya. At

* *Corresponding author, email address:* ***fayola.issalillah@gmail.com***

the point of service, the provision of contraception is not merely the delivery of a health commodity, but rather a series of clinical actions and therapeutic communication that produce biological, psychological, social, and legal consequences for the recipient (Zurhayati et al., 2021). In this regard, the legal relationship between patients and health workers cannot be separated from the principle of health professional protection in medical practice, including legal protection for nursing staff who perform actions in accordance with professional standards and clinical authority (Yulius et al., 2023). Because contraceptives work through various mechanisms, from physical barriers to hormonal regulation, each option carries a different profile of benefits and risks, including side effects, contraindications, and monitoring requirements.

When services are provided free of charge, a legal relationship is still formed because of the medical or health actions that require adequate information, free will, and respect for the patient's dignity. In this case, free services do not negate the legal status of patients, including those who are unable to pay, as subjects of rights that must be protected in the healthcare system (Noor et al., 2023). In the tradition of biomedical ethics, the obligations to respect autonomy and beneficence are important references in assessing the quality of consent for actions, especially when patients are in a vulnerable position in their knowledge relationship with healthcare providers (Beauchamp & Childress, 2009). This framework helps to position free contraception as a service that must meet standards of respect for choice, rather than a programmed that justifies covert coercion through incentives or service targets.

In community clinics, contraception services generally deal with diversity in reproductive age, education level, medical experience, and socioeconomic conditions. This diversity results in variations in the ability to understand medical information, variations in fears about side effects, and variations in expectations of service providers. Rushed communication, the use of technical terms without explanation, or the assumption that "free" means "simple" can reduce the quality of consent. At the same time, contraceptive provision involves sensitive health data, such as medical history, breastfeeding status, medication use, and menstrual records. All of this requires confidentiality and proper governance. The dependence of health services on recording and information systems has legal implications in the event of system disruptions that affect service quality and patient data protection (Yatno et al., 2023). International medical ethics emphasize that subjects must receive sufficient information, understand it, give their voluntary consent, and have the right to refuse intervention after receiving a proper explanation (World Medical Association, 2000). This principle is commonly discussed in health research, but the logic of respect for free will and the prohibition of coercion has strong analytical value when clinical services potentially touch on highly personal reproductive choices.

The legal issue of free contraceptive distribution is also related to the authority of community clinics and health workers. Clinics can be found across the spectrum of health care facilities, from community health centers and primary clinics to mobile services partnered with local governments or social organizations. Across this spectrum, the authority to provide contraceptives, counselling procedures, screening procedures, and service records must comply with applicable health regulations.

In Indonesia, regulations on reproductive health, family planning services, and patient protection are scattered across laws, government regulations, ministerial regulations, and technical guidelines. It is imperative to link distribution with service standards so that the programmed does not turn into mass distribution without clinical screening, as some contraceptive methods have contraindications that can be harmful (Lestari, 2021). Experience in regulating preventive health services shows that adverse events or side effects require clarity in service standards and legal accountability of facilities and health workers (Riyanto et al., 2023). Legal relations also cover the procurement and distribution of goods, so that aspects of device safety, distribution permits, and quality assurance need to be placed on a par with aspects of consent. At this point, the discussion of health law requires a normative reading that assesses the suitability of service actions with normative commands, normative prohibitions, and professional duty standards.

Reproductive choices often occur under subtle pressure, such as pressure from partners, family, or social pressure to "regulate births" for the sake of household economics (Suryani & Diniyah, 2023). Community clinics are often the first place where individuals negotiate these choices with medical authorities. The language used by staff, the way staff guide choices, and the way staff respond to patients' concerns can determine whether consent truly stems from the patient's will. In therapeutic relationships, the dominance of a paternalistic

attitude among healthcare workers has the potential to reduce patient autonomy and have legal implications in therapeutic contracts (Feriadi et al., 2023). This is where the law becomes an instrument to ensure that consent does not become an administrative formality. Consent must be understood as an adequate communication process: explaining the available options, comparing benefits and risks, side effects, the possibility of failure, and non-medical alternatives where relevant. In the provision of free services, potential bias also arises when programmed pursue certain targets, so that patients may receive recommendations that seem "most appropriate" according to the programmed, rather than most appropriate for themselves. Normative legal analysis needs to assess how Indonesian regulations anticipate such bias through counselling obligations, recording obligations, and complaint mechanisms.

Finally, the distribution of free contraceptives in community clinics raises issues of authority and responsibility when serious side effects, complications, or claims that consent was given without sufficient understanding occur. Patients may feel that they were not given the opportunity to ask questions, were not given understandable explanations, or were not given equal choices. Clinics may argue that the services followed programmed procedures. Health workers may argue that they followed standard practices. When involving non-doctor health workers, it is important to ensure that actions are taken within the limits of legal authority to prevent the risk of malpractice and legal disputes (Vitrianingsih et al., 2023). In the event of a dispute, legal parameters will assess the adequacy of information, voluntariness, competence of the consenting party, and documentation of consent. These parameters need to be formulated based on applicable regulations, including regulations on patient rights, health data confidentiality, and family planning service standards. As this issue concerns a person's body and reproductive future, a careful reading of the law is necessary to ensure that free distribution remains in line with respect for human dignity and patient safety, and does not result in rights violations through overly administrative service procedures.

The free distribution of contraceptives often creates tension between public health objectives and the protection of individual autonomy (Mulyani et al., 2017). This tension is evident when services are organized with a logic of coverage, while consent requires a dialogue process that allows for personal assessment. In contraceptive services, patients are in a vulnerable position because the decision affects their sexual life, family plans, and social relationships. This vulnerability can increase if patients have limited health literacy or come with a fear of being judged.

In biomedical ethics, autonomy is understood as the capacity to make decisions based on sufficient information and free will, so that a violation of autonomy can occur even if the patient signs a consent form, if the explanation is inadequate or the choice is manipulative (Beauchamp & Childress, 2009). The quality-of-service interactions directly affects patient satisfaction and trust in public health services (Khayru & Issalillah, 2022). The main issue here is how to distinguish substantive consent from formal consent, especially in free services that are often provided with fast processing and long queues. When the substance of consent is not maintained, free distribution can give rise to claims of reproductive rights violations even if the programmer's intention is promotional.

The next issue relates to the standard of information that must be provided, including how to communicate rare but serious risks. Contraceptive methods have different ranges of risk, and some risks require careful assessment of medical history, such as a tendency towards thrombosis with certain hormonal contraceptives or the risk of infection with intrauterine devices if insertion does not follow sterile procedures. In community services, explanations are often simplified for the sake of efficiency. The problem is that excessive simplification can turn information into promotion rather than balanced education. This raises the problem of setting a threshold for "sufficient" information, because what is considered sufficient for the provider may not be sufficient for the patient. Beauchamp and Childress emphasize that patient understanding and how information is tailored to the patient's abilities are important elements of ethically valid consent (Beauchamp & Childress, 2009). This issue becomes even more complicated when patients come from vulnerable groups, such as adolescents, people with intellectual disabilities, or those in relationships that limit their freedom of choice. This paper frames these issues as normative issues that must be tested against Indonesian legal standards.

Another issue concerns the recording, confidentiality, and use of health data in free contraceptive services. Community clinics routinely record data for programmed reporting, stock

monitoring, and follow-up on side effects. However, contraceptive records may reveal sensitive information, including marital status, sexual activity, and pregnancy plans. If such data is leaked or used for purposes other than service provision, the consequences can include social stigma and gender-based violence. At the normative level, the main issues are how to establish restrictions on the purpose, security, and access to data in accordance with regulatory requirements, and how to assess the responsibility of clinics or health workers if confidentiality is violated. This issue is intertwined with accountability when side effects occur and patients demand explanations or proof that procedures have been followed. Without proper record-keeping, evidence becomes weak. However, excessive record-keeping without protection can create privacy risks. This tension needs to be addressed as a normative issue, not as a list of operational obstacles.

The debate on reproductive rights is increasingly linked to the design of community-oriented primary services. Community clinics are the gateway to family planning services, especially for groups facing cost and distance barriers. Given this position, free contraceptive services must maintain a balance between access and the quality of the consent process, as broad access without quality consent can undermine the legitimacy of the service. In practice, legitimacy depends heavily on the patient's experience when interacting with staff, including whether the patient feels respected, whether they understand their options, and whether they feel they can refuse without consequences. A legal analysis is needed to assess whether Indonesian norms provide sufficient tools to maintain this quality, and how these norms work when services are publicly funded or involve cooperation with third parties. In service partnerships, clear regulations on the rights and obligations of the parties are important to ensure accountability and prevent conflicts of interest (Putra & Wibowo, 2023).

In addition, the development of health information systems, electronic medical records, and programmed reporting increases the number of points at which patient data is processed. Free contraception services are likely to involve referral systems, specific funding claims, or aggregate reporting that relies on individual data. A normative assessment of data protection is important because contraceptive choices can have social implications for patients if exposed. By examining the compliance of the distribution and use of free contraceptives with patient rights, informed consent, and data protection regulations, this paper seeks to clarify the obligations of community clinics and health workers. Such clarity is needed so that strengthening access is not followed by an increase in disputes and public distrust of family planning services.

This study aims to compile a normative legal analysis of the distribution and use of free contraceptives in community clinics by linking the principles of reproductive rights, patient safety, and informed consent to all applicable Indonesian laws and regulations, so as to obtain legal parameters that can be used to assess service compliance, regulate the accountability of clinics and health workers, and strengthen the governance of health data recording and confidentiality in family planning practices.

RESEARCH METHOD

This study uses a normative juridical method with a qualitative literature review design to assess the suitability of the distribution and use of free contraceptives in community clinics in accordance with applicable legal norms. Primary legal materials include laws and regulations related to health services, family planning, medical and health worker practices, consent to treatment, medical records, personal data protection, as well as provisions on contracts and unlawful acts in the Civil Code. Secondary legal materials include academic books and reputable journal articles discussing biomedical ethics, patient rights, informed consent, and methods of literature review and thematic synthesis. The analytical framework is directed at discovering norms, principles, and standards of obligation that can be translated into service compliance parameters, including parameters of service provider authority, mandatory information standards, voluntariness requirements, and record-keeping and confidentiality governance. The logic of the literature review follows the principles of theme mapping and consistency of argumentation so that the final results can be accounted for as a coherent normative construct (Webster & Watson, 2002).

The literature search strategy was conducted through academic databases and official publisher portals using equivalent English and Indonesian keywords as those found in the abstract. References were searched to meet the reference year requirements, with priority given to articles with a DOI and books with an ISBN. The inclusion criteria

included: (a) academic publications that explain the concepts of informed consent, autonomy, patient rights, or health information management; (b) relevance to primary health care, community services, or family planning services; (c) bibliographic traceability through DOI/ISBN and official publisher or journal links. Exclusion criteria included: (a) popular writings without peer review; (b) works without a DOI/ISBN or that could not be verified through official websites; (c) publications outside the year range; (d) sources containing normative claims without adequate academic support. To improve the consistency of the selection process reporting, the systematic review reporting flow principle was used as a procedural reference, especially in the identification, screening, and eligibility determination stages (Moher et al., 2009).

Thematic synthesis was conducted through a step-by-step coding process: initial coding to capture units of ideas, grouping codes into themes, and then refining themes into normative propositions that could be tested against Indonesian regulations. The conceptual guide for thematic analysis follows a formulation that views themes as patterns of meaning constructed through repeated reading and disciplined reasoning (Braun & Clarke, 2006). Quality assurance was carried out through three mechanisms: citation verification (each citation must be traceable to a DOI/ISBN and official link), consistency audit (each theme must be linked to the source text excerpt and the analyzed norm), and legal material triangulation (themes from the literature were compared with the structure of obligations in regulations to prevent leaps in argumentation). In addition, a synthesis-oriented literature review approach was used to ensure that the concept map, operational definitions, and discussion boundaries were clearly structured and could be tested by readers (Tranfield et al., 2003). The final result of this method is a set of normative parameters that can be used to assess the legality of the free contraceptive distribution process, the quality of consent for actions, and the obligations of confidentiality and security of health data in community clinics.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Legal Parameters for the Distribution of Free Contraceptives at Community Clinics in Fulfilling Reproductive Rights and Patient Safety

The distribution of free contraceptives in community clinics should be understood as part of a public health policy that does not stand alone, but is rooted in the framework of human rights and state obligations. The distribution of free contraceptives in community clinics is a public health policy aimed at fulfilling citizens' reproductive health rights (Sudra et al., 2022). The legal parameters are primarily based on Law No. 36 of 2009 on Health, which establishes health as a right for every person and obliges the state, government, and service providers to provide safe, quality, and affordable health services.

Within this framework, contraception is understood as part of reproductive health services that must be available, accessible, and provided through procedures that ensure the safety of service recipients. Currently, the government provides three types of contraceptives free of charge throughout Indonesia, namely condoms, IUDs, and implants (Saragih, 2019). Law No. 36 of 2009 on Health also contains the principle of patient protection through the obligation of health workers and health service facilities to work according to standards, as well as the obligation to maintain service quality. Because the distribution of free contraceptives has the potential to be misinterpreted as the distribution of goods, the Health Law helps to clarify that this activity is a health service that has clinical prerequisites, including an assessment of the recipient's condition, adequate explanation, recording, and follow-up if there are any complaints. In this case, legal protection for patients becomes relevant when there is alleged negligence on the part of medical personnel or a failure of service that harms the service recipient (Lethy et al., 2023). Thus, the legitimacy of the programmed is not solely based on the goal of pregnancy control, but on the fulfilment of the patient's right to scientifically sound and safe services. These parameters form the basis for assessing whether community clinics have established service procedures, staff competence, and governance that prevent the provision of methods unsuitable for the medical condition of the recipient. These standards collectively position the distribution of free contraceptives as a health service that must be evaluated based on professional standards and patient protection.

Another relevant legal framework broadens the context of contraceptive distribution from the perspective of population and family planning policy. The next parameter comes from Law No. 52 of 2009 on Population Development and Family Development, which provides a normative framework for population and family planning programmed. This law directs family planning services as a means to create quality families with

respect for the rights and choices of couples or individuals who are the targets of the services. From this perspective, the distribution of free contraceptives should not be placed as an administrative obligation that suppresses freedom of choice, but rather as a means of facilitating access so that citizens can exercise their reproductive choices responsibly. Law 52/2009 also emphasizes the institutional role of the government in providing services and strengthening family resilience, so that community clinics that serve as service points must be in line with official population policies.

In practice, this norm requires that family planning counselling procedures, method selection, and referrals in the event of contraindications be carried out as a series of safety-oriented services. In modern service practice, counselling and follow-up may also involve the use of health information technology, which must remain subject to the principles of patient safety and protection (Sasmita et al., 2023). Law 52/2009 also provides a foundation for programmed monitoring, reporting, and quality control, so that its legal parameters include traceability of implementation, control of budget or logistics use, and assessment of programmed achievements without sacrificing the rights of service recipients. These population norms emphasize that the objectives of the programmed cannot be separated from respect for the rights and safety of service recipients.

The legitimacy of free contraceptive distribution also depends heavily on the legality of the health facilities providing the services. To avoid services being provided by unauthorized entities, institutional parameters are determined through Minister of Health Regulation No. 9 of 2014 on Clinics (Purwanti et al., 2018). Indonesian Minister of Health Regulation No. 35 of 2014 involves protection against violence in reproductive health. This includes provisions on preventive measures and handling in the event of violence in reproductive health services, thereby protecting patients from potential physical or psychological risks (Andriati et al., 2023). This regulation governs the requirements for establishment, licensing, classification, human resource requirements, infrastructure, and clinic service procedures. When community clinics distribute free contraceptives, this Minister of Health Regulation serves as a gateway to legality: whether the place is truly a health service facility that can carry out certain actions, has a person in charge, and meets minimum service standards. Violations of authority or falsification of health service documents can have criminal and professional ethical implications, making the legality of facilities and administration crucial parameters (Hartika et al., 2023).

Licensing requirements and compliance with operational standards mean that contraceptive distribution cannot be carried out by ad hoc activities without a system, as it would be difficult to ensure quality, safety, and accountability. The Minister of Health Regulation also intersects with referral and service network requirements, which are important for contraceptives that require further examination or treatment of side effects. Based on these parameters, the aspects that must be tested normatively include: the existence of a valid operating license, the suitability of the type of service to the license, the availability of health personnel in accordance with contraceptive service needs, and the existence of standard procedures for counselling, screening, storage of equipment, insertion procedures where necessary, and complaint management. If these requirements are not met, the legal risk shifts from a mere administrative violation to potential negligence in health services. With these institutional parameters, the distribution of free contraception is only legal if carried out by facilities that meet legal and service standards.

The aspect of medical personnel's authority is another determining factor that cannot be ignored in contraceptive services. The distribution of free contraceptives must also be placed within the regime of medical practice authority through Law No. 29 of 2004 concerning Medical Practice. This law emphasizes that medical actions can only be performed by doctors or dentists who are registered and licensed to practice, and must follow professional standards and standard operating procedures. In contraceptive services, the involvement of doctors is important in certain conditions, such as the selection of methods for patients with comorbidities, the management of side effects that require clinical assessment, or actions that require special competencies (Jemmy & Fadlan, 2020). Violations of the limits of health practice authority have been identified as a source of legal risk and patient safety in various health services (Subhi et al., 2023). The legal parameters are the limits of the actions that can be performed by each personnel, who has the right to conduct examinations, who has the right to decide on the suitability of a particular method, and how referrals are made when cases exceed the capabilities of the service.

The Medical Practice Act is also related to consent for treatment, as it presupposes a doctor-patient relationship based on communication and respect for the patient's choices. In free distribution, this norm reduces the risk of services turning into "quick fixes" without medical assessment. Normatively, community clinics need to demonstrate that the doctors involved are properly licensed, that the division of tasks with other personnel is clear, and that clinical decisions are documented. In the event of a dispute, the existence of evidence of compliance with medical practice standards will be decisive in determining liability. This arrangement ensures that programmed efficiency does not compromise the medical diligence that is a professional obligation.

This framework of authority was subsequently expanded through regulations concerning non-doctor health workers. In line with this, the quality and legality of distribution implementation is supported by Law No. 36 of 2014 concerning Health Workers. This law expands the framework from doctors to all health worker professions, including competency requirements, authority based on education and training, and adherence to professional standards and codes of ethics. In contraceptive services at community clinics, many operational functions are carried out by midwives, nurses, or other health workers according to their authority. The legal parameters arising from this law are the prohibition of practicing outside one's competence, the obligation to refer, when necessary, the obligation to maintain the safety of service recipients, and the obligation to keep records.

The Health Workers Law also provides a basis for disciplinary action and sanctions for violations, so that free distribution programmed must include mechanisms for guidance, clinical supervision, and quality control based on professional authority. This norm supports the principle of non-discrimination in services because health workers are required to provide services in accordance with standards without treating patients in a detrimental manner. If community clinics provide services relying on non-healthcare volunteers for screening or medical counselling, this law becomes a measure of non-compliance. This parameter encourages service designs that place competent personnel at the point of clinical decision-making and place support personnel in functions that do not exceed their healthcare authority. Thus, the free distribution of contraceptives must remain under the control of legitimate and competent healthcare personnel.

Informed consent occupies a central position because it determines the legality and ethicality of a health service. In the distribution of free contraceptives, informed consent must be understood as a legal and ethical requirement that affects the validity of the service. Law No. 36 of 2009 on Health emphasizes that every medical or health action basically requires consent after the service recipient has received an explanation. The legal parameters include the quality of information, the capacity of the service recipient to give consent, voluntariness, and documentation of consent. For contraception, the information that must be provided includes the choice of methods, how they work, benefits, risks, side effects, warning signs that require assistance, the possibility of failure, and options for discontinuing or changing methods. Valid consent requires understandable explanations, not just the delivery of technical terms. In free services, the risk of implicit pressure may arise if counselling is directed towards one method due to logistical availability or programmed targets (Suryani & Diniyah, 2023).

Sound legal parameters reject coercion, intimidation, or conditions for social assistance linked to contraceptive acceptance. As the Health Law binds service providers, community clinics must design counselling processes that allow for questions, provide privacy, and ensure decisions are made of the service recipient's own free will. Normatively, compliance indicators can be seen from specific consent forms for actions, counselling records, and evidence that alternatives have been explained. This set of provisions places consent as the foundation of service validity, not merely an administrative formality.

Patient safety frames the entire service process as the responsibility of health organizations. Patient safety parameters bind all stages of service, from procurement to follow-up. Minister of Health Regulation No. 11 of 2017 concerning Hospital Patient Safety does focus on hospitals, but the principles of patient safety are relevant to community clinics because they describe the standard obligations of healthcare organizations. The legal parameters that can be derived include proper patient identification, effective communication, prevention of adverse events, incident reporting, organizational learning, and continuous improvement. In contraceptive services, this principle is realized through contraindication screening, the use of aseptic procedures during insertion, education on warning signs, and follow-up plans.

Safety also includes logistics management, such as storage in accordance with requirements, control of expiry dates, and handling of damaged products. Normatively, community clinics need to have procedures for handling complaints and adverse events after service, including rapid referral in case of complications. Permenkes 11/2017 provides governance language to assess whether the organizer has prepared a structure, rather than simply relying on the individual capabilities of officers. If free programmed are carried out at high volumes, patient safety parameters require realistic queue and counselling time arrangements, as rushing can turn into a clinical risk. In disputes, the existence of a functioning safety system is an important factor in assessing whether there has been organizational negligence. Through this framework, patient safety becomes a measure of quality as well as a tool for testing service accountability.

Health service accountability depends on verifiable record-keeping. The obligation to record and prove services is stipulated in Minister of Health Regulation No. 24 of 2022 concerning Medical Records. This regulation stipulates that every health service must be recorded, and medical records have clinical, administrative, and evidentiary functions. In the distribution of free contraceptives, medical records must include the patient's identity, relevant anamnesis and screening results, the method provided, the batch number if necessary for tracing, the information provided, consent, and a follow-up plan. In the digital era, the use of electronic medical records expands the legal dimensions related to data security, access authorization, and the validity of health service evidence (Kholis et al., 2023). The legal parameters include completeness, timeliness of recording, authorization by authorized personnel, and confidentiality protection.

Good record-keeping protects patients because it facilitates evaluation when side effects arise, and protects organizers because it shows that clinical processes have been carried out according to standards (Mario & Irma, 2020). This Ministerial Regulation is also important for the accountability of public programmed, because aggregate reporting should be sourced from accurate individual records. Normatively, community clinics must determine who fills out medical records, how corrections are made, how storage is organized, and how access is granted for referral purposes. If distribution is carried out through off-site activities, medical record parameters require a recording mechanism that maintains data integrity, such as immediate recording and integration into the clinic system, so that free services do not result in gaps in evidence when patients need further assistance. Orderly medical records make services professionally and legally accountable.

Personal data protection is a direct consequence of the sensitive nature of reproductive health services. Because contraceptive services generate sensitive health data, data protection parameters are determined by Law No. 27 of 2022 on Personal Data Protection. This law classifies health data as specific personal data, so its processing requires a legitimate basis, purpose limitation, data minimization, security, and respect for the rights of data subjects. For community clinics, the implications are very practical: data collection must be relevant to the service and not excessive for the needs of the programmed; the use of data for reporting must be regulated so as not to reveal identities; internal access must be restricted based on duties; and disclosure to other parties must have a clear legal basis.

These legal parameters also require data breach incident management, as the PDP Law recognizes the obligation of data controllers to maintain confidentiality and security. Free contraception services often involve partners, such as government agencies, system providers, or programmed implementers. The PDP Law encourages agreements and arrangements between data controllers and processors, including security and retention standards. When clinics use applications, the risk of unauthorized access must be prevented through authentication and role restrictions. Thus, legal certainty in fulfilling reproductive rights must go hand in hand with legal certainty in privacy, as contraceptive choices are closely related to dignity and the risk of stigma. Data protection emphasizes that health services do not stop at clinical actions alone.

The use of electronic systems has additional legal consequences for health service governance. Data protection parameters in electronic systems are also related to Law No. 11 of 2008 concerning Electronic Information and Transactions as amended by Law No. 19 of 2016, as well as Government Regulation No. 71 of 2019 concerning the Implementation of Electronic Systems and Transactions. This regime regulates the obligations of electronic system operators in maintaining the reliability, security, and governance of the system, including the management of personal data in electronic-based services.

In the distribution of free contraceptives, registration systems, queuing, electronic medical records, or programmed reporting often use electronic systems operated by clinics or third parties. The legal parameters include the obligation to maintain data confidentiality and integrity, system availability, activity logging, and recovery readiness in the event of a disruption. PP 71/2019 requires responsible system governance, so clinics need to ensure that the vendors or systems used meet standards, have service agreements, access controls, and retention policies. The ITE Law and its amendments reinforce the principle that the processing of electronic information must not harm other parties and must comply with data protection provisions. These parameters are important because free distribution often involves large volumes of data, increasing the risk of input errors, data dissemination, or illegal access. Normatively, compliance is not sufficient with a statement that "data is secure"; rather, role-based access procedures, audit logs, encryption where available, and training for officers not to share data through private channels are necessary. This framework places system security as an integral part of service quality.

Technical operational guidelines serve to translate data protection principles into everyday practice. More specifically, Regulation of the Minister of Communication and Information Technology No. 20 of 2016 concerning Personal Data Protection in Electronic Systems provides operational guidelines on the data management cycle, from acquisition, processing, storage, display, disclosure, transmission, to destruction. For community clinics, this regulation helps formulate auditable compliance parameters: whether there is consent from the data owner for specific purposes, whether notification of the purpose is provided, whether there are data correction procedures, how long the data is stored, and how destruction is carried out after retention ends. In contraceptive services, the aspect of "purpose limitation" is important because data is often requested for programmed reporting.

Appropriate legal parameters require a separation between identity data needed for individual services and aggregate data for programmed evaluation. This Permenkominfo regulation also relates to the obligation to maintain confidentiality by everyone who has access, so clinics need to have internal rules prohibiting staff from sharing patient data through messaging groups or social media. In data breach disputes, the existence of internal policies based on Permenkominfo 20/2016 can be an indicator that the clinic has made an effort to meet standards of due diligence. This is in line with the PDP Law, so that the parameters that arise are not duplicative, but rather reinforcing: the PDP Law provides principles and sanctions, while Permenkominfo provides more operational governance measures. This technical compliance clarifies the limits of service provider responsibility.

The principle of non-discrimination extends the protection of service recipients beyond the health regime. The parameters of non-discrimination and protection of service recipients can also be linked to Law No. 8 of 1999 on Consumer Protection when contraceptive services are understood as health services and the provision of health goods. Under this regime, service recipients have the right to obtain accurate, clear, and honest information about the condition of goods or services, as well as the right to comfort, security, and safety in their use. The principle of consumer protection in the health sector also emphasizes the responsibility of the health profession in ensuring the quality and safety of products provided to patients (Setiawan et al., 2023). Contraception as a health product has specific characteristics, but the basic principles of the Consumer Protection Law can strengthen the obligation of clinics to provide non-misleading information, including regarding possible side effects and the limits of the method's effectiveness. The legal parameters include a prohibition on trading or providing products that do not meet standards, the obligation to be responsible for consumer losses if there are product or service defects, and the obligation to handle complaints. In free programmed, service recipients are still entitled to the same standards, as free does not diminish the duty of care. This law is also relevant for assessing relationships with suppliers or logistics distributors, because if the contraceptives distributed are damaged or expired, the liability mechanism can trace the supply chain. Normatively, clinics need to ensure that procurement follows standards, storage follows regulations, and usage information is provided correctly. These parameters enrich the analysis of reproductive health with clear user protection measures regarding the right to information and safety. This approach emphasizes that service recipients remain positioned as protected legal subjects.

The risk of loss opens up the possibility of civil liability for service providers. When a loss occurs,

the parameters of civil liability are rooted in the Civil Code, particularly Article 1320 concerning the validity of agreements and Article 1365 concerning unlawful acts. Although free contraceptive services are not always perceived as paid agreements, a legal relationship can still arise through a service agreement between the patient and the service provider. Article 1320 provides the test criteria: there is an agreement free from coercion, there is competence, there is a specific object in the form of an action or the provision of contraceptive methods, and there is a lawful cause. Consent obtained without adequate explanation may be challenged as a defective agreement, especially if there is pressure or misinformation. Valid medical consent requires adequate information, free from coercion and misinformation, and understood by the patient. When these elements are not met, consent may be questioned ethically and legally (Manson & O'Neill, 2007). Article 1365 provides a route for claims in cases of negligence or actions that violate legal obligations and cause harm, such as the insertion or provision of methods without screening, the use of expired devices, or failure to follow up on complaints. The legal parameters require proof of action, fault, harm, and a causal link. Medical records and counselling documentation are central elements in proving this. Furthermore, liability may attach to individual healthcare professionals and to the clinic's governing body, depending on the structure of authority and organizational negligence. Thus, the Civil Code stipulates that free distribution is not without consequences, but rather part of a service that is subject to standards of care that can be tested in court. This civil framework emphasizes that the free nature of the service does not negate legal responsibility.

Cross-service standards of care reinforce the consistency of health facility management. Finally, a frequently overlooked legal parameter is the link between the distribution of free contraceptives and the service standards of other professions in the same facility, such as medical rehabilitation. Minister of Health Regulation No. 65 of 2015 on Physiotherapy Service Standards does not appear to be directly related to family planning, but it is relevant as it confirms that every type of service in a health facility is bound by measurable service and governance standards. Community clinics often combine several services, including physiotherapy, midwifery, and preventive services. Minister of Health Regulation 65/2015 emphasizes the need for competency standards, service flows, documentation, and quality assurance in physiotherapy services. This principle can be used as a normative benchmark that contraceptive services should also have written service standards, assessment procedures, record-keeping, and service outcome evaluations.

Here, strengthening the parameters for the distribution of free contraception can learn from governance: the division of authority, the use of assessment forms, referrals, and reporting, so that the family planning process does not stand alone but is on par with other standardized clinic services. In addition, the existence of cross-service service standards supports patient safety by reducing improvisation. In family planning programmed that also involve BKKBN implementing regulations, the legal parameters become more operational through technical guidelines, counselling standards, logistics distribution, and evaluation mechanisms. Although its form may change according to policy, its existence confirms that implementation must be auditable, supervised by the health department and BKKBN, and in line with health norms, medical practices, health workers, medical records, and data protection. The integration of these standards shows that the distribution of free contraceptives is part of a measurable and accountable health service ecosystem.

Standards for Consent and Health Data Protection in the Use of Free Contraception at Community Clinics

The legal framework for informed consent places patients at the center of healthcare decision-making. The standard for informed consent regarding the use of free contraceptives is primarily determined by Law No. 36 of 2009 on Health, which establishes consent as a legal prerequisite for healthcare services. Consent is understood as a statement of the patients will after receiving adequate explanation and after having the opportunity to ask questions, consider, and then choose freely (Winarni et al., 2019). This consent is called informed consent, which contains information about the action to be taken, its benefits, its risks, other alternatives (if any), and what will happen if it is not done (Pratama, 2019). In contraceptive services, this standard is binding because the choice of method is directly related to the body, reproductive functions, and possible side effects. The "free" nature of the service does not change the character of the action to merely the provision of goods, because health workers still make

assessments, give recommendations, and execute certain actions such as injections or device insertion. Health law norms also affirm the position of patients as subjects who have the right to determine what happens to them. From the perspective of protecting patient rights, consent is a concrete manifestation of respect for individual dignity and autonomy in health services (Herisasono et al., 2023). Therefore, valid consent must be supported by communication that patients can understand, not communication that merely recites medical terms. Consent obtained through pressure, fear, or manipulation of information does not meet the standard of free will.

Within a normative legal framework, the extent of compliance can be seen from the service procedures: whether explanations are provided before the procedure, whether there is room for refusal, whether refusals are respected, and whether patients are given reasonable time to decide without the threat of losing other services. With this construction, consent is positioned as a meaningful communication process that can be tested normatively.

The right to information forms the minimum substance that must be fulfilled before consent is declared. More specifically, Article 56 of Law Number 36 of 2009 affirms the right of patients to obtain complete information before giving consent. Patients can then make decisions based on complete and accurate information, and hospitals can fulfil their legal obligations to provide quality healthcare services (Herisasono et al., 2023). This right to information forms the "minimum content" that healthcare professionals must fulfil in contraceptive counselling. Mandatory information must include the types of methods available, a brief explanation of how they work that is relevant to the patient's choice, expected benefits, common risks and side effects, rare but serious risks if relevant, warning signs that require assistance, and alternatives, including the option to delay the procedure.

In free contraception services, Article 56 requires balanced information. Counselling should not turn into one-sided persuasion that leaves no room for critical questions from patients. Incomplete or inaccurate information can potentially lead to medical errors that may have legal implications for healthcare professionals (Setiyadi et al., 2023). This article also requires explanations to be adjusted to the patient's level of understanding, so technical terms need to be translated into accurate everyday language. If the patient does not understand the explanation, the consent loses its validity, even if the form is signed. From an evidentiary perspective, compliance with Article 56 must be evident in the medical records: a summary of the information provided, the patient's questions, the healthcare provider's answers, and the reasons for choosing the method. Thus, Article 56 links the right to information with the obligation of documentation. It makes consent not just a "yes or no" decision, but a process whose validity can be tested when disputes arise, such as allegations that certain risks were never disclosed or that patients were directed to choose without understanding other options. This set of norms emphasizes that the quality of consent depends on the quality of the information provided and recorded.

The validity of consent is also determined by the capacity and freedom of the decision-maker. For consent to be considered valid, the capacity and competence of the decision-maker must be examined normatively. The Health Law assumes that patients can give consent if they are able to understand the information and its consequences. In contraceptive services, the issue of capacity may arise in certain conditions, for example, if patients are under family pressure, experience mental disorders that interfere with their judgement, or are in situations that reduce their freedom of choice (Suryani & Diniyah, 2023). Vulnerable groups, including persons with disabilities, require special attention so that their right to information and consent is not reduced by communication barriers or service structures (Subiakso et al., 2023). Consent standards require health workers to assess whether patients are truly making their own decisions. This assessment does not have to be a formal test, but must be evident through communication steps: asking patients to repeat in their own words, inquiring about preferences and reproductive plans, and confirming that the decision was made without coercion. If a companion is present, health workers need to ensure that the companion does not dominate the patient's decision. Failure to meet professional standards, including in the provision of explanations, can be classified as an ethical violation or a legal violation (Safitri et al., 2023). If there are indications of coercion, consent standards require postponing the action until the situation allows the patient to choose freely. Within the legal framework, consent obtained through coercion or deception opens the door to objections, including claims of patient rights violations. As these services are free and often linked to programmed, consent standards must reject targeting practices that steer patients towards specific methods without

assessing their preferences and psychological readiness. All of this must be incorporated into standard clinic procedures so that the consent obtained is accountable. Through this assessment of capacity and freedom, consent gains ethical legitimacy and legal force.

The strengthening of informed consent standards must also be interpreted within the legal framework that specifically regulates medical practice. The framework for informed consent is also reinforced by Law No. 29 of 2004 on Medical Practice, as some contraceptive services involve procedures that fall within the scope of medical practice. This law requires doctors to work in accordance with professional standards and standard operating procedures, which in the realm of consent means that explanations must be provided in accordance with scientific principles and in accordance with the patient's decision-making needs.

In contraceptive services, standard operating procedures should ideally include decision points that influence what information should be conveyed. For example, if a patient has a history of certain diseases, the explanation must highlight the relevant specific risks and the reasons why certain methods are more appropriate than others. The Medical Practice Act also positions the doctor-patient relationship as a professional relationship that demands good faith, so that information must not be conveyed in a misleading manner or downplay risks. This is important in free services that sometimes focus communication on "government programmed" so that patients feel it is inappropriate to refuse (Hernayanti et al., 2023). In terms of evidence, the Medical Practice Act provides a foundation that actions taken without proper consent can be questioned as a violation of professional obligations. It also emphasizes the obligation to create medical records, so that consent standards always lead to orderly recording standards, because without records, claims of fulfilment of explanations are difficult to verify. With this position, consent to treatment becomes an integral part of the professional accountability of medical personnel.

The aspect of evidence then receives strong emphasis through health administration regulations. Evidence of consent to treatment takes its most concrete form through medical records regulated by Minister of Health Regulation No. 24 of 2022 concerning Medical Records. This regulation requires the recording of every service provided to patients, including treatment consent and relevant information. Medical records are not merely clinical notes, but legal instruments that reflect the compliance of health workers with their professional and ethical obligations (Mubarak et al., 2023). For free contraceptive services, proof should not stop at a general consent form, as general forms often fail to show that patients have received information that is material to their choice. The Minister of Health Regulation on Medical Records requires completeness and accuracy of records, so that the ideal standard includes: counselling records, the method chosen, the reasons for the choice according to preferences and medical conditions, a summary of the explanation of risks and side effects, and a follow-up plan. If consent is given electronically, the system must be able to demonstrate authentication, time, identity of the consent giver, and document integrity. This Ministerial Regulation also regulates aspects of document management, including storage, access, and confidentiality, which means that proof of consent must be demonstrated without compromising patient privacy. In disputes, medical records serve as a tool to assess whether consent was obtained prior to the procedure, whether the explanation was provided by an authorized person, and whether the patient was given a real choice. Without records in accordance with the Ministerial Regulation, proof will shift to weak and biased oral testimony. Thus, medical records become the meeting point between the rights of patients and the institutional obligations of service providers.

The weight of medical records as evidence is also explicitly reinforced by medical practice laws. The evidentiary value of medical records is also confirmed in Article 46 of Law Number 29 of 2004 concerning Medical Practice, which stipulates that medical records are mandatory documents that have evidentiary value. This article changes consent from a matter of communication to a matter of evidence management. In free contraceptive services, patients can claim that they were never given an explanation of certain side effects, or that they were not given alternative options. Clinics can refute this. At that point, Article 46 directs the evidence to the contents of the medical records: whether there are notes of explanations, whether there is evidence of consent, and whether the service flow is in accordance with procedures. Therefore, good consent standards must be designed so that they can be reasonably proven. Notes that are too minimal, for example, only writing "contraception given, agreed", risk being considered insufficient to describe the fulfilment of

the right to information. Conversely, overly detailed records without access security may increase the risk of data leakage. Thus, medical record design must combine proportional completeness with access control. Article 46 also supports the accountability of health workers, as medical records show who performed the action and who provided the explanation. This is important in community services involving teams, so that there is no ambiguity regarding who is responsible when complaints arise. Thus, Article 46 reinforces the norm that consent must be verifiable, not merely claimed. At this point, consent is positioned as a process that must be legally and administratively verifiable.

Another dimension that cannot be separated from consent to treatment is the obligation to maintain patient confidentiality. The aspect of patient confidentiality is determined by professional obligations derived from the Health Law and the Medical Practice Law, which both treat patient information as confidential. Violations of health data confidentiality can cause serious legal and social harm to patients and undermine trust in health services (Setiawan et al., 2023). For contraceptive services, confidentiality is of high importance because information about contraceptive use can trigger social stigma, family conflict, or intimate partner violence if disclosed. The standard of informed consent for free contraceptive services must include a guarantee of confidentiality as part of the explanation to patients (Masnianti et al., 2022). Patients need to know who can access their records, for what purposes, and what their rights are in the event of a breach. The obligation to maintain confidentiality also limits how clinics report on programmed. Reporting that mentions identities or attributes that can easily trace individuals has the potential to violate confidentiality obligations. Therefore, operational standards must separate data for individual services and data for aggregate reporting. Furthermore, confidentiality requires the arrangement of service spaces. Contraceptive counselling should be conducted in a room that ensures conversations cannot be overheard by others, and patient calls should not reveal the purpose of the visit. If community clinics use administrative volunteers, then volunteer access to sensitive information must be strictly limited. Normatively, breaches of confidentiality can be grounds for legal action, as patient confidentiality is part of the inherent rights of service recipients. Thus, valid consent standards do not stand alone but are linked to consistent confidentiality practices from registration to document storage. This confidentiality ensures that consent is given in a safe environment, free from hidden social risks.

Consent protection also intersects directly with the legal regime for personal data protection. A more specific regime regarding personal data is determined by Law No. 27 of 2022 on Personal Data Protection, which categorizes health data as specific personal data. This requires stricter treatment, both at the collection, use, storage, and disclosure stages. In free contraception services, clinics act as data controllers that determine the purpose and means of processing. The standard of consent for clinical actions must be distinguished from consent for data processing. Clinical consent concerns contraceptive actions, while consent for data processing concerns how health information is used, including for programmed reporting, quality audits, or referrals. The PDP Law requires a legitimate and proportionate basis for processing, so clinics must establish clear purposes and limit the data collected to those purposes. The principle of minimization rejects the collection of irrelevant data, such as requesting overly detailed information about personal life that is not necessary for method selection. The PDP Law also requires security measures, including access control and leak prevention. In the event of an incident, the PDP Law provides for sanctions, so clinic operating standards must include incident response and notification procedures where required. Thus, data protection is not an add-on, but part of the legality of free contraception services. Patient consent becomes more meaningful when patients understand that their health data is processed within strict and accountable limits. At this stage, consent takes on a dual meaning as permission for medical treatment and a limit on data processing.

Technical regulations regarding medical records then detail the obligations of health data control. The principles of proper data processing are also reiterated in Minister of Health Regulation No. 24 of 2022 concerning Medical Records, as medical records are the center of health data in health care facilities. This Ministerial Regulation requires medical records to be managed securely, kept confidential, and accessed only by authorized parties. In free contraception services, data processing standards include role-based access controls, restrictions on staff who can view diagnoses or contraception records, and procedures for releasing information to other parties (Kusworo et al., 2022). When there is a request for data from

an agency or programmed, the clinic must assess the legal basis and ensure that the data provided is appropriate for the purpose and processed in such a way that identities are protected where possible. The Ministerial Regulation also requires the integrity of records. This is relevant because changes to consent records after an action has been taken may raise suspicions of manipulation. Therefore, the recording system must have a transparent audit trail or correction procedure. In physical storage, consent documents and contraceptive records must be stored in a locked place with access control. In electronic storage, security standards must avoid the use of shared accounts and restrict data downloads to personal devices. All of this is directly related to proof of consent, as strong evidence must be both valid and protected. If clinics neglect security, evidence can be damaged, lost, or disseminated, ultimately harming patients and clinics in disputes. Proper medical record management ensures that consent remains valid and protected throughout the data cycle.

The validity of consent also depends on the legitimacy of the healthcare provider itself. The legality of consent is also influenced by the legality of the service provider, because consent given in an unlawful service will raise issues of administrative responsibility and governance validity. Non-compliance with licensing and health administration regulations has the potential to open up opportunities for criminal and professional ethical violations (Hartika et al., 2023). Minister of Health Regulation No. 9 of 2014 concerning Clinics regulates the requirements for operational licenses, persons in charge, and the types of services that may be provided. In free contraceptive services, this Minister of Health Regulation requires that counselling and procedures be carried out in licensed facilities, with health personnel in accordance with the provisions, and with facilities and infrastructure that support privacy and safety. Normatively, new patient consent has strong protective implications when choices are provided in services that meet minimum standards. If distribution is carried out by unauthorized parties or outside the clinic's management, patients find it difficult to ensure confidentiality standards, access complaints, and obtain follow-up on side effects. The Minister of Health Regulation on Clinics is also relevant to the regulation of administrative processes, including registration and document management, which are directly related to data protection. In addition, this Minister of Health Regulation requires written service procedures. With written procedures, clinics can ensure consistency in explanations, consent formats, and documentation mechanisms (Sugiarti, 2020). Consistency is important to prevent disparities in service, for example, where certain patients are given a full explanation while others are not. Thus, the Minister of Health Regulation on Clinics functions as an administrative safeguard that ensures consent occurs in an orderly, supervised, and accountable manner. The legality of the facility strengthens the position of consent as an effective protection mechanism.

Valid consent also requires the absence of discrimination in healthcare interactions. The principle of non-discrimination in healthcare services, as emphasized by the Health Law, provides an important condition for free consent. From the perspective of healthcare consumer protection, patients must be protected from misleading or manipulative service practices (Sahidu et al., 2023). Consent given in a discriminatory situation does not meet the standard of free will, as discrimination can be a form of structural pressure. In free contraceptive services, discrimination can take the form of refusing service to certain groups, providing less information to patients with lower education levels, or intimidating treatment based on marital status, occupation, or beliefs. Normatively, consent standards require healthcare providers to provide information of equal quality to every patient, with adjustments to communication methods without being condescending (Samino et al., 2022). Non-discrimination also applies to method choice. Patients should not be directed towards certain methods based on stereotypes, such as assuming that certain groups "must" use long-term methods. Consent must also be free from irrelevant conditions, such as requiring a partner's consent as an administrative prerequisite when the patient is legally entitled to make their own decisions. The principle of non-discrimination helps assess the validity of consent: if a patient consents out of fear of embarrassment or fear of being denied other services, that consent should be questioned. In service design, clinics need to establish safe and confidential complaint mechanisms so that patients can report discriminatory treatment without fear of their identity being revealed. In this way, consent becomes a real instrument for the protection of rights, rather than a formal document. Non-discrimination ensures that consent arises from autonomous will, not covert social pressure.

Non-compliance with consent standards carries

serious legal consequences. The legal implications of non-compliance with consent may shift to civil liability under the Civil Code, particularly through the route of unlawful acts. If contraception is provided without valid consent, or consent is obtained through misleading information, patients may consider that their rights have been violated, resulting in harm, whether physical, psychological, or social. In proving their case, patients need to demonstrate the existence of an act, fault, loss, and a causal link.

Medical records and consent documents are central to this, as they can be used to assess whether information was provided, whether any refusals were ignored, or whether the consent procedure was bypassed. In free services, clinics sometimes assume that the risk of disputes is low because there is no financial transaction involved. This assumption is incorrect, because civil liability focuses on the harm caused by the action, not on the payment. In addition to civil liability, lack of consent can trigger administrative sanctions in the health regime and professional discipline, especially if the violation is systematic. Therefore, consent standards should be treated as an integral part of service quality, not an administrative burden. Normatively, strengthening evidence can be done by ensuring specific consent documents for each action, recording a summary of the counselling, and keeping evidence that the patient was given the opportunity to refuse. These are governance measures that reduce the risk of disputes and strengthen the protection of patient rights. These implications show that consent has a preventive function in the legal risk management of health services.

All of these arrangements ultimately come together in a single, interrelated normative framework. Ultimately, the standards for consent to free contraception must be formulated as a series covering the legality of explanations, the legality of documentation, the legality of confidentiality, and the legality of data processing. The Health Law provides the basis for the right to information and consent, the Medical Practice Law provides professional obligations and the weight of medical records, the Minister of Health Regulation on Medical Records provides governance of recording and access, the PDP Law provides principles of data processing and security, and the Minister of Health Regulation on Clinics ensures that services take place in licensed facilities with written procedures. All of these converge on one normative measure: patients must be able to choose freely and consciously, and that choice must be verifiable without compromising privacy. Because services are free, clinics need to organize an efficient counselling format that still fulfils the substance of the right to information, for example through easy-to-understand information sheets that are then explained verbally and confirmed for understanding. Strong proof requires specific consent documents, proportionate medical records, and a strict access system. Confidentiality is not merely a prohibition on disclosure, but rather control of the flow of data from registration, patient calls, storage, to reporting. In this way, the use of free contraception can function as a service that respects patient dignity, maintains data security, and provides legal certainty in the event of a dispute. This sequence emphasizes that consent to an action is not a formality, but rather the foundation for protecting rights and ensuring the legal certainty of free contraception services.

CONCLUSION

The distribution and use of free contraceptives in community clinics forms a healthcare relationship that is subject to legal requirements regarding facility authority, healthcare worker competence, patient safety, consent for procedures, documentation, and the confidentiality and security of health data. Relevant legal parameters require services to be provided in licensed facilities, with a counselling process that guarantees the right to information and freedom of choice, accompanied by appropriate clinical screening, quality control of logistics, and referrals when necessary. The validity of consent is determined by sufficient and understandable information, voluntariness, and written or electronic evidence recorded in medical records. Health data governance must follow restrictions on purpose, minimization, access control, and auditable security measures, so that patient confidentiality is protected throughout the service chain and programmed reporting.

For community clinic providers, the results of the analysis require the formulation of standard operating procedures that treat consent as a verifiable communication process, not an administrative formality. Clinics need to ensure specific counselling and consent document formats for specific procedures, consistent recording mechanisms, and the security of medical records and electronic systems with role-based access restrictions. For health workers, the implications are the obligation to perform actions in accordance with their authority, to assess the suitability of

contraceptive methods based on relevant clinical data, and to maintain patient confidentiality in service interactions and reporting. For regulators and programmed supervisors, the implications are the need for audit indicators that assess the quality of consent, the quality of medical records, and data protection compliance, along with service coverage indicators.

Community clinics need to develop standard counselling packages that are understandable to patients, accompanied by brief information sheets per method and a mechanism for confirming understanding before consent is given. Consent documents should include the identity of the officer, a summary of the information provided, a statement of voluntary consent, the date and time, and a follow-up plan, and should be stored in accordance with retention and security requirements. Data management needs to be strengthened through regular training, periodic access audits, aggregate reporting with anonymized identities, and incident response procedures for data breaches. Further empirical data-based research is needed to assess variations in the implementation of these standards across different regions and types of facilities, as well as to test the compatibility of service workloads with the quality of consent communication.

REFERENCES

Andriati, F., Azhari, A. F., & Yuspin, W. (2023). Minister of Health Regulation of the Republic of Indonesia Number 35 of 2014 on Reproductive Health Service Standards: Legal Review and Normative Aspects in Healthcare Practices. *Jurnal Soepra*, 9(2), 209-219.

Beauchamp, T. L., & Childress, J. F. (2009). Principles of biomedical ethics (6th ed.). Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using Thematic Analysis in Psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101.

Feriadi, E. H., Khayru, R. K., Issalillah, F., Vitrianingsih, Y., & Mardikaningsih, R. (2023). Patient Autonomy, Paternalistic Healthcare Providers, and Criminal Liability in Therapeutic Contracts. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 3(1), 307-318.

Hartika, Y., Saputra, R., Pakpahan, N. H., Darmawan, D., & Putra, A. R. (2023). A Study on the Falsification of Health Certificates: Perspective of Criminal Law and Professional Ethics. *Journal of Social Science Studies,* 3(2), 175-180.

Herisasono, A., Darmawan, D., Gautama, E. C., & Issalillah, F. (2023). Protection of Patient Rights in the Perspective of Law and Medical Ethics in Indonesia. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 3(2), 195-202.

Hernayanti, H., Ratna, R., Iskandar, H., Amalia, A. W., & Putri, D. A. (2023). Therapeutic Transactions and Informed Concent and Liability Agreement Between Doctors and Patient. *Jurnal Health Sains*, 4(8), 22-30.

Indonesia. (1999). *Undang-Undang Nomor 8 Tahun 1999 tentang Perlindungan Konsumen*. Lembaran Negara. Jakarta.

Indonesia. (2004). *Undang-Undang Nomor 29 Tahun 2004 tentang Praktik Kedokteran*. Lembaran Negara. Jakarta.

Indonesia. (2008). *Undang-Undang Nomor 11 Tahun 2008 tentang Informasi dan Transaksi Elektronik*. Lembaran Negara. Jakarta.

Indonesia. (2009). *Undang-Undang Nomor 36 Tahun 2009 tentang Kesehatan*. Lembaran Negara. Jakarta.

Indonesia. (2009). *Undang-Undang Nomor 52 Tahun 2009 tentang Perkembangan Kependudukan dan Pembangunan Keluarga*. Lembaran Negara. Jakarta.

Indonesia. (2014). *Peraturan Menteri Kesehatan Nomor 9 Tahun 2014 tentang Klinik*. Lembaran Negara. Jakarta.

Indonesia. (2014). *Undang-Undang Nomor 36 Tahun 2014 tentang Tenaga Kesehatan*. Lembaran Negara. Jakarta.

Indonesia. (2016). *Peraturan Menteri Komunikasi dan Informatika Nomor 20 Tahun 2016 tentang Perlindungan Data Pribadi dalam Sistem Elektronik*. Lembaran Negara. Jakarta.

Indonesia. (2016). *Undang-Undang Nomor 19 Tahun 2016 tentang Perubahan atas Undang-Undang Nomor 11 Tahun 2008 tentang Informasi dan Transaksi Elektronik*. Lembaran Negara. Jakarta.

Indonesia. (2017). *Peraturan Menteri Kesehatan Nomor 11 Tahun 2017 tentang Keselamatan Pasien Rumah Sakit*. Lembaran Negara. Jakarta.

Indonesia. (2019). *Peraturan Pemerintah Nomor 71 Tahun 2019 tentang Penyelenggaraan Sistem dan Transaksi Elektronik*. Lembaran Negara. Jakarta.

Indonesia. (2022). *Peraturan Menteri Kesehatan Nomor 24 Tahun 2022 tentang Rekam Medis*.

Indonesia. (2022). *Undang-Undang Nomor 27 Tahun 2022 tentang Perlindungan Data Pribadi*. Lembaran Negara. Jakarta.

Jemmy, M., & Fadlan, R. (2020). Analysis of Juridical Legal Protection of Women Reproductive Health in Family Planning: A Research Study in Batam City. *Scholars International Journal of Law, Crime and Justice*, 3(7), 208-215.

Khayru, R. K., & Issalillah, F. (2022). Service quality and patient satisfaction of public health care. *International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology,* 1(1), 20-23.

Kholis, K. N., Chamim, N., Susanto, J. A., Darmawan, D., & Mubarak, M. (2023). Analyzing Electronic Medical Records: A Comprehensive Exploration of Legal Dimensions within the Framework of Health Law. *International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology,* 4(1), 36-42.

Kusworo, D. L., Pratama, A. A., Fauzi, M. N. K., & Shafira, M. (2022). Conception of an Independent Surveillance Authority in the Efforts to Protect Population Data. *Administrative and Environmental Law Review*, 3(1), 9-24.

Lestari, R. T. (2021). Informed Choice and Informed Consent in Family Planning Services in Independent Practice Midwives. *Soepra Jurnal Hukum Kesehatan*, 7(1), 88-106.

Lethy, Y. N., Issalillah, F., Vitrianingsih, Y., Darmawan, D., & Khayru, R. K. (2023). Legal Protection for Patients Against Negligence of Medical Personnel. *International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology,* 4(2), 39-43.

Manson, N. C., & O'Neill, O. (2007). *Rethinking Informed Consent in Bioethics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Mario, E., & Irma, A. (2020). Quality of Care in Modern Contraceptive Service Delivery in the Public and Private Sector: A Cross Sectional Study in Indonesia. *Global Journal of Health Science*, 12(7), 102-132.

Masnianti, W., Asnawi, E., & Azmi, H. B. (2022). Urgency Rekam Medik bagi Dokter Praktek Berdasarkan Undang –Undang Nomor 29 Tahun 2004 tentang Praktek Kedokteran. *Jurnal Ilmiah Advokasi*, 10(1), 25-33.

Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., & Altman, D. G. (2009). Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The Prisma Statement. *PLoS Medicine*, 6(7), e1000097.

Mubarak, M., Darmawan, D., & Saputra, R. (2023). Legal and Ethical Arrangements for Medical Record Filling by Doctors: A Normative Study. *Bulletin of Science, Technology and Society*, 2(1), 33-38.

Mulyani, S., Mangesti, Y. A., & Suryana, A. (2017). Implementasi Hak Reproduksi Perempuan Dalam Program Keluarga Berencana Berdasarkan Undang-Undang Nomor 52 Tahun 2009 Tentang Perkembangan Kependudukan dan Pembangunan Keluarga. *Jurnal Hukum dan Pembangunan Ekonomi*, 5(2), 52-60.

Noor, A., Herisasono, A., Hardyansah, R., Darmawan, D., & Saktiawan, P. (2023). Juridical Review of the Rights of Indigent Patients in Health Services in Indonesia. *Journal of Social Science Studies,* 3(2), 253-258.

Pratama, M. S. A. (2019). Pelaksanaan Persetujuan Tindakan Medis (Informed Consent) antara Bidan dan Pasien Pengguna Alat Kontrasepsi dalam Rahim di Bidan Praktek Mandiri Mawar Kabupaten Kerinci. *Skripsi*, Program Studi Hukum dan Sistem Pendidikan Perdata Fakultas Hukum Universitas Sriwijaya Inderalaya.

Purwanti, A., Muzayyanah, & Budiyanto. (2018). Strategies for Fulfillment of Reproductive Rights on Adolescent Women in Central Java. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 175(1), 012168.

Putra, A. R., & Wibowo, A. S. (2023). Royalty Fee Arrangement in Franchise Business and its Legal Implication in Indonesia. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 3(1), 171-176.

Riyanto, A., Rezza, M., Noor, A., Darmawan, D., & Yulius, A. (2023). Legal Protection for the Implementation of Immunization in Post-Immunization Adverse Incidents. *Bulletin of Science, Technology and Society*, 2(3), 21-27.

Safitri, N., Gautama, E. C., Issalillah, F., Mardikaningsih, R., & Vitrianingsih, Y. (2023). Law Enforcement Against Midwife Malpractice in Midwifery Services in Indonesia. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 3(2), 1-10.

Sahidu, S., Putra, A. R., & Darmawan, D. (2023). Medical Advertising Regulations and Patient Protection as Consumers of Healthcare Services. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 3(1), 331-342.

Samino, S., Perdana, A. A., & Kuntoro, S. (2022). Informed Consent in Pringsewu Regional General Hospital: Legal Evidence Perspective. *Jurnal Aisyah: Jurnal Ilmu*

Kesehatan, 7(1), 325-330.

Saragih, E. (2019). Faktor-Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Keikutsertaan Ibu Menjadi Akseptor Alat Kontrasepsi Dalam Rahim (AKDR) di Desa Bonandolok Kecamatan Sijamapolang Tahun 2019. *Journal Of Midwifery Senior*, 2(1), 36-42.

Sasmita, B., Darmawan, D., & Khayru, R. K. (2023). Telemedicine Regulation in Indonesia: Enhancing Patient Safety and Protection. *International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology*, 4(3), 29-35.

Setiawan, R. A., Khayru, R. K., Mardikaningsih, R., Issalillah, F., & Halizah, S. N. (2023). Implementation of Indonesian Positive Law in Combating Fraud and Forgery in Health Insurance and Protection against Industrial Losses. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 3(1), 271-280.

Setiawan, S., Hardyansah, R., Khayru, R. K., & Putra, A. R. (2023). Consumer Protection in the Health Sector: The Legal Responsibilities of Pharmacists. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 3(2), 131-138.

Setiyadi, G. B., Negara, D. S., Khayru, R. K., Darmawan, D., & Saputra, R. (2023). Misdiagnosis and Legal Liability of Doctors: A Normative Juridical Study in the Indonesian Health System. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 3(2), 215-220.

Subhi, I., Darmawan, D., Khayru, R. K., Suwito, S., & Mujito, M. (2023). Juridical Aspects of Violation of Authotiry by Dental Handyman. *Bulletin of Science, Technology and Society*, 2(1), 6-11.

Subiakso, A., Juliarto, T. S., Darmawan, D., Sisminarnohadi, S., & Romli, R. I. A. (2023). Legal Rights in Access to Health Services for Persons with Disabilities. *Bulletin of Science, Technology and Society*, 2(3), 15-20.

Sudra, R. I., Putra, S., & Hartini, I. (2022). Legal Protection of the Patient's Right to Access Medical Records in Indonesia. *South Eastern European Journal of Public Health*, Special Volume (2), 1-9.

Sugiarti, I. (2020). Legal Protection of Patient Rights to Completeness and Confidentiality in Management of Medical Record Documents. *Advances in Health Sciences Research*, 26, 179-191.

Suryani, E., & Diniyah, K. (2023). Overview of the Application of Informed Consent in Family Planning Services. *International Journal of Health Science and Technology*, 5(1), 8-11.

Tranfield, D., Denyer, D., & Smart, P. (2003). Towards a Methodology for Developing Evidence-Informed Management Knowledge by Means of Systematic Review. *British Journal of Management*, 14(3), 207–222.

Vitrianingsih, Y., Issalillah, F., Mardikaningsih, R., & Halizah, S. N. (2023). Juridical Study of Medical Malpractice by Midwives Based on Law No. IX. 17 of 2023 Concerning Health. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 3(2), 169-174.

Webster, J., & Watson, R. T. (2002). Analyzing the Past to Prepare for the Future: Writing a Literature Review. *MIS Quarterly*, 26(2), 13–23.

Winarni, S., Najib, N., Martini, M., & Wijayanti, U. T. (2019). Study of Contraceptive Management in National Health Insurance Era at Central Java. *KEMAS: Jurnal Kesehatan Masyarakat*, 15(1), 53-61.

World Medical Association. (2000). World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki: Ethical Principles for Medical Research Involving Human Subjects. *JAMA*, 284(23), 3043–3045.

Yatno, Y., Darmawan, D., & Khayru, R. K. (2023). Hospitals' Legal Responsibility for Service Disruptions due to Information System Failures. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 3(1), 319-330.

Yulius, A., Mubarak, M., Hardyansah, R., Darmawan, D., & Yasif, M. (2023). Legal Protection for Nurses in Medical Practice in Hospitals. *International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology*, 4(3), 18-22.

Zurhayati, Z., Hartati, S., & Wilda, I. (2021). Free Family Planning Counseling and Services in Labuh Baru Barat Pekanbaru. *Kangmas: Karya Ilmiah Pengabdian Masyarakat*, 2(2), 178-182.

***Issalillah, F. (2024). Legal Framework for Free Contraception in Community Clinics: Consent and Data Protection, *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 4(1), xx – xx.**