

Transformative Leadership and Organizational Stability: Inspiration, Empowerment, and Communication in Building Long-Term Loyalty

¹Ahmad Fauzi, ²Jeje Abdul Rojak

¹Universitas Islam Negeri Alauddin Makassar

²Universitas Islam Negeri Sunan Ampel Surabaya

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 02 November 2025

Revised 03 December 2025

Accepted 07 December 2025

Key words:

Transformative leadership,
organizational stability,
Member loyalty,
Empowerment,
Communication,
Sustainability,
Leader-member relations.

ABSTRACT

This conceptual study examines how transformative leadership influences organizational stability through inspiration, empowerment, and effective communication. The analysis reveals that leaders employing this approach cultivate deep member loyalty by articulating compelling visions that connect individual work to collective meaning. Empowerment practices generate psychological conditions where members develop ownership and initiative, reducing turnover intentions. Open communication builds trust and social cohesion, creating informal mechanisms that complement formal structures. The findings indicate that transformative leadership produces loyalty that does not depend on external incentives but emerges from internal commitment to organizational values. This type of loyalty enables organizations to maintain continuity despite environmental uncertainties. Organizations demonstrating transformative leadership qualities show greater capacity to retain talented members and sustain institutional knowledge across generational changes. The conceptual framework developed in this study offers guidance for leadership development programs aiming to strengthen organizational stability. This research contributes theoretical clarity to the mechanisms connecting leadership behaviors with long-term organizational outcomes. The findings suggest that deliberate cultivation of transformative leadership capabilities represents a strategic investment for any organization seeking sustainable performance.

INTRODUCTION

Organizations, as social entities, constantly face a strong current of change from both external and internal environments. The pace of transformation across various sectors of modern life demands that organizations possess a high capacity for adaptation in order to remain viable and relevant. Economic uncertainty, shifting social values, and technological advancement create continuous pressure on organizational structures and processes (Maximova, 2023). This technological pressure cannot be addressed through speed alone; efficiency gains must be paired with attention to humanistic values so that innovation remains sustainable rather than disruptive (Darmawan, 2023). Amid this pressure, leadership emerges as the central factor determining whether an organization can survive or instead experience disintegration. Leaders are not merely

decision makers but architects of organizational culture who shape how members interpret shared goals. Organizational stability is not a static condition maintained through rigid policy, but the outcome of leadership capable of navigating change without losing collective identity (Kumar & Ghosh, 2025). Such navigation is also aided by well-integrated business processes, since aligning process design with quality standards helps an organization maintain consistent performance while adapting to external demands (Rahman et al., 2022).

Various studies over the past few decades show that traditional authoritarian or transactional leadership styles are beginning to lose their effectiveness in dealing with the complexity of modern organizations (Asuquo-Ekpo, 2023). Models of leadership that emphasize hierarchical control and unquestioning compliance have proven unable to

* Corresponding author, email address: ahmad.fauzi@gmail.com

build long-term commitment among organizational members. Today's organizational members hold higher expectations regarding their involvement in decision-making processes and recognition of their individual contributions. Transactional leadership, which focuses on exchanging rewards for compliance, produces only temporary loyalty that depends on external incentives. When those incentives diminish or circumstances change, member loyalty quickly fades and the organization loses its resilience (Caldwell et al., 2025). A style that is flexible and adaptive to situational demands offers a more workable alternative, since adjusting leadership behavior to team conditions has been shown to strengthen employee engagement more effectively than a fixed transactional approach (Rojak & Darmawan, 2023). This shift signals an urgent need for a leadership model capable of building strong emotional bonds between leaders and organizational members.

Transformative leadership emerges as a promising alternative in building organizational stability through an approach oriented toward empowerment and inspiration. This leadership style is rooted in the belief that organizational members have the potential to develop remarkably when given the right space and support (Zhang, 2025). Transformative leaders do not see subordinates as objects to be controlled, but as subjects to be empowered toward becoming the best version of themselves. The defining quality of transformative leadership is the ability to communicate a vision that stirs emotion and awakens collective spirit. A strong vision can turn routine work into a meaningful mission that transcends each member's personal interests. Empirical findings support this claim, showing that a transformational leadership style has a measurable effect on team performance through improved collaboration and motivation (Rojak & Khayru, 2022). Thus, transformative leadership creates a foundation for stability far more solid than approaches relying solely on formal structure and rules.

The inspirational dimension of transformative leadership works through symbolic and emotional influence on organizational members. Leaders who inspire are able to instill confidence and collective optimism, which serves as social glue amid various challenges. When members feel that their leader genuinely believes in their abilities, an internal drive emerges to prove that trust well-founded (Ibadov & Velizade, 2025). This psychological process creates a positive cycle in which good performance generates greater recognition, which in turn strengthens commitment to the organization. Sustained inspiration also helps members find deeper meaning in their work, reducing their tendency to seek

satisfaction outside the organization. This emotional dimension is closely tied to employees' psychological well-being, which research suggests plays a significant role in shaping how members relate to their work and to one another (Darmawan, 2023). The emotional dimension of this leadership style is often the deciding factor in whether members remain with the organization during difficult periods. A strong emotional bond between leader and members becomes a line of defense against various threats to organizational stability.

Empowerment, as the second pillar of transformative leadership, focuses on creating an environment that allows organizational members to develop optimally. Empowering leaders do not merely delegate tasks; they grant meaningful autonomy and full trust in members' abilities (McOyoo, 2022). Empowerment includes providing access to resources, information, and authority needed to make decisions at lower levels of the organization. Such access is strengthened when the organization has adequate capacity to analyze data, since sound data analysis equips members to make more accurate and confident decisions within their scope of authority (Khairi & Darmawan, 2022). When members feel a sense of control over their work, their sense of responsibility and ownership toward organizational outcomes increases significantly. Empowerment also means cultivating a culture in which mistakes are viewed as learning opportunities rather than grounds for punishment or humiliation. This psychologically safe condition allows members to take initiative and calculated risks without excessive fear. Ultimately, empowerment produces a more resilient organization, since the capacity to respond to change is no longer concentrated solely at the top of the hierarchy.

The main problem faced by many organizations is the inability to sustain member loyalty over the long term amid increasing workforce mobility. Organizational members, particularly younger generations, tend to change jobs more frequently than previous generations. This high turnover not only generates substantial recruitment and training costs but also causes the loss of valuable institutional knowledge. Organizational stability is threatened when members no longer hold a strong emotional bond with their workplace and instead regard their relationship with the organization as purely transactional (AbouAssi et al., 2019). This transactional dynamic can escalate into open tension, and without a clear mechanism for resolving workplace relationship disputes, such friction tends to deepen and accelerate the erosion of loyalty (Khayru,

2024). This phenomenon is worsened by leadership practices still oriented toward short-term results while neglecting the development of sustainable relationships. Organizations that fail to build loyalty will remain trapped in a reactive mode, spending their energy replacing departing members rather than developing the capacity of those who remain.

Many leaders intellectually understand the importance of a transformative approach yet struggle to translate that understanding into daily practice (Bukusi, 2020). Habits of excessive control and supervision often conflict with the spirit of empowerment they intend to apply. Pressure to meet short-term targets pulls leaders back toward a directive style that feels more comfortable and familiar. This inconsistency between what leaders say and what they do creates confusion and disappointment among organizational members. Adapting leadership decisions to the specific conditions an organization faces, rather than defaulting to a single fixed style, has been identified as a way to narrow this gap between intention and daily practice (Darmawan, 2024). Members who sense that their leader is not genuinely committed to empowerment will lose trust and motivation to perform at their best. Failure to transform leadership style becomes a serious obstacle to maintaining organizational stability over the long term.

Organizational survival in this uncertain era requires a leadership approach capable of building collective psychological resilience among its members. Organizational stability is not a product of rigid structure or strict policy, but the result of the quality of relationships between leaders and members, as well as among members themselves (Papikian, 2025). Transformative leaders play a role in creating a sense of togetherness and shared purpose that transcends individual interests. When members feel part of something larger than themselves, they become willing to sacrifice and remain with the organization. Stability built on emotional bonds and shared meaning is far more resistant to external shocks than stability built on material incentives alone. This resilience is reinforced when leadership is paired with governance practices that promote transparency, since transparent governance builds the trust needed to sustain long-term commitment within the organization (Rojak & Al Hakim, 2023). Organizations seeking to endure over the long term must prioritize the development of transformative leadership at every level of management. Delay in making this change will leave organizations increasingly behind in the competition for top talent.

The purpose of this writing is to conceptually

examine the working mechanisms of transformative leadership in building organizational member loyalty and maintaining long-term stability. The explanation of the inspirational, empowerment, and communication dimensions in transformative leadership will be the main focus of discussion. Understanding how transformative leadership works in practice will help organizations design more effective leadership development programs. Thus, this study attempts to bridge the gap between transformative leadership theory and the realities of contemporary organizational needs.

RESEARCH METHOD

This research design adopts a literature study form with a qualitative foundation aimed at exploring the conceptual and theoretical aspects of transformative leadership within organizations. The use of this approach arises from the realization that the topic demands an understanding of the spectrum of theoretical perspectives that have developed over time. Mohajan (2018) states that qualitative research methodology provides a strong basis for efforts to explore meaning and interpretation inherent in complex social phenomena. Literature studies as a form of qualitative research provide space for researchers to summarize and synthesize ideas from various written sources without conducting primary data collection directly in the field (Kalpokaite & Radivojevic, 2021). This approach is very suitable for cross-disciplinary topics because it requires the unification of leadership theory, organizational behavior, and social psychology. The materials used as sources in this research include articles from scientific journals that have undergone peer review, textbooks published by reputable academic publishers, and official documents related to organizational leadership. The collection of materials is carried out through planned searches on academic databases using specific keywords to ensure the completeness and quality of the reviewed materials can be guaranteed.

The data analysis stage in this study uses a conceptual analysis approach originating from the qualitative research tradition. Brailas (2025) underlines that qualitative research demands an analytical procedure that is reflective and responsive to the nuances of meaning present in the text. All materials collected from various library sources are read critically to capture the core thoughts contained within them, which are then grouped into main themes that form naturally (Brühl, 2025). These themes encompass the characteristics of transformative leadership, the mechanisms of

member loyalty formation, and the dynamics of organizational survival in the long term. The analysis process is conducted with an inductive mindset, meaning conceptual categories are built from available reading materials, without being forced from a specific theoretical framework. To maintain the validity of findings in literature research, source triangulation is conducted by comparing arguments from various authors and schools of thought to obtain a balanced view. Thoroughness in interpreting differing opinions becomes an important step in the analysis process to avoid bias toward certain sources. The final result of this entire process is a coherent conceptual mapping of transformative leadership and its influence on organizational stability.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Transformational leadership works through noncoercive influence mechanisms that reshape organizational members' values and beliefs. Transformational leaders do not rely on formal authority or the threat of sanctions to obtain compliance; instead, they build commitment through a shared understanding of a higher purpose. This process begins with a leader who is able to articulate a vision that is compelling and meaningful to every member of the organization (Sahid et al., 2023). That vision is not an abstract statement posted on an office wall but a living picture of the future that stirs enthusiasm. Members inspired by the vision begin to see their work as a contribution to something larger, rather than merely a routine they must perform. Organizational effectiveness as a whole tends to rest on several interacting factors, one of which is precisely this kind of shared direction communicated by leaders (Darmawan, 2024a). This shift in perspective becomes the foundation for authentic loyalty, one that does not depend solely on external incentives. Loyalty born from internalizing the vision withstands pressure far better than loyalty built on passive compliance with rules.

Empowerment, as the second dimension of transformational leadership, creates the psychological conditions that allow organizational members to develop their potential to the fullest. Leaders who empower give members full trust to make decisions within the scope of their responsibilities. This trust is communicated explicitly through the delegation of authority accompanied by adequate resources (Kirkman & Smith, 2025). Clarity regarding individual accountability within an organization has also been shown to support sound decision-making once

authority is delegated this way (Sujito et al., 2023). Members who feel trusted develop a strong sense of ownership over both their work outcomes and the organization as a whole. Positive psychological conditions of this kind have similarly been found to strengthen employee engagement and commitment to their tasks (Darmawan & Mardikaningsih, 2022). This sense of ownership then translates into proactive behavior, where members do not wait for instructions but actively look for ways to contribute more. Effective empowerment also means creating an environment where failure is not punished excessively but instead treated as a lesson for future improvement. This psychologically safe condition encourages members to innovate and take measured risks without paralyzing fear.

Communication within transformational leadership serves as the primary means of building shared understanding and cohesion among organizational members. Transformational leaders are not satisfied with one-way, instructive communication; instead, they cultivate open and participatory dialogue with members. This dialogue includes the exchange of ideas, feedback, and joint exploration of how to achieve organizational goals. Openness in communication creates a climate where members feel heard and valued for their input (Kazanskaia, 2025). Well-managed communication channels of this kind, including digital ones, have also been shown to strengthen employee engagement (Hariani et al., 2023). This participatory communication process also functions as a mechanism for identifying problems before they escalate into a crisis that threatens stability. The capacity to connect individual viewpoints into a shared frame of understanding plays a role in how members ultimately arrive at collective decisions (Darmawan, 2024b). Transformational leaders use communication to create a collective narrative that strengthens identity and pride in the organization. A strong narrative helps members understand their place in the organization's history and future, thereby increasing long-term commitment.

The loyalty of organizational members built through transformational leadership has characteristics that differ fundamentally from loyalty built through a transactional approach. Transformative loyalty is internal, arising from the belief that the organization deserves support because of the values it upholds (Asrarudin, 2023). Members with this kind of loyalty remain with the organization even when outside offers appear more financially attractive. This differs from loyalty driven mainly by reward systems, which tends to sustain engagement

and commitment only as long as the reward structure stays attractive, particularly in virtual work settings (Irfan, 2026). They see their relationship with the organization as part of their own identity, so that leaving the organization means losing part of themselves. Transformative loyalty is also active, in that members do not merely stay but actively promote the organization and contribute beyond what is asked of them. Attention to human resource quality has likewise been shown to strengthen job performance alongside this kind of loyalty (Darmawan et al., 2020). This form of loyalty becomes a strategic asset for the organization because it reduces the recruitment and training costs associated with high member turnover. Long-term organizational stability depends heavily on the presence of members with this kind of transformative loyalty.

Long-term organizational survival requires more than the ability to endure difficult periods; it also requires the capacity to grow and adapt. Transformational leadership provides both elements by developing the organization's overall adaptive capacity (Gupta & Gupta, 2025). Transformational leaders encourage continuous learning and critical reflection on existing practices so that they do not become outdated. Members are encouraged to question long-held assumptions and search for better new ways of working. Organizational management practices oriented toward sustainability have also been shown to strengthen this kind of long-term capacity while improving overall performance (Hariani et al., 2022). This learning culture creates an organization that does not easily fall into routines that are no longer relevant amid a changing environment. The cognitive flexibility developed through transformational leadership becomes a competitive advantage that other organizations find difficult to replicate. Ultimately, an organization led transformationally has the capacity to continually renew itself.

Inspiration, as an element of transformational leadership, has a profound influence on the intrinsic motivation of organizational members. Inspiring leaders do not merely deliver motivational messages; they model behavior consistent with the values they communicate. Consistency between words and actions creates the credibility that forms the basis of members' trust in their leader (Pitichat & Riggio, 2025). Reinforcing moral values alongside sound decision-making practices among managers has also been shown to strengthen this kind of consistency and the trust that follows it (Halizah et al., 2024). Members inspired by their leader's example come to adopt those values as their own. This process of identifying with the leader strengthens the emotional bond between members and the organization, as the

leader is seen as an embodiment of collective values. Sustained inspiration also helps members cope with the fatigue and burnout that often arise from routine work. The emotional energy transmitted through inspiration becomes fuel for organizational resilience in facing various pressures.

Effective empowerment requires a balance between granting autonomy and providing adequate support from the leader. Autonomy without support can leave members feeling abandoned and incompetent, while support without autonomy creates damaging dependency. Transformational leaders have the sensitivity to recognize when members need guidance and when they are ready to work independently (Cheong et al., 2019). Leadership attention paired with employee welfare has also been shown to improve organizational productivity through this kind of balanced approach (Darmawan et al., 2022). This flexible approach allows members' capacity to develop gradually without unnecessary frustration. Leaders also build support networks among members so they can help and learn from one another. A flat, collaborative organizational structure replaces rigid hierarchies that hinder initiative. This supportive environment becomes the place where leadership develops at every level of the organization.

Transformative communication goes beyond conveying information and enters the domain of creating shared meaning among organizational members. Leaders use language and symbols to build a social reality that supports the achievement of collective goals. Metaphors, stories, and rituals become tools for strengthening organizational identity and the values it upholds. This symbolically rich communication creates strong cultural bonds among members who may come from different backgrounds and interests (Kazanskaia, 2025). Organizational members begin to see themselves as part of a group with a shared history and future. A strong collective identity becomes the social glue that maintains organizational cohesion when facing external pressure. This cohesion is essential for organizational stability because it prevents fragmentation that can arise from internal conflict.

Transformational leadership also plays a role in managing organizational change in ways that reduce resistance and increase acceptance. Change often becomes a source of instability because members feel threatened by the uncertainty it brings (Usman, 2020). Transformational leaders communicate change as part of a larger mission rather than as a threat to the status quo. Involving members in the planning and implementation of change reduces fear and increases

their sense of ownership over the outcome. Consistently meeting organizational obligations, including toward parties beyond the immediate workplace, has also been shown to support stability during periods of change (Waluyo et al., 2024). Leaders also provide the emotional support members need to get through difficult transition periods. With this approach, change no longer becomes a moment of crisis threatening stability but an opportunity for growth and renewal. An organization led transformationally has the capacity to change without losing its fundamental identity.

Developing transformative leadership in an organization requires systematic and sustained investment, not a single round of training. Leadership development programs must be designed to build self-awareness, empathy, and deep communication skills. Individual characteristics also shape how well a leader absorbs these competencies, which is why personal traits deserve attention in any development design (Darmawan, 2017). Leaders at every level of the organization need opportunities to reflect on their leadership style and receive constructive feedback (Rocco & Davis, 2024). Mentoring and coaching from experienced senior leaders can accelerate the development of transformative leadership. Structured training design, including cross-functional exposure, has also proven effective in strengthening leaders' collaborative capacity (Fared & Darmawan, 2021). Organizations also need to build reward systems that recognize transformative leadership behavior, not merely short-term outcomes. A situational approach that adjusts leadership style to organizational conditions can sharpen the effectiveness of this development process (Mardikaningsih & Darmawan, 2022). An organizational culture that supports experimentation and learning from failure is a prerequisite for transformative leadership to grow. Investment in leadership development of this kind will pay for itself through greater organizational loyalty and stability.

Long-term organizational sustainability also depends on the ability to retain its best members, those who hold critical knowledge and skills. Transformative leadership creates a work environment attractive to quality talent because it offers more than financial compensation alone. Members seek meaning and opportunities to grow, which is precisely the main focus of transformative leadership (Zhang, 2025). Recognition practices, such as awards that reflect organizational values, reinforce this sense of meaning and encourage members to stay (Gani, 2025). When an organization is known as a place that empowers and inspires, it becomes a magnet for top talent across fields. This attractiveness is further strengthened when human resource practices

are continuously renewed to keep pace with a competitive environment (Abdullah, Gardi, & Darmawan, 2021). The ability to attract and retain outstanding talent becomes a strategic competitive advantage. Organizational stability does not mean the absence of member turnover, but rather a balance between inflow and outflow that does not disrupt operational continuity. This balance is easier to maintain when knowledge management practices ensure that expertise is not lost when members leave (Eddine et al., 2023). Transformative leadership ensures that institutional knowledge is preserved through systematic knowledge transfer and a strong learning culture.

Organizational resilience, understood as the capacity to recover from shocks, is also strengthened by transformative leadership through the development of collective capacity. Members with strong emotional bonds to the organization will work harder to restore it after a setback (Gan & Gan, 2022). This capacity for recovery is reinforced when members experience a healthy balance between work demands and personal life, which sustains their psychological wellbeing under pressure (Darmawan, 2022). Solidarity built through transformative leadership creates a social support network that acts as a buffer in difficult times. A high quality of work life, supported by strong organizational citizenship behavior, further equips members to respond constructively when the organization is under strain (Eddine & Darmawan, 2022). Transformative leaders also play a role in sustaining collective hope and optimism when the organization faces major challenges. This realistic hope provides the energy to keep moving forward despite constraints. Adaptive leadership that adjusts response strategies to shifting circumstances also broadens the organization's capacity to face contemporary social dynamics (Irfan & Hartono, 2025). Resilience built through transformative leadership is not merely the ability to endure, but also to learn from experience and grow stronger. Organizations with high resilience are not easily shaken by external change because they possess a solid internal foundation.

Transformative leadership also affects organizational stability by shaping social norms that support cooperation and altruism among members. Norms that encourage mutual help and knowledge sharing create collective efficiency that cannot be achieved through formal structures alone. Members accustomed to working together voluntarily tend to develop high interpersonal trust (Oyewole, 2025). Similar cooperative dynamics are also evident in how sustainable resource management practices rely on coordination rather than strict formal control (Barzani et

al., 2022). This trust becomes social capital that smooths coordination and reduces the organization's internal transaction costs. Clear communication strategies help sustain this cooperative climate, particularly within diverse teams where differences could otherwise create friction (Marsal & Darmawan, 2022). When trust is high, members do not need to spend energy monitoring and controlling one another. The energy saved can instead be directed toward productive activities that support organizational goals, an efficiency comparable to the waste reduction achieved through leaner, more cooperative ways of working (Radjawane, Darmawan, & Varela, 2022), strengthened further when information flows smoothly across the organization (Gardi & Darmawan, 2024). Strong social norms also function as a self-regulating mechanism that reduces the need for excessive rules and procedures. These norms tend to hold more firmly when they remain rooted in local cultural values rather than imposed from outside (Mardikaningsih & Darmawan, 2023).

Transformative leadership directly shapes members' quality of work life by creating an environment that supports psychological wellbeing. Members who feel valued, supported, and given autonomy at work show lower stress levels and higher job satisfaction. This psychological wellbeing is essential for sustaining productivity and creativity over the long run (Gilbert & Dagenais-Desmarais, 2017). More interactive, engagement-building approaches to daily work can reinforce this sense of being valued (Eddine & Darmawan, 2022). Transformative leaders are also attentive to members' needs beyond professional matters and show genuine concern for their personal wellbeing. This personal attention builds relationships that go beyond the purely professional and forms deeper bonds. An inclusive environment that values diversity tends to amplify this sense of care, making members feel recognized as individuals rather than merely as employees (Mardikaningsih & Hariani, 2022). Members whose wellbeing is secured tend to stay longer with the organization and contribute more. A good quality of work life becomes a distinct draw for the organization in the eyes of prospective members.

The mechanism by which transformative leadership builds organizational stability also works through creating a sense of justice and equality among members. Transformative leaders treat all members with respect and give recognition based on real contribution, not personal closeness or formal status. This kind of ethical treatment is central to leadership that seeks to build a positive and sustainable organizational culture (Mardikaningsih et al., 2022). Fair treatment reduces the potential for internal conflict

that could threaten organizational stability (Sahid et al., 2023). Members who feel fairly treated will develop greater commitment to the organization and to the rules that apply. Such fairness also aligns with broader ethical principles that should guide decision-making across an organization's functions, not only its leadership (Putra & Arifin, 2023). Perceptions of justice also increase voluntary compliance with organizational policy because members see these policies as reasonable and non-exploitative. Transformative leaders actively guard against favoritism and nepotism that could damage morale and internal cohesion. This authentic stance in turn shapes a more positive work climate and encourages members to go beyond their formal duties for the organization's benefit (Mardikaningsih et al., 2022). Justice therefore becomes the ethical foundation that sustains organizational stability over the long term.

Decision-making in organizations led transformatively tends to be more participative and to draw on a range of perspectives. This participative pattern reflects how transformative leadership more broadly drives members' engagement in organizational initiatives, including those tied to social responsibility (Rojak, 2025). This inclusive process produces better-quality decisions because it takes more information and viewpoints into account. Members involved in decision-making develop a greater sense of ownership over the resulting decisions and are more motivated to carry them out well (Mendoza & Alejandro, 2018). Participation also functions as an organizational learning mechanism, one that is reinforced when the organization actively cultivates a culture open to new ideas (Irfan & Rojak, 2022). Decisions produced through a participative process tend to be more readily accepted and implemented because members feel their voices have been heard. Deliberate communication strategies during periods of change help ensure that this participation translates into genuine understanding rather than mere formality (Mardikaningsih & Darmawan, 2022). Transformative leaders facilitate this process without dominating or imposing personal opinions. Better decision-making quality directly contributes to organizational stability by reducing the risk of strategic error.

Transformative leadership ultimately creates a positive cycle in which member loyalty and organizational stability mutually reinforce one another. Loyal members contribute to organizational stability through consistent performance and prosocial behavior (Coleman & Donohoe, 2022). The stability that is created will enhance members' trust in the organization's future and strengthen their commitment. This positive cycle is self-reinforcing and difficult to break once well

established. Transformative leaders function as catalysts who initiate and maintain this cycle through their daily behavior. Consistency in applying the principles of transformative leadership is the key to maintaining this positive cycle in the long term. Organizations that successfully build this cycle will possess a long-lasting advantage.

CONCLUSION

Transformative leadership plays a very important role in maintaining organizational stability through three interrelated main mechanisms. The inspiration provided by transformative leaders creates a shared vision that gives meaning to the work of organizational members and builds strong emotional commitment. Empowerment carried out consistently enables members to develop capacity and a sense of ownership toward the organization, thereby reducing the desire to move elsewhere. Open and participative communication builds social cohesion and trust, which serve as the foundation for long-term cooperation. These three mechanisms work synergistically to create authentic member loyalty that does not rely solely on external incentives. This strong loyalty becomes the basis for organizational survival in the long term, because members will stay and give their best contributions even in difficult situations. Thus, investment in transformative leadership development is a strategic decision that supports organizational resilience and continuity.

Effective leadership development programs must include training in inspiring communication skills, practical empowerment techniques, and the development of reflective self-awareness. Organizations are also advised to create reward systems that recognize transformative leadership behaviors, not just quantitative target achievements. Periodic evaluation of leadership styles through feedback from members can serve as an instrument for continuous improvement. Leaders need to be encouraged to actively practice the principles of transformative leadership in their daily interactions with members.

REFERENCES

- Abdullah, M. H. A. B., Gardi, B., & Darmawan, D. (2021). Innovation in Human Resource Management to enhance Organizational Competitiveness in the Era of Globalization. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(1), 51–58.
- AbouAssi, K., Johnson, J. M., & Holt, S. B. (2019). Job Mobility among Millennials: Do They Stay or do They Go?. *Review of Public Personnel Administration*, 1–31.
- Asrarudin. (2023). The The Implications of Transformational and Transactional Leadership on Organizational Commitment. *IJHCM (International Journal of Human Capital Management)*, 6(2), 120–135.
- Asuquo-Ekpo, B. (2023). Shift in Leadership Styles in the 21st Century. In *partial fulfilment of the course requirement for Seminar in Executive Leadership-PPAD 709, Spring 2023*.
- Barzani, L. A., Darmawan, D., & Zulkarnain, M. A. B. (2022). The Application of Sustainable Supply Chain Management Principles in the Manufacturing Industry to Improve Operational Performance and Corporate Social Responsibility. *International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology*, 1(1), 38–45.
- Brailas, A. (2025). The Appreciative Qualitative Interview: A Research Method for Empowering People. *Methodology*, 21(1), 74–90.
- Brühl, N. (2025). Interpretive Literature Review. *Der Beitrag Des Design Thinking Zur Marktorientierten Unternehmensführung*, 19–38.
- Bukusi, A. D. M. (2020). Transformative Thinking for Business Leaders in the 21st Century. *African Journal of Business Management*, 14(12), 551–560.
- Caldwell, C., Anderson, V., & Jamali, D. (2025). Leadership, Commitment, and the Failure of Trust: What Companies Must do to Thrive. In *Quiet Quitting and the Leadership Challenge*, Edward Elgar Publishing, 65–78.
- Cheong, M., Yammarino, F. J., Dionne, S. D., Spain, S. M., & Tsai, C.-Y. (2019). A Review of the Effectiveness of Empowering Leadership. *Leadership Quarterly*, 30(1), 34–58.
- Coleman, R. A., & Donohoe, W. J. (2022). Looking Beyond the Dyad: How Transformational Leadership Affects Leader-Member Exchange Quality and Outcomes. *Journal of Leadership Studies*, 15(4), 6–17.
- Darmawan, D. (2017). The Effects of The Big Five Personality on Job Performance. *Management & Accounting Research Journal*, 2(1), 36–42.
- Darmawan, D. (2022). The Relationship between Work-Life Balance and Perceived Organizational Support to Employees Psychological Well-Being of Employees in Modern Work Environments. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(1), 73–80.
- Darmawan, D. (2023). Innovation Culture That Balances Technological Speed with the Depth of Humanistic Thought. *International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology*, 4(1), 47–54.
- Darmawan, D. (2023). The Concept Of Remote Work: A Literature Review on its Impact on Work Dynamics, Psychological Well-Being, and Social Relationships Among Employees. *International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology*, 3(3), 49–55.
- Darmawan, D. (2024). Application of Situational Leadership in Decision Making in Dynamic Organizations. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 4(1), 499–504.
- Darmawan, D. (2024). Distribution of Six Major Factors Enhancing Organizational Effectiveness. *Journal of Distribution Science*, 22(4), 47–58.
- Darmawan, D. (2024). From Individual Minds to Collective

- Decisions: The Function and Contribution of Cognitive Mapping. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 4(2), 467-476.
- Darmawan, D., & Mardikaningsih, R. (2022). The Role of Positive Psychological Factors in Enhancing Employee Engagement and Commitment to Work Tasks. *International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology*, 1(2), 37-45.
- Darmawan, D., Jahroni, Irfan, M., & Al Hakim, Y. R. (2022). Leadership and Employee Welfare in Improving Organizational Productivity. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(2), 173-176.
- Darmawan, D., Mardikaningsih, R., Sinambela, E. A., Arifin, S., Putra, A. R., Hariani, M., Irfan, M., Al Hakim, Y. R., & Issalillah, F. (2020). The Quality of Human Resources, Job Performance and Employee Loyalty. *International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation*, 24(3), 2580-2592.
- Eddine, B. A. S., & Darmawan, D. (2022). The Effects of Quality of Work Life and Organizational Citizenship Behavior on Job Performance of Government Employees in Surabaya City. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(2), 69-76.
- Eddine, B. A. S., & Darmawan, D. (2022). The Gamification Approach to Employee Training to Increase Engagement and Learning Effectiveness in Organizations. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(1), 201-206.
- Eddine, B. A. S., Darmawan, D., Mardikaningsih, R., & Sinambela, E. A. (2023). The Effect of Knowledge Management and Quality of Work Life on Employee Commitment. *Journal of Human Sciences*, 10(1), 87-100.
- Fared, M. A., & Darmawan, D. (2021). Design and Implementation of Cross-Functional Training to Improve Team Collaboration. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(1), 215-220.
- Gan, R., & Gan, R. (2022). Organizational Resilience Capability and Capacity Building. In *Handbook of Research on Interdisciplinary Studies on Healthcare, Culture, and the Environment*, IGI Global, 124-142.
- Gani, A. (2025). Representation of Organizational Values through Employee Awards. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 5(1), 27-36. <https://jos3journals.id/index.php/jos3/article/view/398>
- Gardi, B., & Darmawan, D. (2024). Supply Chain Management Optimization with IoT and Blockchain Technology to Increase Efficiency and Transparency. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 4(2), 31-36.
- Gilbert, M.-H., & Dagenais-Desmarais, V. (2017). Transformational Leadership and Autonomy Support Management Behaviors: The Role of Specificity in Predicting Employees' Psychological Health. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 38(2), 320-332.
- Gupta, R. K., & Gupta, R. K. (2025). Transformational Leadership: Building Resilience and Driving Change for Sustained Performance. *Academy of Management Annual Meeting Proceedings*, 2025(1).
- Halizah, S. N., Mardikaningsih, R., & da Cruz, C. (2024). Strengthening Moral Values and Legal Compliance in Corporate Managerial Decision-Making Practices. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 4(2), 65-72.
- Hariani, M., Aisyah, N., & da Cruz, C. (2023). Building a Work Environment that Supports Employee Engagement Through Effective Use of Social Media. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 3(1), 65-68.
- Hariani, M., Mardikaningsih, R., & Essa, N. E. (2022). HR and Environmental Policy Management Strategies to Create a Sustainable Organization that Improves Company Performance. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(2), 249-254.
- Ibadov, N. S., & Velizade, A. (2025). Transformational Leadership Style. *Metafizika*, 8(6), 184-197.
- Irfan, M. (2026). Reward Systems and Their Effects on Engagement and Organizational Commitment in Virtual Work Environments. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 6(1), 13 - 36.
- Irfan, M., & Hartono, R. (2025). Adaptive Leadership and Organizational Response Capacity to Contemporary Social Dynamics. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 5(1), 49-66. <https://jos3journals.id/index.php/jos3/article/view/400>
- Irfan, M., & Rojak, J. A. (2022). Fostering Innovation-Driven Cultures to Enhance Creative Engagement and Quality of Work Life in Organizations. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(1), 265-270.
- Kalpokaite, N., & Radivojevic, I. (2021). Adapting Practices from Qualitative Research to Tell a Compelling Story: A Practical Framework for Conducting a Literature Review. *The Qualitative Report*, 26(5), 1546-1566.
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). Communication Strategies for Transformational Leaders. *Neya Global Journal of of Non- Profit Studies*, 1-5
- Khairi, M., & Darmawan, D. (2022). Developing HR Capabilities in Data Analysis for More Effective Decision Making in Organizations. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(1), 223-228.
- Khayru, R. K. (2024). Legal Mechanisms in Handling Work Relationship Tensions in Modern Organizational Environments. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 4(2), 137-144.
- Kirkman, B. L., & Smith, T. A. (2025). Empowering Leadership. *Oxford Bibliographies in Management*, Oxford University Press.
- Kumar, B., & Ghosh, O. (2025). The Influence of Leadership on Organizational Resilience. In D. Roache (Ed.), *High Performance Leadership for Organizational Excellence: Innovation, Technology, and Resilience*, IGI Global Scienrific Publishing, 187-214.
- Makateng, D. S., & Mokala, N. T. (2025). Understanding Qualitative Research Methodology: A Systematic Review. *E-Journal of Humanities Arts and Social Sciences*, 6(3), 327-335.
- Mardikaningsih, R., & Darmawan, D. (2022). Design and Implementation of Communication Strategy in Change Management. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(2), 237-242.
- Mardikaningsih, R., & Darmawan, D. (2022). Situational

- Leadership Strategies to Improve Change Management and Team Performance. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(1), 247-252.
- Mardikaningsih, R., & Darmawan, D. (2023). Building Sustainability Policies Relevant to Local Cultural Values. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 3(1), 127-132.
- Mardikaningsih, R., & Hariani, M. (2022). Integrating Diversity and Sustainability in Organizations: How to Impact Performance, Corporate Competitiveness, and the Creation of Inclusive Work Environments. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(2), 77-84.
- Mardikaningsih, R., Halizah, S. N., Darmawan, D., Masithoh, N., & Nuraini, R. (2022). Examining the Role of Authentic Leadership in Shaping Positive Work Climate and Organizational Citizenship Behavior. *International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology*, 2(1), 52-59.
- Mardikaningsih, R., Halizah, S. N., Nuraini, R., & Darmawan, D. (2022). The Role of Ethical Leadership in Shaping a Positive and Sustainable Organizational Culture. *International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology*, 2(2), 48-55.
- Marsal, A. P., & Darmawan, D. (2022). Communication Strategies in Managing Conflict in Multicultural Teams. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(2), 285-290.
- Maximova, V. (2023). Change-Supporting Organizational Structures: Challenges and Limitations. *Izvestia Journal of the Union of Scientists - Varna. Economic Sciences Series*, 12(2), 99-106.
- McOyoo, E. O. (2022). Authentic Transformational Leadership and Empowerment in Organization Context - A Review of Literature. *International Journal of Managerial Studies and Research*, 10(9), 39-53.
- Mendoza, H., & Alejandro, L. (2018). Liderazgo Transformacional En La Toma De Decisiones Dentro De Las Organizaciones. *Ensayo De Grado*, Universidad Militar Nueva Granada.
- Mohajan, H. K. (2018). Qualitative Research Methodology in Social Sciences and Related Subjects. *Journal of Economic Development, Environment and People*, 7(1), 23-48.
- Oyewole, C. (2025). The Role of Transformational Leadership in Enhancing Knowledge Behaviour and Organizational Competitiveness. *CogNexus*, 1(01), 134-153.
- Papikian, K. (2025). Human Leadership as a Driver of Organizational Resilience in an Uncertain Business Environment. *Бизнес-Наблюдения*, 6(83), 122-127.
- Pitichat, T., & Riggio, R. E. (2025). Transformational Leadership Theory. *Emerald Publishing Limited*, 133-144.
- Putra, A. R., & Arifin, S. (2023). Ethical Principles in Corporate Financial Management: A Literature Study on Investment and Risk. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 3(2), 221-226.
- Radjawane, L. E., Darmawan, D., & Varela, J. R. (2022). Application of Lean Management Principles to Reduce Waste and Improve Operational Efficiency in the Service Sector. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(2), 261-266.
- Rahman, A., Putra, A. R., & Al Hakim, Y. R. (2022). Integration of Business Process Engineering and Quality Management to Improve Efficiency and Product or Service Quality Standards. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(2), 207-212.
- Rocco, M. L., & Davis, K. R. (2024). Expanding the Boundaries of Leadership Development: Propositions for Leadership Educators. *New Directions for Student Leadership by Wiley*, 51-58.
- Rojak, J. A. (2025). Transformational Leadership as a Driver of Employee Engagement in CSR Programs. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 5(1), 37-48. <https://jos3journals.id/index.php/jos3/article/view/399>
- Rojak, J. A., & Al Hakim, Y. R. (2023). Implementation of Corporate Governance in Improving Transparency and Sustainability of Companies in Global Market. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 3(2), 101-106.
- Rojak, J. A., & Darmawan, D. (2023). Improving Employee Engagement and Team Development through the Implementation of Flexible and Adaptive Situational Leadership. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 3(1), 369-374.
- Rojak, J. A., & Khayru, R. K. (2022). The Effect of Transformational Leadership Style on Team Performance: Implications for Collaboration, Motivation, and Effectiveness Enhancement Strategies. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(2), 13-20.
- Sahid, A., Amirullah, I., Khaeriyah, K., Natsir, N., & Syafaruddin, S. (2023). Transformational Leadership in Organizational Change. *International Journal of Economics and Management Research*, 2(2), 172-177.
- Sujito, S., Hardyansah, R., Putra, A. R., Khayru, R. K., & Arifin, S. (2023). Legal Implications of the Job Creation Law on the Concept and Liability of Individual Companies in Indonesia. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 3(1), 259-270.
- Usman, M. (2020). Transformational Leadership and Organizational Change: In the Context of Today's Leader. *International Business Education Journal*, 13(1), 95-107.
- Waluyo, A., Vitrianingsih, Y., Mardikaningsih, R., Issalillah, F., & Negara, D. S. (2024). Legal Regulation of Multinational Corporate Health Services and Local Legal Obligations. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 4(1), 359-370.
- Zhang, Q. F. (2025). The Role of Transformational Leadership in Organizational Innovation. *International Journal of Education and Humanities*, 18(1), 236-240.
- Zhang, X., Duraipandi, O., Lubei, F., Beifan, Y., & Jiahao, G. (2024). Transformational Leadership Practices Enables a Business to Achieve Long-Term Success. *International Journal of Computational and Experimental Science and Engineering*, 10(4), 2182-2188.

*Fauzi, A., & J. A. Rojak. (2026). Transformative Leadership and Organizational Stability: Inspiration, Empowerment, and Communication in Building Long-Term Loyalty, *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 6(1), 37 – 46.