Weaving Consistency and Participation: Brand Governance through Community Management

Folashade Oluwatoyin

Obafemi Awolowo University, Nigeria

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

This literature study explores the integration of brand governance principles into the practice of digital community management. In the contemporary marketing landscape, brand communities have become central arenas for brand meaning co-creation and reputation formation. This creates a fundamental tension between the need for brand consistency, a core governance objective, and the organic, authentic participation that defines a vibrant community. Through a qualitative literature review, this study addresses two research questions: how brand governance principles are adapted and operationalized in community management, and what mechanisms mitigate the inherent tension. The findings reveal a paradigm shift where governance principles transform from rigid control to facilitative guidance. Consistency evolves into alignment with core brand values, accountability becomes multi-directional, and oversight turns into participatory moderation. Effective mitigation mechanisms include establishing creative guardrails, hybrid leadership structures, transparent conflict resolution, and the cultivation of a shared "our brand" narrative. The study concludes that successful brand governance in the digital age is achieved not by imposing control over communities, but by empowering and guiding participation through relational and structural mechanisms, thereby turning potential conflict into a source of brand resilience and authentic engagement.

INTRODUCTION

The world of marketing and brand management has experienced a significant shift with the emergence of digital technology and social media (Infante & Mardikaningsih, 2022). Interaction between companies and consumers has transformed from one-way communication into a many-to-many dialogue. Consumers are no longer passive recipients of messages but have become active actors in shaping the perceptions, narratives, and value of a brand. This shift changes the traditional foundations of brand management, which were previously highly centralized and tightly controlled by brand owners. In this new environment, consumers form groups or communities based on shared interests, loyalty, or experiences with a particular brand (Biraghi et al., 2018). These groups become living spaces where brand identity is organically discussed, interpreted, and even developed. Therefore, the existence and dynamics of such communities cannot be ignored by organizations seeking to keep their brands relevant and meaningful in the minds of their customers (Alamin et al., 2021).

The concept of governance, or management oversight, has traditionally been associated with supervisory and control structures within corporations to ensure accountability and alignment with organizational goals (Siano et al., 2022). In the context of branding, governance focuses on how brand standards, core values, and messages are maintained consistently across all customer touchpoints (Darmawan, 2019). Brand governance deals with policies, guidelines, and frameworks designed to protect brand equity from deviations and risks (Leitch & Merlot, 2018), including threats to brand integrity such as counterfeiting or misuse of brand identity in the market (Isnaeni et al., 2023). However, this traditional approach tends to view the brand as an asset that must be protected from external parties, including consumers themselves. In reality, with the presence of active communities, the boundary between internal brand managers and external consumers is becoming increasingly blurred. These communities often serve as valuable sources of innovation, technical support, and

* *Corresponding author, email address:* **folashadeoluwatoyin@gmail.com**

advocacy, while at the same time potentially becoming sources of reputational crises if their aspirations are not properly managed.

This situation highlights the need to reconcile two concepts that appear to be contradictory: formal and structured brand governance, and dynamic, relational, and often spontaneous community management. Community management refers to the practice of building, maintaining, and facilitating interactions within a group of users or enthusiasts (Lunyakov et al., 2023). This practice involves social skills, empathetic communication, and the ability to mediate conversations. If brand governance is about "rules," then community management is about "relationships." A deeper question arises regarding how the formal rules of a brand can live and breathe within the informal spaces of a community without suppressing the energy and creativity that actually constitute the core value of such communities (Cooper et al., 2019), especially since consumers' emotional relationships and experiences with a brand shape trust and loyalty toward it (Darmawan, 2018). The integration of these two elements becomes key to creating a brand that is not only well managed but also loved and collectively owned by its users (Fared et al., 2021).

A phenomenological approach to this situation invites us to understand the essence of brand experience from the perspective of community members. Community members do not interact with a set of sterile graphic guidelines or marketing messages; rather, they experience the brand through interactions with fellow members, sharing solutions, stories of failure and success, and building social bonds based on a shared brand identity. This collective subjective experience ultimately shapes the real brand reality within digital spaces. Therefore, any governance effort must begin with an understanding of this living reality. Governance can no longer exist merely as internal documentation; it must become a set of principles that are understood and respected collectively, capable of guiding interactions within the community while still allowing space for authentic expression among its members (Al Hakim & Sigita, 2023).

Thus, brand governance through community management represents a new paradigm. It is an effort to implement frameworks for regulating and protecting brand values through social relationships and the management of conversations within communities. Governance is not implemented through coercion or rigid control, but through guidance, facilitation, and the creation of an environment in which brand values are naturally nurtured and reinforced by the community itself. This research arises from the need to explore the mechanisms, principles, and forms of practice through which effective governance can be achieved precisely by empowering and trusting the community rather than restraining it. It is a study of how authority and authenticity can coexist within the modern brand ecosystem (Al Hakim et al., 2022).

The first problem that arises is the fundamental tension between the need to maintain brand consistency and integrity and the desire to allow communities to grow organically and authentically. Traditional brand governance emphasizes uniformity of messages, visuals, and experiences in order to build strong recognition and trust. This process often involves hierarchical control and strict approval procedures. On the other hand, digital communities thrive precisely because they are spontaneous, participatory, and sometimes unpredictable. Community members value the freedom to express themselves, share user-generated content, and engage in conversations that cannot always be anticipated by marketing teams. Efforts to impose overly strict control over community conversations risk suppressing participation, making interactions rigid and unnatural, and ultimately eroding the core value that communities themselves offer. Achieving a balance between control and the release of control thus becomes a complex challenge (Irfan & Putra, 2020).

The second problem relates to the limitations of formal authority within jointly managed social spaces. In classical organizational structures, authority and responsibility for a brand are delegated through clear lines of command. However, in an online community, authority does not always flow from the formal structure of the company. Influence is often held by community members who are the most active, respected, or possess the deepest knowledge, even though they may have no formal relationship with the company. Corporate community managers must therefore operate in an environment where their authority is not absolute and must be earned through trust, valuable contributions, and the ability to build relationships. This creates challenges in enforcing governance guidelines, such as addressing deviations from brand values or the spread of misinformation, without appearing authoritarian and damaging the social bonds that have already been established. Governance in this setting must rely more on persuasive leadership, negotiation, and consensus-building rather than direct command (Issalillah & Khayru, 2022; Ali & Darmawan, 2023).

The importance of examining this topic is largely driven by the growing role of communities as determining forces in the success or failure of a brand. In an era where reviews and recommendations from fellow consumers carry greater weight than corporate advertising, communities have become the primary arena where brand reputation is formed. A healthy and engaged community can serve as a powerful marketing channel, a valuable source of product innovation, and the first line of defense during crises. Conversely, a neglected or poorly managed community can quickly become a source of massive and organized criticism. Therefore, understanding how to direct this collective power so that it aligns with the vision and values of the brand is no longer merely an additional marketing tactic but has become a strategic core competency for organizations (Cahyati & Mardikaningsih, 2021). However, this understanding has not yet been fully mapped within adequate theoretical and practical frameworks, particularly from a governance perspective (Darmawan et al., 2023).

Furthermore, the development of social media platforms and digital collaboration tools continues to accelerate the formation and scale of communities. Every brand, large or small, potentially has or needs to interact with some form of user community. However, many organizations still position community management as an extension of the marketing or customer service division without an integrated governance framework. This separation can create policies and actions that are misaligned, which may confuse the community and ultimately damage brand equity (Aziz et al., 2023; Ali et al., 2024). Systematic academic study is therefore required to provide a roadmap for how governance principles can be operationalized within daily community management practices. Such studies will provide a foundation for developing clearer models, guidelines, and performance measures so that investments in community building can produce optimal and sustainable outcomes for overall brand health.

This research aims to analyze and formulate a conceptual framework for integrating brand governance into community management practices. Specifically, this study seeks to identify brand governance principles that are relevant and applicable to the management of digital communities, as well as to explore practical mechanisms capable of balancing the need for brand standardization with the organic and participatory characteristics of communities. The theoretical contribution of this research is expected to enrich the literature at the intersection of brand management studies, corporate governance, and new media communication theory by proposing an integrated perspective. Practically, the findings of this study are expected to serve as a reference for brand managers, community managers, and corporate stakeholders in designing and implementing community management strategies that not only build engagement but also actively protect and strengthen brand equity and long-term brand reputation within the dynamic digital ecosystem.

RESEARCH METHOD

This study is a qualitative literature study that is exploratory and descriptive in nature, aiming to develop a deep conceptual understanding of the integration of brand governance into community management practices. A qualitative approach was chosen because it aligns with the research objective of exploring phenomena, identifying key concepts, and formulating relationships among concepts within a field that is still evolving (Creswell, 2007). This study does not aim to test hypotheses or produce statistical generalizations; rather, it seeks to generate an argumentative synthesis and a coherent analytical framework based on the existing body of knowledge. As a purely literature-based study, this research enables the author to conduct a critical analysis of various theoretical perspectives, identify conceptual gaps, and propose new syntheses without the constraints of primary empirical data collection.

The data collection and analysis methods were carried out systematically through a comprehensive literature review process. The primary data sources for this study consist of reputable scientific journal articles, academic textbooks, monographs, and conference publications discussing topics such as brand governance, brand management, community management, digital marketing, and social media studies. The literature search process was conducted using leading academic databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar, employing combinations of relevant keywords. The collected literature was then screened based on relevance, depth of discussion, and publication quality. Data analysis was performed using thematic content analysis techniques (Braun & Clarke, 2006), in which the researcher iteratively read, coded, and categorized findings from the literature into emerging themes. These themes were subsequently compared, contrasted, and interconnected to construct an analytical narrative that addresses the research problem. This process ensures that the

resulting synthesis is grounded in a comprehensive understanding of the existing literature and developed through critical and reflective interpretation.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION
Adaptation and Operationalization of Brand Governance Principles in Digital Community Management

Brand consistency shifts from uniformity to value alignment. The first principle of brand governance that undergoes a fundamental adjustment is the principle of consistency. In traditional governance, consistency is understood as absolute uniformity in message delivery, logo usage, and the application of visual guidelines across all company-controlled communication channels. In a digital community environment, the literal application of this principle is neither possible nor productive. Communities thrive on diverse conversations, informal language, and the personal creative expressions of their members. Therefore, the operationalization of the consistency principle needs to shift from the level of literal messaging to the level of core values and brand personality. The task of community management becomes translating the fundamental values of the brand, such as reliability, innovation, or openness, into communication behaviors and interaction patterns within the community (Issalillah et al., 2022). A good community manager acts as a "tone guardian" who ensures that although the language may vary, the spirit and ethics underlying the conversations remain aligned with the essence of the brand, so that consistency is maintained at the level of emotional experience and value perception rather than at the level of identical words or images. Consistency now means alignment of experience rather than visual uniformity (Darmawan, 2022; Kridaningsih, 2023).

Community accountability requires multidirectional transparency. The principle of accountability, which usually flows within formal organizational hierarchies, also undergoes transformation in the context of communities. In corporate structures, accountability means that individuals or departments are responsible to supervisors or specific stakeholders for brand performance. In community spaces, accountability becomes multidirectional and transparent. Community managers are not only accountable to the companies that employ them but also directly to community members. Trust is a key factor in reducing perceived risk and uncertainty, which in turn enables the development of sustainable exchange relationships (Martínez-López et al., 2021). This accountability is manifested through quick and constructive responses to questions, acknowledgment of mistakes when they occur, and openness about decision-making processes that affect the community (Sigita & Al Hakim, 2022). This principle is operationalized through practices such as publishing regular "team updates," organizing live question-and-answer sessions with company representatives, and the willingness to explain the rationale behind certain policies or changes. In this way, accountability becomes a tool for building legitimacy and trust, which are the most valuable forms of social currency in leading a community. Transparent accountability strengthens legitimacy and community trust (Hardyansah & Putra, 2023; Nuraini et al., 2023).

Community oversight shifts from control to facilitation. The principle of oversight and control, which lies at the heart of classical governance, finds a new form that is more participatory and facilitative in the context of contemporary digital communities. Oversight within communities no longer merely means monitoring in order to reprimand deviations, but rather understanding patterns, identifying natural opinion leaders, and detecting emerging needs or complaints, an approach that aligns with the concept of online community governance oriented toward trust and social curation (Kraut & Resnick, 2012). Social networks establish rules to protect certain values, therefore the removal of content that violates community guidelines is based on the assessment that the content is sufficiently harmful to threaten those protected values (Aguerri et al., 2023). Effective control is implemented not through direct censorship but through the creation of collectively agreed community norms or "community guidelines." These guidelines must be formulated by involving input from influential community members, clearly communicated, and enforced fairly and transparently, which represent best practices for building legitimacy and voluntary compliance (Matias, 2019). The role of the community manager shifts from a judging supervisor to a moderator who facilitates healthy conversations and protects the space from toxic behavior, a function that requires high-level skills in communication and community-based conflict resolution (Seering et al., 2017). Control is realized through soft influence, redirecting conversations, and empowering community members to help maintain the quality of interactions according to the norms that have been collectively established (Kurnianingtyas, 2023), thereby creating a sustainable system of self-regulation. Participatory control fosters legitimacy and community self-regulation (Indarto et al., 2023.

Brand ownership is now collaborative and

shared. The principle of ownership and authorization undergoes significant decentralization. In the traditional model, brand ownership was centralized within the marketing or legal department, and authorization to speak on behalf of the brand was highly restricted. In a community ecosystem, the concept of ownership becomes shared. Companies still legally own the brand, but communities develop emotional and social attachments to it. Adaptive governance principles recognize this shared ownership and delegate certain authorizations to the community. This can be operationalized through ambassador or superuser programs, in which trusted community members are given authority and resources to help guide new members, answer basic questions, and even create official community-sourced content (Lestari & Putra, 2022). This mechanism expands the reach and capacity of brand management while strengthening the emotional bonds of members who are entrusted with responsibility, so that ownership is not diminished but rather reinforced through managed responsibility sharing. Delegated authorization strengthens ownership through community trust (Anugroh et al., 2023; Zahroh & Chasanah, 2023).

Community measurement requires meaningful social metrics. The principle of measurement and evaluation also requires significant adaptation within the context of community-based governance. Traditional governance often relies on metrics such as brand awareness, market share, or compliance with guidelines. For community management as an instrument of governance, the metrics must capture the social health of the community and the extent to which the community strengthens brand equity, representing a paradigm shift toward measuring social capital and meaningful engagement (Kietzmann et al., 2011). These measurements include indicators such as levels of participatory engagement (not merely likes), conversational sentiment, the strength of member networks, the emergence of user-generated content aligned with brand values, and the role of the community as a source of support and innovation, all of which represent indicators of interaction quality and co-creation value (Porter et al., 2013). User value co-creation behavior contributes to the prosperity of brand communities as well as brand performance (Liao et al., 2023). Community managers need to report these social metrics to governance stakeholders to demonstrate the strategic value of the community not merely as a communication channel but as an asset for maintaining and strengthening brand integrity (Lil Alamin et al., 2021), thereby

addressing the classic challenge of measuring the long-term impact of social investments (Brodie et al., 2013). These reports help shift perspectives from viewing community management as an operational cost toward recognizing it as an investment in brand resilience. Community evaluation reinforces its role as a strategic brand investment.

A hybrid framework integrates formal governance and community dynamics. The operationalization of these principles ultimately depends on the existence of a hybrid policy framework. This framework connects formal brand governance documents with the living operational guidelines of the community. The division of governance into external governance, self-governance, and co-governance helps explain the relationships between digital platforms, states, companies, and society, while also integrating various platform governance concepts into a clearer analytical framework (Lu et al., 2023). Such hybrid policies establish absolute boundaries that cannot be negotiated, for example regarding data privacy, hate speech, or legal violations, which must be strictly enforced (Yani et al., 2023). At the same time, this framework provides significant flexibility in areas where creative expression and open discussion actually benefit the brand. The role of the community manager becomes crucial as the translator and implementer of this hybrid framework. They must possess a deep understanding of business objectives and brand risks, while also having the social skills and empathy required to apply them in everyday human-centered interactions. The dialectic between policy structure and practical freedom ultimately determines the success of adapting governance principles within community spaces (Mardikaningsih & Darmawan, 2023). The dialectic of policy and practical freedom determines governance success.

Community governance emphasizes the democratization and humanization of brands. The adaptation of governance principles within digital community management essentially represents a process of democratizing and humanizing brand governance (Montenegro de Wit, 2020). Governance is no longer solely about protecting the brand from the outside world, but about empowering that outside world the community to become an active partner in protecting and growing the brand. This shift requires a change in mindset from control toward guidance, from compliance toward engagement, and from standardization toward co-creation. The formal principles of governance find renewed vitality when implemented through

relationships of trust, authentic conversations, and recognition of shared ownership. The success of this operationalization is measured not by the absence of deviation, but by the strength of social bonds and value alignment formed between the brand and its most important advocates its own community members. The humanization of governance strengthens social bonds and shared values.

Mechanisms for Mitigating Tensions between Brand Consistency and Community Participation

Creative guardrails mitigate tension without suppressing expression. The first mechanism that functions as a tension-reducing approach is the development and socialization of creative "guardrails," rather than rigid boundaries. Tension often arises when communities feel constrained by overly detailed rules that inhibit expression. The guardrails mechanism addresses this by establishing clear principled boundaries regarding areas that are entirely closed to interpretation, such as statements that violate legal or fundamental ethical standards, while allowing broad space for creativity within the corridor of the brand's core values. For example, instead of prohibiting the use of logos in user-generated content, a brand may publish guidelines that encourage creative use of the logo as long as it does not degrade or alter its fundamental meaning. This mechanism mitigates tension by transforming the perception of rules from something restrictive into something that actually stimulates creativity within safe boundaries (Gani & Darmawan, 2022), allowing authentic participation to flourish without sacrificing the essential consistency of brand identity. Guardrails transform rules into catalysts for safe creativity (Al Hakim, 2023).

Hybrid structures create legitimate shared ownership. The second mechanism involves establishing hybrid community ownership structures that combine formal company representatives with respected and trusted community members. This structure may take the form of a community council or superuser group that has a dedicated communication channel with the brand management team, a model known as co-governance or hybrid governance in the literature on online community management (Fiesler & Jiang, 2017). The function of this mechanism is to create a continuous two-way feedback channel, which is essential for maintaining legitimacy and responsiveness in platform governance (Bennett et al., 2014). Community members who become part of this structure act as intermediaries who understand the dynamics and aspirations of the community,

while also serving as ambassadors who can explain the rationale and objectives behind governance policies from the company's perspective (Darmawan et al., 2019). Through this forum, tensions emerging at the grassroots level can be raised, discussed, and negotiated before developing into open conflict, a process that is effective for managing disagreements and improving the quality of collective decision-making (Matias, 2019). This process transforms potential clashes into constructive dialogue, where the need for brand consistency can be communicated in the language of the community, and the aspiration for participation can be understood through a business perspective. Co-governance transforms tension into constructive dialogue (Al Hakim, 2022).

Gradual escalation preserves dignity and community trust. The third mechanism is the implementation of a transparent escalation and conflict resolution system oriented toward relationship restoration. When the participation of individuals or groups appears to deviate from brand guidelines, an automatic and authoritarian response will amplify tension. An effective mechanism is designed in stages. The first step often involves a private reminder and educational guidance from fellow members or community moderators. If the deviation continues, escalation is conducted by involving representatives with higher authority, focusing on understanding the root of the issue and finding solutions. Stakeholder groups, feedback mechanisms, and dispute resolution mechanisms all have significant positive effects on trust toward sellers and platforms (Liu et al., 2023). The main objective is not to punish, but to restore participation within acceptable boundaries while preserving the dignity of the member concerned (Irfan, 2021). This procedure should be publicly known by all community members. Such transparency builds trust that the governance system is fair and intended to protect the community rather than merely enforce rules blindly. In this way, the mechanism reduces fear of incorrect participation and encourages a sense of safety for active contribution. Transparent resolution transforms conflict into relationship restoration (Irfan & Hariani, 2022).

Platform technology enforces norms through social architecture. The fourth mechanism utilizes platform technology to enforce norms in a semi-automated and participatory manner. Features such as user content reporting, contribution-based reputation systems, and algorithms that prioritize content receiving positive interaction from the community function as governance tools embedded within the platform's social architecture itself. This

mechanism delegates part of the supervisory responsibility to technical infrastructure and the collective actions of members. It reduces the burden of manual monitoring by company teams and avoids the impression of excessive surveillance. When the community collectively, through features such as like, share, and report, determines which content is valuable and which is not, they organically shape and enforce their own standards of participation, which tend to align with the positive values upheld by the brand (Djaelani & Darmawan, 2021). Governance thus occurs through social architecture, reducing the need for direct intervention that often triggers tension. Community norms are collectively enforced through participatory technology (Gardi & Darmawan, 2022).

Structured programs channel creativity toward strategic directions. The fifth mechanism is the creation of structured programs and challenges that embrace creative participation within specific branding objectives. Rather than allowing participation to disperse without direction or attempting to control it too rigidly, companies can proactively design initiatives that invite communities to contribute to particular projects, such as design competitions, product idea crowdsourcing, or user storytelling campaigns. These programs are accompanied by a clear creative brief that includes brand governance parameters such as core messages, values that must be reflected, and legal boundaries. This mechanism mitigates tension by redirecting potentially chaotic participatory energy into productive channels that align with the brand. The community gains a valued space for expression, while the company gains content and engagement that strengthen message consistency at a macro level, creating a mutually beneficial situation in which creativity and control are no longer positioned as diametrically opposed. Creative challenges bridge community expression and brand consistency (Gani & Darmawan, 2022).

Shared narratives shape the collective identity of the brand. The sixth mechanism, which is cultural in nature, is the development of a shared narrative about "our brand." This effort goes beyond technical guidelines and touches on the construction of collective identity. Community managers and brand representatives consistently tell stories about how community contributions have shaped products, improved services, or defined brand values. This narrative positions the community not as an external party being regulated, but as a co-evolutionary force of the brand. When community members internalize the identity of "co-owners," they will voluntarily safeguard consistency because they feel a stake in the brand's

success and integrity (Al Hakim, 2022). This cultural mechanism may be the most powerful in reducing tension, as it transforms participation motivation from external compliance into internal stewardship. Governance thus becomes internalized within the culture of the community, reducing the need for explicit external mechanisms that may generate friction. Collective narratives transform external compliance into internal stewardship (Al Hakim, 2023).

The consistency–participation tension is dynamic energy, not a binary dilemma. The implementation of these various mechanisms demonstrates that the tension between consistency and participation is not a binary problem in which one side must prevail. Instead, it represents a dynamic force that can be managed and leveraged to produce a more resilient and authentic brand ecosystem. These mechanisms function as levers that transform the energy of potential conflict into a source of innovation and engagement (Ind et al., 2012). They operate by recognizing community autonomy, building structures for dialogue, creating fair procedures, leveraging technology, providing constructive channels, and ultimately fostering a shared culture. This overall approach shifts the paradigm from governance over communities to governance with and through communities, where brand consistency is no longer an imposed constraint but a natural outcome of guided participation and a sense of ownership that flourishes within a thoughtfully managed social space. Collaborative governance makes consistency a natural outcome of participation.

CONCLUSION

This literature study concludes that the integration of brand governance into community management practices represents a necessary paradigmatic evolution within the contemporary digital brand ecosystem. The classical principles of brand governance consistency, accountability, oversight, ownership, and measurement are not abandoned; rather, they undergo profound adaptation and transformation in order to operate within the social landscape of communities. Consistency shifts from literal uniformity toward alignment of values. Accountability evolves from a hierarchical structure to a multi-directional and transparent form. Oversight and control transform from authoritative actions into facilitation and moderation based on shared norms. Ownership becomes decentralized toward the concept of shared ownership, while measurement expands to include metrics of social health and engagement. This process of adaptation demonstrates that effective governance in a community context prioritizes

influence and guidance over command and prohibition, allowing formal principles to exist within authentic informal interactions.

The theoretical implication of this study is the enrichment of the conceptual framework at the intersection of brand management theory, corporate governance, and social media studies. This research proposes that brand governance and community management can no longer be viewed as separate domains but rather as two sides of the same coin in building long-term brand equity. Traditional governance models that are company-centered need to be expanded by incorporating relational and co-creative dimensions. Practically, these findings provide a roadmap for organizations to design hybrid policy frameworks that connect formal governance documents with flexible community operational guidelines. The implications require a restructuring of roles and competencies among teams responsible for brand and community management, where community managers must be equipped with a deeper understanding of brand strategy and risk management, while brand managers need to adopt insights into social dynamics and the psychology of digital communities.

Based on this study, the primary recommendation for future research is to test and validate the proposed conceptual framework through empirical studies, both qualitative and quantitative. Exploratory research may be conducted through in-depth case studies of companies recognized for successfully integrating brand governance within their communities, in order to identify best practices and more specific success factors. Quantitative research may develop and test measurement instruments to assess the effectiveness of governance management integration and its impact on brand equity metrics such as loyalty, brand associations, and perceived superiority. For practitioners, it is recommended to begin the integration process by clearly mapping their core brand governance principles and then collaboratively working with community representatives to design a "community constitution" that translates those principles into social rules that can be collectively accepted and implemented, thereby establishing a strong foundation for a participatory and well-governed brand ecosystem.

REFERENCES

Aguerri, J. C., Miró-Llinares, F., & Gómez-Bellvís, A. B. (2023). Consensus on Community Guidelines: An Experimental Study on the Legitimacy of Content Removal in Social Media. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 10(1), 1-11.

Al Hakim, Y. R. (2022). Product Variance and Brand Image Determining Customer Loyalty: Purchase Behavior of Sosro Tea Products. *Studi Ilmu Sosial Indonesia,* 2(1), 13-24.

Al Hakim, Y. R., Putra, A. R., & Hariani, M. (2022). Branding Strategy to Create Long-term Value. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(1), 161-166.

Al Hakim, Y. R. (2023). An Examination of How Brand Image, Product Quality, and Price Determine Brand Loyalty. *International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology*, 3(2), 1–6.

Al Hakim, Y. R., & Sigita, D. S. (2023). The Impact of Product Quality and Brand Equity on Online Purchasing Decisions by Lazada Users in Mojokerto. *Bulletin of Science, Technology and Society*, 2(3), 1–6.

Alamin, S. R. L., Nurmalasari, D., Darmawan, D., & Arifin, S. (2021). Comprehensive Relational Value Marketing Framework for Strengthening Brand Resilience and Sustained Customer Engagement. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(1), 299-304.

Ali, R., & Darmawan, D. (2023). Big Data Management Optimization for Managerial Decision Making and Business Strategy. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 3(2), 139-144.

Ali, E. M., Dirgantara, F., & Darmawan, D. (2024). Legal Protection of Consumers in Online Transactions: A Case Study of Online Fraud in Indonesia. *International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology*, 6(3), 27-38.

Anugroh, Y. G., Hardyansah, R., Darmawan, D., Khayru, R. K., & Putra, A. R. (2023). Consumer Protection and Responsibilities of E-commerce Platforms in Ensuring the Smooth Process of Returning Goods in COD Transactions. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 3(2), 89-94.

Aziz, A., Darmawan, D., Khayru, R. K., & Wibowo, A. S. (2023). Effectiveness of Personal Data Protection Regulation in Indonesia's Fintech Sector. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 3(1), 23-28.

Bennett, W. L., Segerberg, A., & Walker, S. (2014). Organization in the Crowd: Peer Production in Large-Scale Networked Protests. *Information, Communication & Society*, 17(2), 232-260.

Biraghi, S., Gambetti, R.C., Quigley, S. (2018).

Distributed Leadership: When People Claim Brand Ownership. In *Engaged Leadership. Management for Professionals*. Springer, Cham.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using Thematic Analysis in Psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101.

Brodie, R. J., Ilic, A., Juric, B., & Hollebeek, L. (2013). Consumer Engagement in a Virtual Brand Community: An Exploratory Analysis. *Journal of Business Research*, 66(1), 105–114.

Cahyati, F. L. I., & Mardikaningsih, R. (2021). Event Organization and Sponsorship in Increasing Brand Awareness. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(1), 293-298.

Cooper, T., Stavros, C., & Dobele, A. R. (2019). The Levers of Engagement: An Exploration of Governance in an Online Brand Community. *Journal of Brand Management*, 26(3), 240–254.

Creswell, J. W. (2007). *Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches* (2nd ed.). Sage Publications, Thousand Oaks.

Darmawan, D. (2018). The Effect of Brand Experience on Brand Trust and Brand Loyalty. *Translitera: Jurnal Kajian Komunikasi dan Studi Media*, 7(2), 13-24.

Darmawan, D. (2019). The Effect of Corporate Image on Brand Awareness and Brang Attitude. *Translitera: Jurnal Kajian Komunikasi Dan Studi Media*, 8(01), 13-26.

Darmawan, D., Mardikaningsih, R., & Hariani, M. (2019). The Effects of Endorser Credibility, Attitude Toward to ADS, and Brand Attitude on Purchase Intention. *Relasi: jurnal ekonomi*, 15(2), 263-276.

Darmawan, D. (2022). Environmental Accountability through Business Ethics, Responsibility, Morals and Legal Obligations. *Bulletin of Science, Technology and Society*, 1(2), 1-6.

Darmawan, D., Gardi, B., & Da Silva, E. B. (2023). Exploration of Changes in Management and Employee Work Relations in Multinational Companies in the Era of Literacy-Based Digitalization. *Journal of Science, Technology and Society (SICO)*, 4(2), 43–54.

Djaelani, M., & Darmawan, D. (2021). Dulux Wall Paint Purchase Decision: The Role of Brand Image, Price, and Product Quality on Consumer Behavior of Paint Products. *Jurnal Simki Economic*, 4(2), 150-160.

Fared, M. A., Darmawan, D., & Khairi, M. (2021). Contribution of E-Service Quality to Repurchase Intention with Mediation of Customer Satisfaction: Study of Online Shopping Through Marketplace. *Journal of Marketing and Business Research (MARK)*, 1(2), 93-106.

Fiesler, C., Jiang, J., McCann, J., Frye, K., & Brubaker, J. (2018). Reddit Rules! Characterizing an Ecosystem of Governance. In *Proceedings of the International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media*, 12(1), 1-18.

Gani, A., & Darmawan, D. (2022). Brand Authenticity: Dimensions, Trust, and Consumer Loyalty in Modern Market Competition. *Journal of Science, Technology and Society (SICO)*, 3(1), 35–40.

Gardi, B., & Darmawan, D. (2022). Psychological Pricing and Perception of Value in the Formation of Long-Term Brand Equity. *Journal of Science, Technology and Society (SICO)*, 3(2), 45–52.

Hardyansah, R., & Putra, A. R. (2023). Building Regional Economic Stability Through Effective Legal Protection for Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises in Indonesia. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 3(1), 15-22.

Indarto, T., Negara, D. S., & Darmawan, D. (2023). Legal Frameworks for Mitigating Monopoly Practices Adverse to MSMEs in Indonesia. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 3(1), 1-8.

Infante, A., & Mardikaningsih, R. (2022). The Potential of Social Media as a Means of Online Business Promotion. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(2), 45-49.

Irfan, M., & Putra, A. R. (2020). The Effect of Packaging and Brand Image on Purchase Intentions of Packed Rice Products. *Journal of Science, Technology and Society (SICO)*, 1(1), 13–22.

Irfan, M. (2021). The Influence of Brand Image, Product Quality and Price on Decision to Buy Daihatsu Cars in Surabaya. *Studi Ilmu Sosial Indonesia*, 1(2), 13-22.

Irfan, M., & Hariani, M. (2022). Cigarette Product Purchase Decision Based on Brand Image and Price. *Journal of Science, Technology and Society (SICO)*, 3(2), 1–8.

Isnaeni, M., Darmawan, D., Sutriyono, S., Sulistiono, D., & Octavianto, A. D. (2023). The Crime of Brand Counterfeiting in Commerce. *Studi Ilmu Sosial Indonesia*, 3(2), 101-118.

Issalillah, F., & Khayru, R. K. (2022). The Effect of Insurance Premiums and Brand Image on Interest to Be an Insurance Customer. *International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology*, 1(3), 31–35.

Issalillah, F., Darmawan, D., & Khairi, M. (2022). The Role of Brand Image and Brand Communications on Brand Trust. *Journal of Science, Technology and Society (SICO)*, 3(1), 1–6.

Kietzmann, J. H., Hermkens, K., McCarthy, I. P., & Silvestre, B. S. (2011). Social Media? Get Serious! Understanding the Functional Building Blocks of Social Media. *Business Horizons*, 54(3), 241–251.

Kraut, R. E., & Resnick, P. (2012). *Building Successful Online Communities: Evidence-Based Social Design.* MIT Press, Cambridge.

Kridaningsih, A. (2023). A Study of the Role of Price, Product Quality, and Brand Image on Purchasing Decisions for Cosmetics Products. *International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology*, 3(1), 21–25.

Kurnianingtyas, W. (2023). Assessing The Influence of Brand Awareness, Product Variety, and Store Atmosphere on Purchasing Decisions at Sanrio Supermarket. *International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology*, 3(3), 20–25.

Leitch, S., & Merlot, E. (2018). Power Relations within Brand Management: The Challenge of Social Media. *Journal of Brand Management*, 25(2), 85–92.

Lestari, U. P., & Putra, A. R. (2022). Brand Switching Behavior on Smartphone Product Purchases. *Journal of Science, Technology and Society (SICO)*, 3(2), 25–34.

Liao, J., Pang, J., & Dong, X. (2023). More Gain, More Give? The Impact of Brand Community Value on Users' Value Co-Creation. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 74, 103389.

Lil Alamin, S. R., Nurmalasari, D., Darmawan, D., & Arifin, S. (2021). Comprehensive Relational Value Marketing Framework for Strengthening Brand Resilience and Sustained Customer Engagement. *Journal of Social Science Studies,* 1(1), 299-304.

Liu, Y., Wan, Y., & Kang, J. (2023). Impact of Community-Based Governance Mechanisms on Transaction Intention on a Second-Hand Trading Platform. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research*, 18(1), 689-705.

Lu, P., Zhou, L., & Fan, X. (2023). Platform Governance and Sociological Participation. *The Journal of Chinese Sociology*, 10(3), 1-24.

Lunyakov, M., Tarkhanova, Z. E., & Baysaeva, M. U. (2023). Modern Community Management Strategies. *Ekonomika I Upravlenie Problemy Resheniya*, 2(1), 60–68.

Mardikaningsih, R., & Darmawan, D. (2023). An Integrative Conceptualization for Copyright Management in a Business Context. *Legalis et Socialis Studiis (L355),* 1(2), 14-24.

Martínez-López, F. J., Aguilar-Illescas, R., Molinillo, S., Anaya-Sánchez, R., Coca-Stefaniak, J. A., & Esteban-Millat, I. (2021). The Role of Online Brand Community Engagement on the Consumer–Brand Relationship. *Sustainability*, 13(7), 3679.

Matias, J. N. (2019). The Civic Labor of Volunteer Moderators Online. *Social Media + Society*, 5(2), 1–17.

Nuraini, R., Halizah, S. N., Arum, D. S., Hardiyanti, M. T., & Masrifah, M. (2023). Price and Brand Image: Drivers of Consumer Loyalty in The Footwear Market. *Journal of Science, Technology and Society (SICO)*, 4(1), 35–46.

Porter, C. E., Devaraj, S., & Sun, D. (2013). A Test of Two Models of Value Creation in Virtual Communities. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 30(1), 261–292.

Seering, J., Kraut, R., & Dabbish, L. (2017). Shaping Pro and Anti-Social Behavior on Twitch Through Moderation and Example-Setting. In *Proceedings of the 2017 ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing*, 111-125.

Siano, A., Vollero, A., & Bertolini, A. (2022). From Brand Control to Brand Co-Creation: An Integrated Framework of Brand Paradigms and Emerging Brand Perspectives. *Journal of Business Research*, 152, 372–386.

Sigita, D. S., & Al Hakim, Y. R. (2022). The Effect of Service Quality, Digital Marketing and Brand Image on Customer Satisfaction. *Studi Ilmu Sosial Indonesia*, 2(2), 59-72.

Yani, A., Darmawan, D., Hardyansah, R., Marsal, A. P., & Da Silva, E. B. (2023). Legal Protection of Famous Trademarks in Indonesia: Between National Regulation and Global Counterfeiting Challenges. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 3(1), 115-120.

Zahroh, L. A., & Chasanah, U. (2023). The Effect of Brand Image, Product Variants and Price Perceptions on Purchasing Decisions for Cosmetic Products. *International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology,* 4(2), 22–27.