# Adaptive Leadership and Organizational Response Capacity to Contemporary Social Dynamics

[1]Mochamad Irfan, [2]Rudi Hartono

[1]Universitas Mayjen Sungkono Mojokerto
[2]Universitas Terbuka

A R T I C L E I N F O

***Article history:***
*Received 18 November 2024*
*Revised 21 November 2024*
*Accepted 3 December 2024*

**Key words:**
*Adaptive leadership,*
*Social issues,*
*Organizational responsiveness,*
*Leadership perception,*
*Stakeholder engagement.*

A B S T R A C T

*This study explores how perceptions of adaptive leadership influence organizational responses to dynamic social issues through a qualitative literature review approach. The growing complexity of social expectations and stakeholder demands requires leaders to develop responsive capacities that go beyond conventional management practices. By synthesizing theoretical frameworks and empirical findings from diverse scholarly sources, this analysis examines cognitive mechanisms underlying leader interpretation of social signals and translation into organizational action. Findings reveal that adaptive leadership perception encompasses cognitive differentiation of social issues, emotional regulation, and capacity for systemic learning. Leaders with well-developed adaptive perceptions demonstrate greater ability to navigate value conflicts and engage stakeholders in collective problem-solving processes. Organizational responsiveness emerges from interactions between leadership perception, structural flexibility, and supportive cultural conditions that enable distributed leadership across organizational levels. This conceptual framework provides foundations for developing leadership programs that cultivate adaptive capacities aligned with contemporary social challenges. The study contributes to theoretical advancement in leadership studies and offers practical guidance for organizations seeking to enhance social responsiveness while maintaining operational effectiveness.*

## INTRODUCTION

Contemporary organizations operate within environments characterized by rapid social changes and continuously evolving stakeholder demands. Leadership as a core managerial function faces pressures to respond to diverse social issues emerging from the dynamics of modern society. Shifts in social values, public expectations toward corporate responsibility, and rising awareness regarding social justice have fundamentally transformed the organizational landscape (Baporikar, 2024). The implementation of sustainable supply chain management principles within the manufacturing sector serves as a concrete example of how such social demands are translated into tangible operational practices (Barzani et al., 2022). Studies on adaptive leadership offer frameworks for comprehending how leaders can navigate this complexity through responsive capacities toward environmental signals. Burnes (2004) explains that organizations successfully surviving uncertainty are those possessing leadership capable of reading changes and adjusting policy directions in a timely manner. This capacity becomes increasingly crucial when organizations confront social issues lacking standardized solutions and requiring experimental approaches in decision-making. Leaders are demanded to develop sensitivity toward social nuances developing within society.

The social dynamics faced by organizations encompass a broad spectrum of issues ranging from economic inequality and environmental sustainability to worker rights within the gig economy (Silva & Nyobe, 2023). Every social issue carries distinct implications for organizational strategies and necessitates leadership responses tailored to the specific characteristics of the problem. Human resource management strategies incorporating environmental policy considerations into corporate operations demonstrate how

* *Corresponding author, email address:* ***irfanmoc@gmail.com***

sustainability issues can be managed systematically rather than merely as reactive responses (Hariani et al., 2022). Hersey and Blanchard (1988) propose that situational leadership provides a foundation for adapting leadership styles based on follower readiness and situational demands. This approach remains relevant to the context of social issues because every issue possesses varying levels of urgency, complexity, and stakeholder engagement. Adaptive leaders do not apply uniform approaches across all social issues, but instead develop capacities to differentiate responses based on the characteristics of each issue. This discriminative capability enables organizations to allocate resources efficiently and build social legitimacy through actions aligned with public expectations (Scherer et al., 2012). The gap between social expectations and organizational responses frequently serves as a source of conflict disrupting overall organizational performance.

Leader perceptions regarding social issues play a vital role in determining the quality of organizational responses. Leaders possessing high awareness toward shifts in social values tend to develop more responsive strategies compared to leaders isolated from community dynamics (Wilson, 2007). Such perceptions are closely linked to how authentic leadership is capable of cultivating positive work climates while encouraging the emergence of organizational citizenship behaviors among subordinates (Mardikaningsih et al., 2022). Heifetz (1994) introduces the concept of adaptive leadership as an approach distinguishing between technical problems possessing clear solutions and adaptive problems necessitating shifts in values, beliefs, and behaviors. Social issues are inherently adaptive because they involve value conflicts, outcome uncertainties, and needs for collective learning. Ethics-based leadership similarly plays an essential role in establishing positive and sustainable organizational cultures, aligning seamlessly with the demands of resolving adaptive problems touching core organizational values (Mardikaningsih et al., 2022). Adaptive leaders are demanded to orchestrate organizational learning processes through active engagement in defining problems and exploring potential solutions. This approach requires leaders to step out of comfort zones and confront tensions between demands for operational efficiency and needs for social responsiveness. Organizations successfully integrating adaptive leadership demonstrate higher resilience when confronting social turbulence.

The capacity for adaptive leadership does not form instantaneously, but rather through continuous cognitive and emotional capacity development processes. Leaders need to cultivate proficiency in reading weak signals from social environments and translating them into meaningful organizational actions (Güntner et al., 2022). This capacity is likewise influenced by personality characteristics inherent in leaders, which are known to correlate with how individuals respond to work situations and make decisions (Darmawan, 2017). Yukl (2002) highlights that effective leadership within complex situations requires cognitive flexibility and openness toward alternative perspectives. Such flexibility enables leaders to consider diverse viewpoints when formulating responses to social issues involving a wide array of stakeholders. This perception formation process is influenced by prior experiences, personal values, and capacities for critical reflection regarding adopted assumptions. The balance between work demands and personal life, coupled with perceived organizational support, likewise preserves the psychological well-being of leaders in executing emotionally demanding adaptive roles (Darmawan, 2022). Leaders capable of conducting critical reflection on their foundational assumptions possess advantages in identifying gaps between organizational practices and social expectations. This reflective capacity serves as a foundation for developing response strategies that are more authentic and accepted by society.

Adaptive leadership approaches emphasize the importance of involving stakeholders in the identification and resolution processes of social issues. This engagement is not symbolic but substantive through mechanisms of dialogue and meaning negotiation enabling diverse perspectives to be considered (Nelson & Squires, 2017). Kotter (1996) underlines that transformational change within organizations necessitates leadership capable of mobilizing collective energy and creating a constructive sense of urgency. Social issues frequently trigger internal resistance because they touch fundamental values and habits deeply rooted within organizations. Conflict management through appropriate communication strategies constitutes an essential skill for leaders, particularly when such resistance emerges amidst teams possessing diverse backgrounds (Marsal & Darmawan, 2022). Adaptive leaders must manage this resistance through approaches appreciating the legitimacy of concerns from various parties without sacrificing broader social responsiveness objectives. This mobilization process requires high communication proficiency and capacities to build supporting coalitions across all organizational levels. The success of adaptive leadership heavily relies on the ability to build trust among internal and external organizational stakeholders.

Social issues confronted by organizations demonstrate significant variations regarding intensity, reach, and urgency, thereby necessitating differentiated leadership approaches (Krause & Miller, 2020). Certain social issues are transactional and can be managed through adjustments to operational policies, whereas others are transformational and necessitate fundamental changes in how organizations view their roles within society. The application of situational leadership in decision-making within dynamic organizations illustrates how leaders need to adjust approaches matching the characteristics of problems encountered, rather than applying identical prescriptions to every issue (Darmawan, 2024). Schein (1992) explains that effective leadership within situations of cultural change requires profound understandings of foundational assumptions shaping organizational behaviors. Adaptive leaders need to differentiate between surface changes that are cosmetic and structural changes altering organizational relationships with social environments. This differentiation capability enables organizations to avoid the trap of symbolic responses unpursued by substantial changes in daily practices. Organizations executing merely surface adjustments without changing fundamental practices face risks of losing public trust when mismatches between rhetoric and actions are exposed.

The primary problem emerges from organizational difficulties in articulating social responsibilities when confronted by conflicting demands. Leaders frequently face dilemmas between maintaining economic performance and responding to social issues requiring significant resource investments without guarantees of short-term returns. Drucker (2001) reminds us that organizations need to balance economic and social objectives without sacrificing long-term survival. Ethical principles in corporate financial management, including investment and risk-taking decisions, serve as instruments assisting leaders in weighing this dilemma between economic interests and social responsibility (Putra & Arifin, 2023). This tension creates immense pressure on leadership decision-making, particularly when organizational performance metrics remain dominated by short-term financial indicators. Adaptive leaders must be capable of navigating this tension by communicating the long-term value of social responsiveness and building accountability mechanisms encompassing social dimensions. Limitations in performing this articulation frequently cause organizations to adopt defensive postures that worsen relations with social stakeholders. The lack of adequate conceptual frameworks to evaluate trade-offs between economic and social goals complicates decision-making processes regarding sensitive issues.

Another problem relates to the gap between leader awareness toward social issues and organizational capacities to respond systematically. Leaders may possess sound understandings of relevant social issues yet confront structural barriers in translating those understandings into organizational policies and practices. Senge (1990) identifies that organizations frequently become trapped in mental models limiting their capacities to learn and adapt to environmental changes. These structural constraints encompass rigid bureaucracies, incentive systems unaligned with social goals, and organizational cultures resistant to change. Gamification-based training approaches constitute one method usable for overcoming such learning barriers, as they prove capable of enhancing employee engagement and learning effectiveness (Eddine & Darmawan, 2022). Adaptive leaders need to address these barriers through systemic interventions transforming how organizations process information and make decisions regarding social issues. Sound knowledge management, accompanied by attention toward quality of work life, is likewise recognized for playing roles in reinforcing employee commitment toward such systemic changes (Eddine et al., 2023). Failures in overcoming structural barriers result in organizations only being capable of producing reactive and fragmentary responses to social issues. Such responses fail to build long-term organizational capacities to confront continuously evolving social dynamics.

The need to comprehend how adaptive leadership is perceived when confronting dynamic social demands becomes increasingly urgent amidst the rising complexity of contemporary social issues. Organizations can no longer rely on conventional leadership approaches designed for stable environments with clear problem parameters (Nelson & Squires, 2017). Innovation cultures capable of balancing the speed of technological development with the depth of humanistic thinking constitute one manifestation of organizational needs to continuously adapt to changing realities (Darmawan, 2023). Argyris (1990) indicates that organizational learning within complex situations necessitates capabilities to question foundational assumptions and develop novel frames of thought. Understanding perceptions of adaptive leadership is vital because perceptions determine how leaders interpret social issues and formulate appropriate response strategies. Organizational citizenship

behaviors growing from good qualities of work life likewise illustrate how employee perceptions toward organizational support can substantially influence their performance (Eddine & Darmawan, 2022). Without adequate comprehension regarding these perception mechanisms, the capacity development of adaptive leadership becomes an unfocused endeavor whose effectiveness is difficult to measure. Research concerning adaptive leadership perceptions can uncover mindsets supporting or hindering organizational responsiveness toward social changes. This contribution is invaluable for designing leadership development programs relevant to the demands of the times.

Based on the preceding elaboration, the posed research question inquires how perceptions toward adaptive leadership influence organizational capabilities in responding to dynamic and complex social issues. This question reflects the necessity to understand the relationship between the cognitive construction of leadership and organizational response outcomes toward social changes. Literature reviews regarding changing work patterns, including impacts on employee psychological well-being and social relations, demonstrate that modern work dynamics likewise form parts of social issues requiring responses through adaptive leadership (Darmawan, 2023). Answers to this question will provide foundations for developing leadership theories that are more responsive to contemporary social realities. Studies answering this question can identify perception patterns effective in supporting organizational adaptation toward social issues.

The writing of this study aims to explore perceptions toward adaptive leadership and their connections with organizational responses to dynamic social issues. This exploration is expected to yield conceptual frameworks capable of explaining how leaders shape interpretations toward social issues and translate them into organizational actions. Such conceptual frameworks prove beneficial for developing adaptive leadership theories and supplying practical guidance for practitioners in designing more effective organizational responses to social changes. Solid theoretical understandings regarding the relationship between adaptive leadership perceptions and social responsiveness are essential for the advancement of management science and leadership practices within modern organizations. Findings from this study can equally inform the design of leadership development programs emphasizing adaptive capacities in responding to continuously evolving social issues.

## RESEARCH METHOD

This study employs a qualitative approach with a literature study design as the primary strategy for exploring perceptions toward adaptive leadership in responding to social issues. The qualitative approach was selected because it enables in-depth exploration of complex theoretical constructions through the synthesis of knowledge scattered across diverse academic sources. Creswell (2003) explains that qualitative research is effective for comprehending social phenomena involving subjective interpretations and socially constructed meanings. Literature study as a data collection method involves systematic tracking of scholarly publications, textbooks, and relevant documents discussing adaptive leadership and social issues. Collected data comprises theoretical statements, prior research findings, and conceptual arguments relevant to the research topic. Data collection was carried out through source identification, source quality evaluation, and extraction of information relevant to the research questions.

Data analysis in this qualitative study utilizes a thematic content analysis approach, enabling the identification of patterns, themes, and conceptual relationships within literature. Miles and Huberman (1994) developed a qualitative data analysis framework encompassing data reduction, data display, and conclusion drawing, which is applicable within literature studies. Data reduction was executed through the selection and abstraction of essential information from various sources to focus the analysis on elements most relevant to the research questions. Data display takes the form of narrative syntheses organizing findings from diverse sources into coherent conceptual frameworks regarding adaptive leadership perceptions. Conclusion drawing was performed through critical interpretations of relationships between themes and the development of novel insights emerging from cross-source syntheses. The validity of findings within this literature study is reinforced through source triangulation to ensure that conclusions rest upon evidence from varied theoretical perspectives. The systematic and transparent analytical process allows readers to evaluate the credibility of interpretations generated from this study.

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Adaptive leadership demands capabilities to differentiate between social issues necessitating short-term interventions and those requiring long-term structural changes (Goniewicz, 2023). This distinction is vital because errors in categorizing

issues can result in inappropriate responses and the waste of organizational resources. Hariani and Mardikaningsih (2021) explain that multinational organizations face additional complexities due to differing cultural values influencing perceptions toward social issues. The process of cognitive mapping can assist leaders in connecting diverse individual ideas into collective decisions, rendering the categorization of complex social issues more structured and easily understood together (Darmawan, 2024). Adaptive leaders within such organizations need to develop cross-cultural competencies to comprehend the nuances of social issue interpretation across various operational regions. This differentiation capability enables efficient resource allocation focusing on the most pressing issues carrying significant implications for organizational sustainability. Leaders possessing sound differentiation capabilities tend to develop varied response portfolios matching the specific characteristics of every social issue encountered.

The development of leader capacities to respond to social issues necessitates systematic investments in training and development emphasizing the cognitive and affective aspects of leadership (Bitton & Barnes, 2024). Effective training does not merely transfer knowledge but also alters how leaders view organizational roles within society and their social responsibilities. Fared and Darmawan (2021) emphasize that cross-functional training designs can enhance team collaboration when confronting complex problems such as social issues. Strengthening human resource capacities in analyzing data likewise serves as essential equipment for leaders so that decisions taken in responding to social issues are grounded in adequate evidence rather than mere intuition (Khairi & Darmawan, 2022). Adaptive leadership development programs need to incorporate elements of critical reflection, case studies, and simulations enabling participants to test different approaches in responding to social issues. Leaders exposed to diverse scenarios and perspectives tend to develop broader and more flexible response repertoires when confronting unexpected situations. Openness toward continuous learning becomes an essential characteristic of adaptive leaders capable of responding to continuously shifting social dynamics.

Adaptive management projects offer operational frameworks enabling organizations to respond to social issues with high flexibility without sacrificing accountability and efficiency. This approach emphasizes rapid iterations, continuous feedback, and strategic adjustments based on learning from previous implementation outcomes (Brinkerhoff et al., 2018). Darmawan (2021) suggests that adaptive management projects are effective within dynamic business environments because they enable plan revisions based on changing external conditions. The implementation of lean management principles reducing operational waste within the service sector demonstrates how such flexibility can be realized in daily practice (Radjawane et al., 2022). The utilization of IoT and blockchain technologies in supply chain management likewise provides examples of how efficiency and transparency can be enhanced alongside organizational adaptation demands (Gardi & Darmawan, 2024). The implementation of this approach within the context of responses toward social issues allows organizations to avoid massive investments in strategies proven incorrect due to shifting social dynamics. Adaptive leaders utilize periodic evaluation mechanisms to assess response effectiveness and execute necessary adjustments without awaiting annual planning cycles. This flexibility serves as a competitive advantage for organizations operating within environments characterized by rapid and unexpected social changes.

Adaptive learning processes within organizations play central roles in building response capacities toward increasingly complex and interconnected social issues. Adaptive learning encompasses individual and collective capabilities to absorb novel information, process it, and integrate it into organizational knowledge bases (Douglas & Haley, 2023). Kurniawan and Darmawan (2021) state that adaptive learning exerts influences on individual and collective learning outcomes within organizations. Situational leadership strategies applied appropriately have likewise proven to support change management processes and enhance team performance when confronting such learning dynamics (Mardikaningsih & Darmawan, 2022). Adaptive leaders facilitate this learning by creating safe spaces for experiments, errors, and collective reflections enabling organizations to develop shared understandings concerning encountered social issues. Organizations possessing robust adaptive learning capacities can anticipate social changes and develop preventive responses rather than merely reacting to crises. This anticipatory capability enables organizations to maintain social legitimacy and build reputations as responsible corporate citizens (Koronis & Ponis, 2018).

Emotional management within leaders' daily lives influences the quality of decision-making when responding to social issues that frequently trigger strong emotional reactions from diverse stakeholders (Ahsan, 2023). Leaders capable of managing their own emotions and understanding the feelings of

others possess advantages in navigating high-tension social situations. Irfan and Darmawan (2021) suggest that emotional management plays an essential role in enhancing psychological well-being that supports leadership performance. Positive psychological factors within employees are likewise recognized for playing parts in fostering their engagement and commitment toward organizational tasks, including when confronting stressful social situations (Darmawan & Mardikaningsih, 2022). Leaders possessing high emotional intelligence can remain calm when confronting public criticism and maintain focus on constructive solutions without being influenced by excessive emotional pressures. This capability enables leaders to preserve objectivity in evaluating social issues and formulate responses balancing the interests of various parties. Leader emotional stability serves as a crucial factor in building trust and credibility in the eyes of internal and external stakeholders.

The sustainability of business strategies amidst regulatory uncertainties and social changes necessitates adaptive leadership capable of balancing operational stability with strategic flexibility. Leaders must develop robust strategies against diverse scenarios of social changes without sacrificing short-term performance necessary for organizational survival (Schoemaker & Day, 2018). Mardikaningsih and Darmawan (2021) identify that business sustainability strategies when facing regulatory uncertainties require approaches integrating compliance with innovation. The design of appropriate communication strategies within change management processes likewise determines how such flexible policies can be comprehended and accepted throughout all organizational tiers (Mardikaningsih & Darmawan, 2022). Adaptive leaders build organizational capacities to operate across diverse regulatory and social scenarios by developing strategic options activatable as needed. This approach reduces organizational vulnerabilities toward public policy shifts and changing social expectations capable of threatening existing business models. Organizational resilience against social changes heavily relies on the quality of adaptive leadership in managing uncertainties and building strategic flexibility.

Objective and fair performance appraisal systems constitute prerequisites for effective adaptive leadership because they influence employee motivation and commitment in supporting organizational responses to social issues (Zhang, 2023). Biased or unclear appraisals can result in resistance toward change initiatives required for responding to social demands. Darmawan (2021) demonstrates that goal ambiguities, appraisal biases, and a lack of employee participation in performance evaluations affect job satisfaction within organizations. The integration of business process reengineering with quality management can assist in clarifying work standards and criteria, rendering designed appraisal systems more measurable and fair for employees (Rahman et al., 2022). Adaptive leaders need to design appraisal systems appreciating contributions toward organizational social goals alongside traditional operational performance. The integration of social dimensions into performance appraisals communicates organizational commitments toward social responsiveness and motivates employees to engage in relevant initiatives (Wang, 2024). Comprehensive appraisals enable the identification of employees possessing adaptive leadership capacities and their further development through targeted programs.

The balance between work life and personal life constitutes a critical concern in adaptive leadership because it affects the availability of cognitive and emotional energy needed to respond to social issues. Leaders experiencing exhaustion or excessive stress tend to possess limited cognitive capacities to process complex information regarding evolving social dynamics (Engen & Gartzia, 2024). Arifin et al. (2021) emphasize the importance of building work-life balance to enhance job satisfaction and employee performance. Attention from leaders toward employee well-being is likewise recognized for boosting overall organizational productivity, meaning work-life balance cannot be separated from the quality of leadership itself (Darmawan et al., 2022). Adaptive leaders not only manage their own balance but also facilitate such balance for team members to remain productive and motivated. Policies supporting work-life balance demonstrate organizational responses toward social issues concerning worker welfare and modern lifestyle demands. Leaders practicing this balance serve as role models demonstrating that high performance and personal well-being can proceed together without sacrificing one another.

The retention of talented employees presents a significant challenge for organizations wishing to maintain adaptive leadership capacities over the long term. Employees possessing high adaptive capabilities frequently enjoy broad career options and tend to leave organizations failing to provide conducive environments for growth (Ott et al., 2018). Djazilan and Darmawan (2020) find that compensation, job demands, and job characteristics influence employee retention within organizations. Forms of rewards bestowed upon employees

fundamentally represent values upheld by organizations, meaning their delivery methods require careful design to ensure they are genuinely perceived as meaningful by recipients (Gani, 2025). Adaptive leaders create work environments that are challenging and supportive of professional growth to retain employees possessing high leadership potential. Organizations with favorable talent retention rates hold advantages in building consistent and sustainable adaptive leadership. This leadership stability enables organizations to develop institutional memories concerning past experiences in responding to social issues, which prove invaluable for organizational learning.

The career mobility of senior employees within modern organizational dynamics requires special attention in the development of sustainable adaptive leadership. Senior employees possess valuable experience but may encounter difficulties adapting to rapid shifts in social values and novel working methods (Mariita, 2023). Darmawan (2020) discusses the retention and career mobility of senior employees within continuously changing modern organizational dynamics. Tensions within working relationships potentially arising during such transition processes need to be addressed through clear mechanisms to prevent them from disrupting organizational stability (Khayru, 2024). Adaptive leaders need to design career pathways enabling senior employees to continue contributing meaningfully while developing their adaptive capacities toward contemporary social issues. Development programs targeting senior employees must take into consideration their distinct learning needs compared to junior employees while leveraging their experience as valuable assets. The integration of senior employee perspectives in decision-making regarding social issues provides a balance between the wisdom of experience and the willingness to change.

The application of Shariah values within recruitment, training, and human resource development systems offers unique perspectives on adaptive leadership within organizations grounded in religious values. Values such as justice, trustworthiness, and consultation provide robust ethical foundations for decision-making when responding to social issues (Basalamah, 2024). Jahroni and Darmawan (2021) explain the implementation of Shariah values within human resource management in organizations applying Islamic principles. The reinforcement of moral values and legal compliance in managerial decision-making practices constitutes one tangible manifestation of efforts to keep such ethical foundations relevant within daily operations (Halizah et al., 2024). Sustainability policies formulated by taking local cultural values into consideration further strengthen the alignment between modern management practices and organizational identities rooted in traditions (Mardikaningsih & Darmawan, 2023). Adaptive leaders within such organizations need to balance modern efficiency demands with traditional values serving as organizational identities. The ability to synthesize fundamental values and contemporary management practices becomes a hallmark of effective adaptive leadership in value-based organizations. This approach demonstrates that adaptive leadership does not mean blindly adopting all modern practices, but rather selecting those aligning with organizational values and identities.

Professional workers, manual workers, and informal workers encounter distinct work-life balance demands and necessitate adaptive leadership approaches tailored to the characteristics of each respective group. Adaptive leaders need to comprehend differing needs and challenges faced by various worker categories when responding to social issues affecting their well-being (Rosokha et al., 2019). The management of diversity integrated with sustainability principles has indeed proven to assist organizations in cultivating more inclusive work environments for these diverse worker categories (Mardikaningsih & Hariani, 2022). Organizations implementing uniform approaches across all worker categories face risks of dissatisfaction and low retention rates among worker groups feeling ignored. Adaptive leaders develop flexible and responsive policies matching the specific needs of various workforce segments under their management. The capability to adjust approaches based on worker characteristics demonstrates adaptive leadership within the human resource management dimension responsive to social realities.

Organizational culture and employee self-efficacy exert significant influences on performance and organizational capacities in responding to social issues in adaptive ways. Cultures supporting innovation, learning, and openness toward change create conditions for the development of adaptive leadership across various organizational tiers (Seah et al., 2014). Cultures encouraging creative employee engagement alongside favorable qualities of work life constitute essential pillars supporting the realization of such conditions (Irfan & Rojak, 2022). Adaptive leaders function as cultural architects establishing norms and values supporting responsiveness toward social changes. Transformational leadership styles encouraging

employee participation in social responsibility programs likewise demonstrate how appropriate organizational cultures can reinforce such adaptive capacities (Rojak, 2025). Employee self-efficacy influences their willingness to engage in novel initiatives required for responding to complex and uncertain social issues. Organizations with supportive cultures and employees possessing high self-efficacy possess greater adaptive capacities when confronting rapidly shifting social dynamics.

Employees possessing high employability situated within conducive organizational climates tend to exhibit superior performance, including when responding to social demands emerging abruptly. Employability encompasses combinations of technical skills, social skills, and learning capacities enabling employees to adapt to changing organizational needs (Kodden, 2020). Arifin and Mardikaningsih (2021) examine the influences of employability and organizational climates on employee performance across diverse organizational types. The effective utilization of social media likewise serves as one method for building work environments supporting employee engagement while simultaneously expanding information reaches regarding social issues relevant to them (Hariani et al., 2023). Adaptive leaders develop development programs enhancing employee employability so that they grow better prepared to face novel challenges originating from social environments. Organizational climates supporting openness and collaboration facilitate knowledge sharing concerning social issues and best practices in responding to them. The combination of individual employability and positive organizational climates creates synergies strengthening overall organizational adaptive capacities.

Ethical leadership and social responsibility within organizational managerial practices serve as foundations for adaptive leadership that is responsive to social issues. Leaders adhering to ethical principles and social responsibility tend to develop response strategies considering long-term impacts on society and the environment (Reidhead, 2020). Rojak and Darmawan (2021) discuss the relationship between ethical leadership and social responsibility within modern organizational managerial practices. Adaptive leaders integrate ethical considerations into every stage of decision-making regarding social issues to ensure fair and responsible responses. This ethical approach protects organizations from reputation and legal risks arising from inadequate responses toward sensitive social issues. Ethical leadership likewise builds internal trust required for resource mobilization when responding to social issues demanding short-term sacrifices.

The quality of work life integrated into performance evaluations influences employee well-being and organizational readiness to respond to social issues adaptively. Employees possessing favorable qualities of work life tend to be more engaged and committed toward organizational goals, including responses to social issues (Islami & Islami, 2019). Adaptive leaders recognize that responsiveness toward social issues necessitates employees who are physically and psychologically healthy with sufficient energy to participate in novel initiatives. Investments in the quality of work life constitute strategies for building long-term organizational capacities when confronting changing social dynamics. Organizations neglecting the quality of work life face risks of employee exhaustion and declining adaptive capacities in responding to emerging social issues.

Organizational social capital and team collaboration support the implementation of total quality management, which requires adaptive leadership when confronting quality demands from social stakeholders. Social capital in the form of trust networks and cooperative norms facilitates knowledge transfer and coordination within complex organizations (Donate et al., 2019). Putra et al. (2021) examine organizational social capital and team collaboration as supports for total quality management that are responsive to stakeholder needs. Adaptive leaders build social capital through strengthening relationships among team members and with external stakeholders relevant to social issues. Effective team collaboration enables the integration of diverse perspectives in formulating responses to complex and multidimensional social issues. Organizations possessing high social capital demonstrate greater resilience when confronting social shocks because established trust facilitates collective adaptation.

Employee work productivity can be enhanced through job satisfaction and training designed to develop adaptive capacities in responding to changing social demands. Effective training not only improves technical skills but also develops proficiencies in confronting novel situations filled with uncertainty (Cabrejo, 2023). Mardikaningsih and Putra (2021) review efforts to enhance work productivity through job satisfaction and training integrated with organizational needs. Adaptive leaders design training programs preparing employees to confront diverse future social issue scenarios. Scenario-based training allows employees

to develop broader and more flexible response repertoires in real situations that cannot be fully predicted. Organizations investing in adaptive training tend to possess employees who are better prepared to face social changes and contribute to effective organizational responses.

Performance evaluation and fair reward systems in modern organizations influence employee motivation to engage in social issue response initiatives. Well-designed systems provide incentives for behaviors supporting social responsiveness and inhibit behaviors ignoring social responsibilities (Ojha & Vaishnaw, 2022). Darmawan and Marsal (2021) discuss the design of performance evaluations and fair reward systems within modern organizations possessing diverse operational complexities. Adaptive leaders ensure that evaluation systems reflect organizational priorities including responses toward social issues relevant to organizational missions and values. Rewards bestowed upon contributions toward social responsiveness communicate organizational commitments and motivate employees to allocate efforts toward such job dimensions. Transparency in evaluations and rewards builds employee trust regarding organizational fairness and their willingness to commit to long-term goals.

The health, well-being, and productivity of senior employees in the artificial intelligence era encounter unique challenges necessitating adaptive leadership to manage transitions and maintain their optimal contributions. Senior employees might encounter difficulties adapting to novel technologies and changing working methods influenced by artificial intelligence developments (Sadeghi, 2024). Darmawan (2020) discusses the health, well-being, and productivity of senior employees when confronting the continuously evolving artificial intelligence era. Adaptive leaders need to design inclusive approaches enabling senior employees to remain productive and prosperous amidst rapid technological changes. Development programs matching the learning needs of senior employees and recognitions toward their valuable experiences serve as keys to transition success. Organizations successfully managing this transition maintain access to the wisdom and experience of senior employees while adopting technological innovations required for social responsiveness.

Technological access gaps and digital skill disparities affect the capabilities of organizations and individuals in responding to social issues within the industry 4.0 technology era. Adaptive leaders need to overcome these gaps through programs enhancing digital literacy and technological access across all organizational layers (Newton, 2022). Arifin and Darmawan (2021) discuss technological access and digital skills as bridges to reduce disparities in education and employment opportunities during the technological era. Organizations responsive to social issues need to ensure that their policies and programs do not exacerbate existing digital divides within society. Adaptive leaders develop inclusive strategies utilizing technology to expand access and participation in organizational social initiatives. Unresolved digital divides can serve as sources of novel social issues requiring more complex leadership attention and responses.

The gig economy brings significant implications toward worker well-being and labor market stability, necessitating adaptive leadership in designing responsive human resource management policies. Gig workers confront income uncertainties, lack of social protections, and difficulties developing long-term careers within flexible working models (Kuhn et al., 2021). Ishaq et al. (2021) discuss the impacts of the gig economy on worker well-being and labor market stability, demanding serious attention. Adaptive leaders within organizations employing gig workers need to develop approaches balancing flexibility with worker well-being protections. Innovative policies are required to overcome unique challenges emerging from non-traditional employment models and responsiveness toward work-related social issues. Organizations successfully navigating gig economy complexities demonstrate adaptive leadership capable of responding to changing employment structures.

The relationship between employee well-being and public organization performance in community service demonstrates that adaptive leadership necessitates attention toward worker well-being as a prerequisite for service performance. Prosperous employees are better capable of delivering quality services to the community and responding to continuously changing needs (Kaltiainen & Hakanen, 2020). Gautama et al. (2021) examine the relationship between employee well-being and public organization performance in responsive community services. Adaptive leaders in the public sector integrate employee well-being programs into service performance enhancement strategies to achieve optimal outcomes. Investments in employee well-being do not merely constitute costs but rather strategic investments for building organizational capacities in responding to societal demands. Public organizations featuring adaptive leadership paying attention toward employee well-being tend to

possess higher community satisfaction levels within services provided.

Employee well-being programs relate to workforce retention within modern organizational management policies requiring adaptive leadership to design effective and relevant programs. Comprehensive well-being programs encompass physical health, mental health, work-life balance, and career development, which serve as focal points for modern employees (Dahiya & Devi, 2024). Oluwatosin et al. (2021) review the linkages between employee well-being programs and workforce retention within sustainable modern organizational management policies. Adaptive leaders utilize data and feedback to continuously refine well-being programs so that they remain relevant to changing employee needs. Programs responsive toward employee needs function as potent retention tools within competitive and dynamic labor markets. Organizations possessing favorable well-being programs hold advantages in retaining talent required for sustainable adaptive leadership.

The implementation of value-based management within multinational organizations encounters challenges involving cultural differences, which necessitate adaptive leadership equipped with mature cross-cultural competencies. Universal values such as integrity, justice, and responsibility need translation into practices matching local cultures across every operational region (Yüksel & Durna, 2015). Hariani and Mardikaningsih (2021) discuss the implementation of value-based management within multinational organizations confronting the complexities of cultural disparities across various countries. Adaptive leaders develop approaches respecting cultural diversity while maintaining the consistency of organizational core values throughout all operational territories. The ability to balance global standards with local sensitivities serves as a hallmark of effective adaptive leadership within multinational organizations. Organizations successfully managing this tension build the global and local legitimacies required for responsiveness toward social issues across diverse regions.

Transformational leadership and employee mental complexity relate to the development of employees possessing high adaptive capacities to respond to social changes. Transformational leaders inspire employees to transcend self-interests and consider the broader impacts of their actions on society and the environment (Crane & Hartwell, 2018). Crane and Hartwell (2018) develop the concept of transformational leadership as a catalyst in developing employees possessing high mental complexities. Adaptive leaders integrate transformational elements into their approaches to motivate employee engagement in social issues demanding long-term sacrifices and commitments. The development of mental complexity enables employees to process ambiguous information and formulate decisions within situations full of uncertainty. Organizations featuring robust transformational leadership possess employees who are better prepared to confront the complexities of modern social issues.

Training within human resource management exerts positive impacts toward employee motivation supporting adaptive leadership in responding to social issues. Well-designed training enhances employee competencies and self-confidence in confronting novel challenges originating from social changes. Ozkeser (2019) examines training impacts on employee motivation within human resource management that is responsive to organizational needs. Adaptive leaders utilize training as a tool to build organizational capacities in confronting complex social issues requiring specialized skills. Innovative training programs combine technical developments with soft skills developments necessary for navigation within social issues. Organizations investing in adaptive training hold advantages in building resilient workforces prepared to face continuously shifting social dynamics.

Employee engagement and empowerment within organizations create conditions for adaptive leadership distributed across all organizational tiers. Adaptive leaders do not merely rely on formal authorities but empower employees to take initiatives in responding to social issues emerging within their work environments. Mittal (2019) discusses employee engagement and empowerment as key elements in building organizations that are adaptive and responsive toward environmental changes. Employee empowerment enables rapid responses toward social issues without having to await decisions originating from the peak of organizational hierarchies. Organizations possessing high employee engagement levels hold advantages in speed and response quality toward social changes demanding prompt actions. Distributed adaptive leadership reduces burdens on the peak of organizations and utilizes collective intelligence across the entire enterprise.

Reward and recognition systems within organizations influence the retention of talented workforces required to build sustainable adaptive leadership. Sincere and timely recognitions toward employee contributions in responding to social

issues enhance employee satisfaction and commitment toward organizations. Mngomezulu, Challenor, Munapo, Mashau, and Chikandiwa (2015) examine the impact of recognition on talented workforce retention within competitive organizations. Adaptive leaders develop recognition systems reflecting organizational priorities and appreciating behaviors supporting social responsiveness and adaptation. Meaningful recognitions do not necessarily take the form of financial incentives but can instead comprise public acknowledgments, development opportunities, and greater responsibilities. Organizations with robust cultures of recognition tend to possess superior talented employee retention rates alongside more stable adaptive leadership.

Variations in employee compensation linked with corporate profits serve as critical indicators within adaptive leadership that is fair and responsive toward social issues concerning income inequality. Excessive gaps between executive compensation and average employee pay can trigger public criticism and diminish employee motivation. Seip and McNown (2015) examine the relationship between employee compensation and corporate profits as a vital issue within fair corporate governance. Adaptive leaders take perceptions of fairness into consideration when designing compensation structures supporting responsiveness toward social issues and income disparity. Transparency within compensation structures builds employee and external stakeholder trust regarding organizational commitments toward fairness. Organizations featuring balanced compensation structures hold advantages in attracting and retaining employees who care about social justice issues.

Employee commitment influenced by organizational rewards and recognitions plays a crucial role in adaptive leadership requiring long-term employee engagement. Committed employees demonstrate greater willingness to sacrifice short-term comforts for organizational long-term goals, including responses to social issues. Shalini (2020) examines the impact of rewards and recognitions on employee commitment within banking organizations experiencing high performance pressures. Adaptive leaders build employee commitment through consistency in rewards and recognitions aligned with organizational values and goals. Robust commitments reduce turnover rates and enable organizations to build sustainable adaptive capacities. Organizations possessing highly committed employees hold advantages in executing social initiatives necessitating long-term investments and patience.

Authentic leadership influences organizational dehumanization and work stress, which can otherwise hinder organizational responsiveness toward social issues. Authentic leaders who are honest, transparent, and integral cultivate work environments reducing stress and enhancing employee psychological well-being. Sainz et al. (2021) examine the relationships between authentic leadership, organizational dehumanization, and work stress within complex modern organizations. Adaptive leaders integrate authenticity into their approaches to build trust required when confronting controversial social issues. The reduction of work stress enables employees to allocate cognitive energy for processing information and formulating responses to complex social issues. Organizations featuring authentic leadership tend to possess cultures supporting openness and learning from errors.

The implementation of digital human resource practices within remote working systems necessitates adaptive leadership capable of managing virtual teams and responding to novel social issues arising from this work model. Remote work introduces fresh challenges such as social isolation, collaboration difficulties, and performance monitoring requiring novel approaches (Haque, 2023). Mardikaningsih and Darmawan (2022) discuss digital human resource practices within remote work systems that are increasingly prevalent in modern organizations. Adaptive leaders develop policies supporting remote worker productivity and well-being while preserving team cohesion and organizational culture. Responsiveness toward social issues concerning remote work necessitates understanding employee needs and changing work dynamics. Organizations successfully managing transitions toward remote work demonstrate adaptive leadership when confronting fundamental changes in working methods.

The influence of cultural values and work motivation on organizational citizenship behaviors within manufacturing enterprises highlights the importance of adaptive leadership paying attention to local cultural contexts. Organizational citizenship behaviors taking the form of voluntary actions transcending formal job descriptions become vital when responding to unexpected social issues (Davison et al., 2018). Adaptive leaders utilize positive cultural values to encourage voluntary behaviors supporting organizational responsiveness toward social issues. Strong work motivation reinforces employee willingness to engage in social initiatives not directly tied to their formal responsibilities. Organizations featuring high

organizational citizenship behavior levels hold advantages in agility when responding to abruptly emerging social issues.

Factors enhancing organizational effectiveness necessitate adaptive leadership capable of integrating diverse elements to achieve optimal performance within dynamic environments. Organizational effectiveness is built from combinations of strategies, structures, cultures, resources, and leadership functioning harmoniously (Sharma & Singh, 2019). Darmawan (2024) identifies six primary factors enhancing organizational effectiveness within the context of rapidly changing business environments. Adaptive leaders ensure that all organizational elements operate in an integrated manner to support responsiveness toward social issues and overarching organizational goals. Sound coordination across organizational elements permits prompt and effective responses toward social changes demanding adjustments across various parts of the organization. Organizations featuring effective adaptive leadership possess capabilities to align all organizational components toward shared objectives.

Knowledge management and the quality of work life influence employee commitment necessary for sustainable adaptive leadership within organizations. Well-managed knowledge serves as a strategic asset to respond to social issues requiring profound understandings of environmental dynamics (Frozza et al., 2021). Eddine et al. (2023) examine the influences of knowledge management and the quality of work life on employee commitment within modern organizations. Adaptive leaders build knowledge management systems enabling rapid access toward relevant information regarding social issues and best practices in responding to them. Favorable qualities of work life support employee commitment serving as foundations for long-term adaptive leadership. Organizations featuring high employee commitment possess greater resilience when confronting pressures originating from social changes and stakeholder demands.

Human resource readiness to welcome generation alpha entering digital workplaces necessitates adaptive leadership contemplating long-term organizational sustainability. Generation alpha born within the digital era possesses distinct characteristics, values, and expectations differing from preceding generations, requiring adjustments in leadership approaches. Gani and Darmawan (2023) discuss human resource readiness for generation alpha entering digital workplaces equipped with unique characteristics. Adaptive leaders initiate preparations for organizations welcoming the arrival of generation alpha by designing policies and cultures matching the values and preferences of such generations. Aligning novel generational values with organizational practices becomes the key to attracting and retaining future talents. Organizations anticipatory toward generational changes hold competitive advantages in building sustainable adaptive leadership transcending generational transitions.

The role of leaders in managing change serves as the key to successful transformations driven by technologies and rapid social changes. Adaptive leaders not merely manage changes but also cultivate conditions enabling organizations to thrive amidst uncertainties (Musaigwa, 2023). Basu (2015) examines leadership roles in managing change through five cases of technology-driven business transformations across diverse industries. Adaptive leaders utilize changes as opportunities to build organizational capacities and enhance responsiveness toward emerging social issues. The capability to manage change in participatory ways builds employee ownership and commitments toward organizational transformations. Organizations successfully managing changes possess greater adaptive capabilities when confronting unpredictable social dynamics.

Human resource quality, job performance, and employee loyalty relate closely to adaptive leadership building organizational capacities to respond to social issues. High-quality human resources constitute prerequisites for high performance and loyalty required to face adaptive challenges (Satriadi et al., 2023). Darmawan et al. (2020) examine the relationships among human resource quality, job performance, and employee loyalty within organizations. Adaptive leaders develop human resources through investments in competency developments relevant toward social challenges encountered by organizations. Employee loyalty is cultivated through mutually beneficial relationships between organizations and employees when confronting shared challenges. Organizations possessing high-quality human resources and robust employee loyalty hold superior adaptive capacities when confronting complex and shifting social issues.

Employee loyalty as a multidimensional construct necessitates careful measurement and validation within the context of adaptive leadership requiring long-term talent retention. Loyalty is not merely retention but encompasses affective commitment, willingness to sacrifice, and identification with organizational goals (Smarżewska, 2019). Dutta and Dhir (2021) develop the measurement and validation of employee loyalty

encompassing diverse behavioral and attitudinal dimensions. Adaptive leaders understand that loyalty is built through complex interactions among organizational factors, working relationships, and personal values aligned with organizational missions. Accurate loyalty measurements enable organizations to identify areas requiring improvements to retain talented employees supporting adaptation. Organizations featuring high employee loyalty possess workforce stability required to develop sustainable adaptive leadership.

The dynamics of employee loyalty within construction enterprises indicate that adaptive leadership needs to take industry characteristics and specific contexts into consideration when designing retention strategies. The construction industry with team-based projects and high mobility encounters unique challenges in building loyalty amidst tight labor market competitions. Adaptive leaders develop approaches matching the needs and expectations of employees within the construction industry to build long-term loyalty and retention. Flexibility in workforce management and recognition toward contributions serve as keys to building loyalty within industries experiencing high turnover rates. Construction organizations with robust adaptive leadership hold advantages in retaining skilled workforces required for smooth project execution.

Transformational leadership practices enable businesses to achieve long-term success through employee development and cultures supporting innovation and adaptation. Transformational leaders create inspiring visions and empower employees to contribute toward achieving broader organizational goals. Zhang et al. (2024) discuss how transformational leadership practices enable businesses to achieve long-term success through employee development. Adaptive leaders integrate transformational elements into their approaches to build long-term employee commitments toward organizational social goals. Sustainable employee development constitutes a strategic investment for building organizational capacities in confronting continuously occurring social changes. Organizations featuring robust transformational leadership possess capabilities to maintain relevance and social legitimacy over the long term.

## CONCLUSION

Perceptions toward adaptive leadership play a crucial role in determining the quality of organizational responses toward dynamic social issues. Leaders possessing adaptive perceptions tend to develop richer interpretations regarding social signals and translate them into meaningful organizational actions. This perception formation process is influenced by cognitive capacities, emotional intelligence, and leader experiences in navigating diverse social complexities. Effective adaptive leadership necessitates capabilities to differentiate among diverse types of social issues and design responses matching the specific characteristics of each issue. Organizational capacities to respond to social issues depend upon leadership capable of cultivating conditions for continuous learning and adaptation across all organizational tiers. Findings from this study reinforce the notion that adaptive leadership is not merely a leadership style but rather a systemic approach engaging all organizational elements when confronting social changes.

Practical implications from this study emphasize that organizations need to develop leadership training programs specifically targeting adaptive capacities in responding to social issues. Such programs should encompass developments in emotional intelligence, social system analytical skills, and capabilities to design timely and proportional interventions. Organizations likewise need to build systems supporting adaptive leadership through flexible structures, learning-supportive cultures, and reward systems appreciating social responsiveness.

## REFERENCES

Ahsan, M. J. (2023). The Role of Emotional Intelligence in Effective Corporate Social Responsibility Leadership. *The International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, 31(8), 75–91.

Argyris, C. (1990). *Overcoming Organizational Defenses: Facilitating Organizational Learning*. Allyn and Bacon, Boston.

Arifin, S., & Darmawan, D. (2021). Technology Access and Digital Skills: Bridging the Gaps in Education and Employment Opportunities in the Age of Technology 4.0. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(1), 163–168.

Arifin, S., & Mardikaningsih, R. (2021). The Effect of Employability, and Organizational Climate on Employee Performance. *Studi Ilmu Sosial Indonesia*, 1(2), 33–42.

Arifin, S., Irfan, M., & Darmawan, D. (2021). How to Establish Work-Life Balance to Enhance Employee Job Satisfaction. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(2), 21–24.

Baporikar, N. (2024). Contemporary Leadership Styles and Challenges for Governance. *Advances in Public Policy and Administration (APPA) Book Series*, 84–

105.

Barzani, L. A., Darmawan, D., & Zulkarnain, M. A. B. (2022). The Application of Sustainable Supply Chain Management Principles in the Manufacturing Industry to Improve Operational Performance and Corporate Social Responsibility. *International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology*, 1(1), 38–45.

Basalamah, M. S. A. (2024). Exploring the Intersection of Islamic Values and Human Resource Management. *Advances in Human Resource Management Research*, 2(2), 78-89.

Basu, K. K. (2015). The Leader's Role in Managing Change: Five Cases of Technology-Enabled Business Transformation. *Global Business and Organizational Excellence*, 34(3), 28-42.

Bitton, A. L., & Barnes, A. C. (2024). Elevating Leadership Learning: Critical Considerations for Training, Design, and Implementation. *New Directions for Student Leadership*, 2024(183), 59-69.

Brinkerhoff, D. W., Frazer, S., & McGregor, L. (2018). Adapting to Learn and Learning to Adapt: Practical Insights from International Development Projects. *RTI Press*, 1-8.

Burnes, B. (2004). *Managing Change: A Strategic Approach to Organisational Dynamics*. Pearson Education, New York.

Cabrejo, H. B. R. (2023). The Importance of Job Training as a Catalyst for Change in Organizational Performance. *SAP Proceedings in Interdisciplinary Insights and Innovations*, 1, 100–100.

Crane, B., & Hartwell, C. J. (2018). Developing Employees' Mental Complexity: Transformational Leadership as a Catalyst in Employee Development. *Human Resource Development Review*, 17(3), 234-257.

Creswell, J. W. (2003). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches*. Sage Publications, Thousand Oaks.

Dahiya, J., & Devi, R. (2024). Organizational Policies and Emotional Well-Being of Employees. *Advances in Human Resources Management and Organizational Development Book Series*, 137–170.

Darmawan, D. (2017). The Effects of The Big Five Personality on Job Performance. *Management & Accounting Research Journal*, 2(1), 36–42.

Darmawan, D. (2020). Health, Well-Being, and Productivity of Senior Employees in the Era of Artificial Intelligence. *Journal of Science, Technology and Society*, 1(2), 43–50.

Darmawan, D. (2020). Retention and Career Mobility of Senior Employees in Modern Organizational Dynamics. *Journal of Science, Technology and Society*, 1(1), 37–44.

Darmawan, D. (2021). Implementation of Agile Project Management in a Dynamic Business Environment. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(1), 275–280.

Darmawan, D. (2021). Objective Vagueness, Appraisal Bias, and Lack of Employee Involvement in Performance Appraisal and Job Satisfaction in Organizations. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(1), 117–122.

Darmawan, D. (2022). The Relationship between Work-Life Balance and Perceived Organizational Support to Employees Psychological Well-Being of Employees in Modern Work Environments. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(1), 73-80.

Darmawan, D. (2023). Innovation Culture That Balances Technological Speed with the Depth of Humanistic Thought. *International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology*, 4(1), 47–54.

Darmawan, D. (2023). The Concept Of Remote Work: A Literature Review on its Impact on Work Dynamics, Psychological Well-Being, and Social Relationships Among Employees. *International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology*, 3(3), 49–55.

Darmawan, D. (2024). Application of Situational Leadership in Decision Making in Dynamic Organizations. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 4(1), 499-504.

Darmawan, D. (2024). Distribution of Six Major Factors Enhancing Organizational Effectiveness. *Journal of Distribution Science*, 22(4), 47–58.

Darmawan, D. (2024). From Individual Minds to Collective Decisions: The Function and Contribution of Cognitive Mapping. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 4(2), 467-476.

Darmawan, D., & Mardikaningsih, R. (2022). The Role of Positive Psychological Factors in Enhancing Employee Engagement and Commitment to Work Tasks. *International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology*, 1(2), 37–45.

Darmawan, D., & Marsal, A. P. (2021). Design of Performance Evaluation and Fair Reward Systems in Modern Organizations. *Journal of Science, Technology and Society*, 2(1), 45–52.

Darmawan, D., Jahroni, Irfan, M., & Al Hakim, Y. R. (2022). Leadership and Employee Welfare in Improving Organizational Productivity. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(2), 173-176.

Darmawan, D., Mardikaningsih, R., Sinambela, E. A., Arifin, S., Putra, A. R., Hariani, M., Irfan, M., Al Hakim, Y. R., & Issalillah, F. (2020). The Quality of Human Resources, Job Performance and Employee Loyalty. *International Journal of Psychosocial*

*Rehabilitation*, 24(3), 2580–2592.

Davison, R. M., Ou, C. X., & Ng, E. (2018). The Professional Responsibilities of ERP Experts: A New Form of Organizational Citizenship Behaviour. In *PACIS 2018 PROCEEDINGS: PACIS 2018 - Opportunities and Challenges for the Digitized Society: Are We Ready?*, 2708–2719.

Djazilan, M. S., & Darmawan, D. (2020). Effect of Compensation, Job Demand, Job Characteristics on Employee Retention. *Journal of Science, Technology and Society*, 1(2), 1–8.

Donate, M. J., Ruiz-Monterrubio, E., Pablo, J. D. S. de, & Peña, I. (2019). Total Quality Management and High-Performance Work Systems for Social Capital Development. *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 21(1), 87–114.

Douglas, S., & Haley, G. (2023). Connecting Organizational Learning Strategies to Organizational Resilience. *Development and Learning in Organizations*, 1-12.

Drucker, P. F. (2001). *The Essential Drucker: The Best of Sixty Years of Peter Drucker's Essential Writings on Management*. Harper Business.

Dutta, T., & Dhir, S. (2021). Employee Loyalty: Measurement and Validation. *Global Business Review*, 26(2), 346-363.

Eddine, B. A. S., & Darmawan, D. (2021). Professional Employees, Manual Workers, and Informal Workers in Achieving Work Life Balance. *Studi Ilmu Sosial Indonesia*, 1(1), 209–226.

Eddine, B. A. S., & Darmawan, D. (2022). The Effects of Quality of Work Life and Organizational Citizenship Behavior on Job Performance of Government Employees in Surabaya City. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(2), 69-76.

Eddine, B. A. S., & Darmawan, D. (2022). The Gamification Approach to Employee Training to Increase Engagement and Learning Effectiveness in Organizations. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(1), 201-206.

Eddine, B. A. S., Darmawan, D., Mardikaningsih, R., & Sinambela, E. A. (2023). The Effect of Knowledge Management and Quality of Work Life on Employee Commitment. *Journal of Human Sciences*, 10(1), 87–100.

Eddine, B. A. S., Darmawan, D., Mardikaningsih, R., & Sinambela, E. A. (2023). The Effect of Knowledge Management and Quality of Work Life on Employee Commitment. *Journal of Human Sciences*, 10(1), 87-100.

Eddine, B. A. S., Ishaq, M. S. H. B., Darmawan, D., & Ali, R. (2021). Employee Well-Being and Performance Evaluation: Integrating Quality of Work Life in HR Management. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(2), 97–104.

Engen, M. van, & Gartzia, L. (2024). Leadership, Social Support, and Work-Life Balance of Employees. In *Maintaining a Sustainable Work–Life Balance*, 69–78.

Fared, M. A., & Darmawan, D. (2021). Design and Implementation of Cross-Functional Training to Improve Team Collaboration. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(1), 215–220.

Frozza, T., Lima, E. P. de, & Costa, S. E. G. da. (2021). Knowledge Management as a Critical Factor of an Organization's Sustainability. In *Integrating Social Responsibility and Sustainable Development: Addressing Challenges and Creating Opportunities*, 495–510.

Gani, A. (2025). Representation of Organizational Values through Employee Awards. Journal of Social Science Studies, 5(1), 27-36. https://jos3journals.id/index.php/jos3/article/view/398

Gani, A., & Darmawan, D. (2023). Human Resource Readiness for Generation Alpha Entering Digital Workplaces. *Studi Ilmu Sosial Indonesia*, 3(1), 185-210.

Gardi, B., & Darmawan, D. (2024). Supply Chain Management Optimization with IoT and Blockchain Technology to Increase Efficiency and Transparency. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 4(2), 31-36.

Gautama, E. C., Mardikaningsih, R., Wisnujati, N. S., & da Cruz, C. (2021). The Relationship Between Employee Welfare and Public Organization Performance in Community Services. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(2), 197–202.

Goniewicz, K. (2023). Adaptive Leadership in a Post-Pandemic World: The Urgent Need for Transformative Change. *Prehospital and Disaster Medicine*, 1–2.

Güntner, A. V., Heimann, A. L., Ingold, P. V., & Kleinmann, M. (2022). Training Adaptive Leadership: On the Role of Leader Cognitions and Behaviors. In *Proceedings - Academy of Management*, 2022(1).

Halizah, S. N., Mardikaningsih, R., & da Cruz, C. (2024). Strengthening Moral Values and Legal Compliance in Corporate Managerial Decision-Making Practices. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 4(2), 65-72.

Haque, Saw. Mu. S. (2023). The Impact of Remote Work on HR Practices: Navigating Challenges, Embracing Opportunities. *European Journal of Human Resource Management Studies*, 7(1), 56-84.

Hariani, M. (2021). Organizational Culture and Self-Efficiency that Form Employee Performance. *Studi Ilmu Sosial Indonesia*, 1(2), 23–32.

Hariani, M., & Mardikaningsih, R. (2021).

Implementation of Value-Based Management in Multinational Organizations Facing Cultural Differences. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(1), 67–72.

Hariani, M., Aisyah, N., & da Cruz, C. (2023). Building a Work Environment that Supports Employee Engagement Through Effective Use of Social Media. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 3(1), 65-68.

Hariani, M., Mardikaningsih, R., & Essa, N. E. (2022). HR and Environmental Policy Management Strategies to Create a Sustainable Organization that Improves Company Performance. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(2), 249-254.

Heifetz, R. A. (1994). *Leadership Without Easy Answers*. Harvard University Press, Harvard.

Hersey, P., & Blanchard, K. H. (1988). *Management of Organizational Behavior: Utilizing Human Resources*. Prentice Hall, New Jersey.

Irfan, M., & Darmawan, D. (2021). Improving Psychological Wellbeing through Emotion Management in Daily Life. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(1), 179–184.

Irfan, M., & Rojak, J. A. (2022). Fostering Innovation-Driven Cultures to Enhance Creative Engagement and Quality of Work Life in Organizations. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(1), 265-270.

Ishaq, M. S. H. B., Darmawan, D., & Sudjai. (2021). Gig Economy on Workers' Welfare and Labor Market Stability. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(2), 167–170.

Islami, X., & Islami, V. (2019). Performance Appraisal and the Quality of Working Life. *European Journal of Management*, 27(1), 10–20.

Jahroni, J., & Darmawan, D. (2021). Implemented Shariah Value for Recruitment, Training, and Human Resources Development System. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(2), 215–220.

Jefferies, S. S. (2017). Adaptive Leadership in a Socially Revolving World: A Symbolic Interactionist Lens of Adaptive Leadership Theory. *Performance Improvement*, 56(9), 46–50.

Kaltiainen, J., & Hakanen, J. (2020). Fostering Task and Adaptive Performance Through Employee Well-Being: The Role of Servant Leadership. *BRQ Business Research Quarterly*, 25(1), 28-43.

Khairi, M., & Darmawan, D. (2022). Developing HR Capabilities in Data Analysis for More Effective Decision Making in Organizations. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(1), 223-228.

Khayru, R. K. (2024). Legal Mechanisms in Handling Work Relationship Tensions in Modern Organizational Environments. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 4(2), 137-144.

Kodden, B. (2020). The Ability to Adapt. In *the Art of Sustainable Performance: A Model for Recruiting, Selection, and Professional Development*, 25–30.

Koronis, E., & Ponis, S. T. (2018). Better Than Before: The Resilient Organization in Crisis Mode. *Journal of Business Strategy*, 39(1), 32–42.

Kotter, J. P. (1996). *Leading Change*. Harvard Business School Press, Boston.

Krause, R., & Miller, T. L. (2020). From Strategic Leaders to Societal Leaders: On the Expanding Social Role of Executives and Boards. *Journal of Management*, 46(8), 1315–1321.

Kuhn, K. M., Meijerink, J. G., & Keegan, A. (2021). Human Resource Management and the Gig Economy: Challenges and Opportunities at the Intersection between Organizational HR Decision-Makers and Digital Labor Platforms. *Emerald*, 1–46.

Kurniawan, Y., & Darmawan, D. (2021). The Adaptive Learning Effect on Individual and Collecting Learning. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(1), 93–98.

Mardikaningsih, R., & Darmawan, D. (2021). Business Sustainability Strategies in the Facing of Regulatory Uncertainty and Managerial Challenges. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(2), 111–118.

Mardikaningsih, R., & Darmawan, D. (2022). Design and Implementation of Communication Strategy in Change Management. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(2), 237-242.

Mardikaningsih, R., & Darmawan, D. (2022). Digital Human Resource Practices in Remote Work Systems. *Studi Ilmu Sosial Indonesia*, 2(1), 357-388.

Mardikaningsih, R., & Darmawan, D. (2022). Situational Leadership Strategies to Improve Change Management and Team Performance. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(1), 247–252.

Mardikaningsih, R., & Darmawan, D. (2023). Building Sustainability Policies Relevant to Local Cultural Values. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 3(1), 127-132.

Mardikaningsih, R., & Hariani, M. (2020). Effect of Work Climate and Wages on Turnover Intention. *Journal of Science, Technology and Society*, 1(2), 21–28.

Mardikaningsih, R., & Hariani, M. (2022). Integrating Diversity and Sustainability in Organizations: How to Impact Performance, Corporate Competitiveness, and the Creation of Inclusive Work Environments. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(2), 77-84.

Mardikaningsih, R., & Putra, A. R. (2021). Efforts to Increase Employee Work Productivity Through Job Satisfaction and Job Training. *Studi Ilmu Sosial Indonesia*, 1(1), 51–64.

Mardikaningsih, R., & Sinambela, E. A. (2021). Performance Development of Agricultural Extenders Through Interpersonal Skills and Work

Ethic. *Journal of Science, Technology and Society*, 2(1), 35–44.

Mardikaningsih, R., Halizah, S. N., Darmawan, D., Masithoh, N., & Nuraini, R. (2022). Examining the Role of Authentic Leadership in Shaping Positive Work Climate and Organizational Citizenship Behavior. *International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology*, 2(1), 52–59.

Mardikaningsih, R., Halizah, S. N., Nuraini, R., & Darmawan, D. (2022). The Role of Ethical Leadership in Shaping a Positive and Sustainable Organizational Culture. *International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology*, 2(2), 48–55.

Mariita, N. (2023). The Journey Between the Final Stage of Career and the Adaptation to Retirement. *Advances in Human Resources Management and Organizational Development Book Series*, 129–160.

Marsal, A. P., & Darmawan, D. (2022). Communication Strategies in Managing Conflict in Multicultural Teams. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(2), 285-290.

Miles, M. B., & Huberman, A. M. (1994). *Qualitative Data Analysis: An Expanded Sourcebook*. Sage Publications, Thousand Oaks.

Miller, G. A., & Hill, A. D. (2017). Want an Engaged Workforce? Get Engaged in its Success on a Deep Level. *Journal for Quality and Participation*, 40(3), 13-17.

Mittal, V. (2019). Employee Engagement and Empowerment. *Building a Better Working World*, 33.

Mngomezulu, N., Challenor, M., Munapo, E., Mashau, P., & Chikandiwa, C. T. (2015). The Impact of Recognition on Retention of Good Talent in the Workforce. *Journal of Governance and Regulation*, 4(4), 372–379.

Musaigwa, M. (2023). The Role of Leadership in Managing Change. *International Review of Management and Marketing*, 13(6), 1-9.

Nelson, T., & Squires, V. (2017). Addressing Complex Challenges through Adaptive Leadership: A Promising Approach to Collaborative Problem Solving. *The Journal of Leadership Education*, 16(4), 111–123.

Newton, L. (2022). What Effect Does Technology Have on a Responsive Leadership Style?. In

Rojak, J. A., & Al Hakim, Y. R. (2023). Implementation of Corporate Governance in Improving Transparency and Sustainability of Companies in Global Market. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 3(2), 101-106.

Rojak, J. A., & Darmawan, D. (2021). Ethical Leadership *Exploring Ethical Problems in Today's Technological World*, 23–44.

Ojha, N., & Vaishnaw, H. (2022). Role of Compensation and Reward System on Organization Performance: A Review. *OPJU Business Review*, 1(1).

Oluwatosin, A., Darmawan, D., & Marsal, A. P. (2021). Linkage of Employee Welfare Programs with Workforce Retention in Modern Organizational Management Policies. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(2), 171–176.

Ott, D. L., Tolentino, J. L., & Michailova, S. (2018). Effective Talent Retention Approaches. *Human Resource Management International Digest*, 26(7), 16–19.

Ozkeser, B. (2019). Impact of Training on Employee Motivation in Human Resources Management. *Procedia Computer Science*, 158, 802-810.

Putra, A. R., & Arifin, S. (2023). Ethical Principles in Corporate Financial Management: A Literature Study on Investment and Risk. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 3(2), 221-226.

Putra, A. R., Mardikaningsih, R., & Darmawan, D. (2021). Organisational Social Capital and Team Collaboration as Supports for Total Quality Management. *Studi Ilmu Sosial Indonesia*, 1(1), 129–146.

Radjawane, L. E., Darmawan, D., & Varela, J. R. (2022). Application of Lean Management Principles to Reduce Waste and Improve Operational Efficiency in the Service Sector. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(2), 261-266.

Rahman, A., Putra, A. R., & Al Hakim, Y. R. (2022). Integration of Business Process Engineering and Quality Management to Improve Efficiency and Product or Service Quality Standards. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(2), 207-212.

Reidhead, C. (2020). A Review of Ethical Leadership Practice and Corporate Social Responsibility Approach during COVID-19. *Journal of Economics, Business and Management*, 7(9), 320–324.

Robbins, S. P., & Judge, T. A. (2019). *Organizational Behavior (18th ed.)*. Pearson Education, New York.

Rojak, J. A. (2025). Transformational Leadership as a Driver of Employee Engagement in CSR Programs. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 5(1), 37-48. https://jos3journals.id/index.php/jos3/article/view/399

and Social Responsibility in Organisational Managerial Practice. *Studi Ilmu Sosial Indonesia*, 1(2), 187–204.

Rojak, J. A., & Darmawan, D. (2023). Improving Employee Engagement and Team Development

through the Implementation of Flexible and Adaptive Situational Leadership. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 3(1), 369-374.

Rojak, J. A., & Khayru, R. K. (2022). The Effect of Transformational Leadership Style on Team Performance: Implications for Collaboration, Motivation, and Effectiveness Enhancement Strategies. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(2), 13-20.

Rosokha, L. M., Ladge, J., Kossek, E. E., Little, L. M., Montanye, M., Perrigino, M. B., Wanberg, C. R., Bodner, T., Csillag, B. B., Duffy, M., & Gettings, P. E. (2019). Changing Organizations for a Changing Workforce: Improving Work-Life Implementation and Adaptation. In *Academy of Management Proceedings* 2019(1), 17281.

Sadeghi, S. (2024). Employee Well-being in the Age of AI: Perceptions, Concerns, Behaviors, and Outcomes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.04796*.

Sainz, M., Delgado, N., & Moriano, J. A. (2021). The Link Between Authentic Leadership, Organizational Dehumanization and Stress at Work. *Revista de Psicología Del Trabajo y de Las Organizaciones*, 37(2), 85–92.

Satriadi, S., Marcelyna, E. C., Rahmanisa, R., Marhalinda, M., & Sumardin, S. (2023). HR Performance Improvement Based on Talent Management, Servant Leadership and Organizational Commitment. *Deleted Journal*, 1(2), 26–33.

Schein, E. H. (1992). *Organizational Culture and Leadership*. Jossey-Bass, San Francisco.

Scherer, A. G., Palazzo, G., & Seidl, D. (2012). Legitimacy Strategies in a Globalized World: Organizing for Complex and Heterogeneous Environments. *Social Science Research Network*, 1-54.

Schoemaker, P. J. H., & Day, G. S. (2018). Strategic Actions in the Face of Uncertainty. *ReMark-Revista Brasileira de Marketing*, 17(5), 700–729.

Seah, M., Hsieh, M.-H., & Huang, H.-Y. (2014). Leader Driven Organizational Adaptation. *Management Decision*, 52(8), 1410–1432.

Seip, K. L., & McNown, R. (2015). Does Employees' Compensation Vary with Corporate Profit?. *Journal of Policy Modeling*, 37(2), 281–290.

Sekaran, U., & Bougie, R. (2016). *Research Methods for Business: A Skill Building Approach (7th ed.)*. John Wiley & Sons, New York.

Senge, P. M. (1990). *The Fifth Discipline: The Art and Practice of the Learning Organization*. Doubleday, New York.

Shalini, T. R. (2020). Impact of Rewards and Recognition on Employee Commitment- A Perspective of the Bank Employees. *LBS Journal of Management & Research*, 18(1), 10–16.

Sharma, N., & Singh, R. K. (2019). A Unified Model of Organizational Effectiveness. *Journal of Organizational Effectiveness: People and Performance*, 6(2), 114–128.

Silva, M. E. da, & Nyobe, S. (2023). Social Sustainability in the Gig Economy Era. *Revue Française de Gestion Industrielle*, 37(1), 55–69.

Smarżewska, D. (2019). Commitment and Employee Loyalty. *Acta Universitatis Nicolai Copernici. Zarządzanie*, 46(2), 7–14.

Sujito, S., Hardyansah, R., Putra, A. R., Khayru, R. K, & Arifin, S. (2023). Legal Implications of the Job Creation Law on the Concept and Liability of Individual Companies in Indonesia. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 3(1), 259-270.

Umar, S., Man, N., Shuaibu, H., & Saleh, J. M. (2018). The Role of Competency Dimensions and Organizational Support in Climate Change Advisory Service Delivery. *PEOPLE: International Journal of Social Sciences*, 3(3), 1076–1091.

Waluyo, A., Vitrianingsih, Y., Mardikaningsih, R., Issalillah, F., & Negara, D. S. (2024). Legal Regulation of Multinational Corporate Health Services and Local Legal Obligations. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 4(1), 359-370.

Wang, A. (2024). Revolutionizing Performance Management: Towards Agile, Personalized, and Technologically Enhanced Systems. *Advances in Economics, Management and Political Sciences*, 88, 180-186.

Wilson, A. (2007). Rising to the Challenge: How to Develop Responsible Leaders. *Leadership in Action*, 27(1), 7–11.

Yukl, G. (2002). *Leadership in Organizations*. Prentice Hall, New Jersey.

Yüksel, Y., & Durna, T. (2015). The Adaptability of Management Models Across Cultures. *Uluslararası İktisadi ve İdari İncelemeler Dergisi*, 14, 295–316.

Zhang, X., Duraipandi, O., Lubei, F., Beifan, Y., & Jiahao, G. (2024). Transformational Leadership Practices Enables a Business to Achieve Long-Term Success. *International Journal of Computational and Experimental Science and Engineering*, 10(4), 2182-2188.

Zhang, Y. (2023). Performance Appraisal and Performance Management. *Advances in Human Resources Management and Organizational Development Book Series*, 1–24.

***Irfan, M., & R. Hartono. (2025). Adaptive Leadership and Organizational Response Capacity to Contemporary Social Dynamics, *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 5(1), 49 – 66.**