

Employee Well-being and Performance Evaluation: Integrating Quality of Work Life in HR Management

¹Belouadah Ahmed Seif Eddine, ²Muhammad Syafiq Haikal Bin Ishaq, ³Didit Darmawan, ⁴Rizwan Ali

¹University of Saida-Dr. Moulay Tahar, Algeria

²University Utara Malaysia, Malaysia

³Mayjen Sungkono University, Indonesia

⁴Government College University Faisalabad, Pakistan

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 17 April 2021

Revised 11 May 2021

Accepted 21 June 2021

Key words:

Quality of work life,
Employee well-being,
Performance appraisal system,
Work flexibility,
Employee training,
Performance evaluation technology,
Organizational culture.

ABSTRACT

This study aims to analyze strategies for improving Quality of Work Life (QWL) and its impact on performance appraisal systems in organizations. QWL is a key factor contributing to employee well-being and work effectiveness, where various elements such as work environment, work-life balance, and organizational policies have a significant impact on workforce satisfaction and productivity. This study shows that organizations that implement QWL improvement policies tend to have higher employee retention rates, more objective performance evaluation systems, and better productivity. Strategies such as work flexibility, employee training programs, utilization of technology in performance evaluation, and implementation of a fair reward system can simultaneously improve the effectiveness of performance appraisal systems and employee well-being. In addition, this study identified various factors that influence the success of QWL improvement strategies, including management support, an inclusive organizational culture, and a balance between work demands and employees' personal needs. By understanding and implementing these strategic measures, organizations can ensure that employee performance is assessed more objectively and fairly, and create a more productive and harmonious work environment. This research provides important insights for human resource managers to develop outcome-oriented policies, and the well-being of the workforce.

INTRODUCTION

Quality of Work Life (QWL) has become one of the important factors to determine employee well-being as well as overall organizational performance. QWL reflects the extent to which the work environment can meet employees' professional and personal needs, including job satisfaction, work-life balance, and career development opportunities (Pandey & Khan, 2016). Various studies have shown that improving QWL affects employee well-being and increases labor productivity and retention (Islami & Islami, 2019). Organizations need to deal with fast-changing market demands, while at the same time keeping employees productive and loyal (Putra et al., 2020). Organizational strategies to improve QWL are becoming increasingly relevant to face the challenges of globalization and increasingly fierce business competition.

Research has revealed that QWL has a direct correlation with performance appraisal systems. A study conducted by Thakur and Sharma (2019) showed that employees who experience high QWL tend to have higher levels of job satisfaction and contribute more to organizational goals. Furthermore, a study by Rai (2015) found that a good quality of work life can increase employees' commitment to the organization thus having a positive impact on their performance evaluation. However, in some organizations, there are still many performance appraisal systems that do not consider aspects of employee welfare, which can reduce work motivation and lead to decreased productivity. It is important for organizations to integrate QWL aspects in the performance appraisal system in order to create a healthier and more productive work environment.

* Corresponding author, email address: dr.diditdarmawan@gmail.com

Another phenomenon that needs to be considered is the role of organizational policies to create a work environment that supports QWL. A study by Bikkana and Madhavi (2017) showed that flexible human resource management policies, such as better working hour arrangements and fair career development opportunities, can increase employee satisfaction while improving the performance evaluation system. Many organizations still apply rigid work systems without considering individual needs, which can have a negative impact on employee well-being and the effectiveness of performance appraisals (Darmawan et al., 2020). Effective strategies are needed to improve QWL to ensure that the performance evaluation system runs fairly and optimally.

QWL has been recognized as an important factor to support employee well-being and productivity, the implementation of strategies to improve it still faces various challenges (Mahyanalia et al., 2017). Research shows that many organizations fail to integrate aspects of employee well-being in their management policies, leading to low job satisfaction and increased levels of job stress (Nayak & Sahoo, 2015). Many performance appraisal systems are still based on productivity results without considering work-life balance factors, which can reduce employee motivation and engagement in the long run (Islami & Islami, 2019).

One of the main challenges to improving QWL is the lack of work flexibility policies. According to Pandey and Khan (2016), many organizations still apply traditional work models that do not consider individual needs, such as flexibility of working time or balance between professional demands and personal life. This leads to increased levels of work stress and decreased effectiveness of employee performance, which in turn negatively impacts the performance appraisal system (Brown et al., 2010). Other studies have also shown that a less supportive work environment can worsen employees' psychological state and decrease their motivation to achieve better performance (Bikkana & Madhavi, 2017).

Existing performance appraisal systems in many organizations often do not reflect employees' real contributions to organizational success. Fang (2020) mentions that traditional performance appraisal systems are often subjective and lack consideration of broader aspects of work quality, such as job satisfaction, skill development, and stress levels experienced by employees. This creates dissatisfaction among employees, especially if performance appraisals do not reflect their efforts made to achieve organizational goals (Van Dijk & Schodi, 2015). A more comprehensive approach is needed to evaluate employee performance by considering QWL aspects as one of the main factors.

Improving QWL in an organization has become a major focus in human resource management due to its significant impact on employee performance and satisfaction. Organizations that implement policies to improve QWL tend to have more satisfied and motivated employees, as they feel valued and supported in aspects of their professional and personal lives (Srivastava & Kanpur, 2014). Pandey and Khan (2016) showed that organizations that have QWL improvement policies tend to experience an increase in employee motivation and work commitment, which ultimately contributes to the effectiveness of the performance appraisal system. Performance appraisal systems cannot be separated from employee well-being and job satisfaction factors (Van Thielen et al., 2018). An understanding of QWL strategies is crucial to ensure that employee performance can be measured objectively and sustainably.

Improving QWL is also closely related to organizational stability. Islami and Islami (2019) in their research found that organizations that implement effective QWL improvement strategies have higher employee retention rates, reduce turnover, and increase employee involvement in strategic decision-making. There is still a research gap to understand how the integration of QWL strategies with performance evaluation systems can be effectively implemented in different types of organizations. Most research still focuses on the individual aspects of QWL without linking it to a more comprehensive appraisal system. A more integrated approach is needed for organizations to ensure that QWL not only improves individual well-being, but also contributes to the performance and stability of the organization more broadly.

The urgency of this research is also increasing with the changes in work patterns due to technological developments and globalization. Work flexibility, utilization of technology in HR management, and a well-being-based approach can have a direct impact on employee performance evaluation (Jamaluddin et al., 2013; Thakur & Sharma, 2019). However, the challenge that arises is how organizations can adapt QWL policies to increasingly complex workforce dynamics. Employees who are increasingly globalized and connected through technology may have higher expectations regarding work-life balance, flexibility, and career development opportunities (Nam, 2014). This study is expected to fill the gap in the academic literature by offering insights into the optimal strategies to enhance QWL and ensure that performance appraisal systems focus on work outcomes, and overall employee well-being and satisfaction.

This study aims to analyze the strategies that organizations can implement to improve QWL and its impact on employee performance appraisals. This research seeks to identify how organizational policies that focus on improving employee well-being can contribute to increased motivation, productivity and job satisfaction. This research also aims to explore the relationship between QWL and performance appraisal systems, to ensure that evaluation methods implemented in organizations consider quantitative aspects of work outcomes, and employee well-being as factors that support long-term performance.

This research aims to identify key factors that influence the success of QWL improvement strategies, such as work flexibility policies, management support, a healthy work environment, and work-life balance. By understanding these factors, this research is expected to provide insights for human resource managers to design a more objective, inclusive and employee well-being-based performance appraisal system. The results of this study are expected to contribute to organizations to increase the effectiveness of human resource management, improve organizational policies related to employee welfare, and create a more transparent and accurate performance appraisal system to support individual growth and the achievement of organizational goals.

RESEARCH METHOD

This research uses the literature study method to analyze strategies for improving QWL and its impact on the performance appraisal system. Literature study is a research method conducted by collecting, evaluating, and synthesizing various relevant scientific sources in order to obtain a comprehensive understanding of the topic under study (Boote & Beile, 2005). The literature study was used to explore the concepts of QWL, human resource management strategies to improve employee well-being, and the relationship between QWL and performance evaluation systems.

Data sources in this study came from international journal articles indexed in academic databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. The literature search process was conducted with keywords such as QWL, Performance Appraisal, Employee Well-being, and Human Resource Management Strategies. The articles retrieved were publications that contained data used to reflect the latest trends and developments in research on QWL and performance appraisal (Webster & Watson, 2002). The selected sources were then analyzed using a systematic review approach, which included the identification of key theories, research variables used, and empirical findings that could provide in-depth insight into the issues studied.

To ensure the validity and reliability of the research, source triangulation was conducted, comparing different studies that addressed QWL and performance appraisal from different perspectives (Cooper, 2016). The literature analysis was conducted by grouping studies based on key themes, such as the relationship between employee well-being and work productivity, factors that influence job satisfaction, and organizational strategies to increase QWL to improve performance appraisal systems. This approach allowed the researcher to present a more comprehensive and evidence-based synthesis.

The results of this literature study are expected to provide greater insight into how QWL enhancement strategies can be implemented in organizations to improve the effectiveness of performance appraisal systems. In addition, this study also aims to identify research gaps in the existing literature and provide recommendations for further research. Thus, this literature study method provides an understanding of the relationship between QWL and performance appraisal, and presents a perspective that can help organizations to design more effective human resource policies.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The Most Effective Strategies to Improve QWL in Organizations to Enhance Employee Well-being

Improving quality of work life (QWL) is a strategic step that can have a positive impact on employee well-being and organizational productivity. Creating a work environment that is supportive and attentive to employee needs, organizations can increase motivation and job satisfaction (Darmawan, 2015; Mardikaningsih, 2016). This benefits the individual, and contributes to the overall success of the organization (Darmawan, 2017). One effective strategy is to create a healthy work environment that supports employees' psychological well-being. Organizations that implement policies of work flexibility, work-life balance, and skills development tend to have higher levels of job satisfaction (Peters, 2015). More flexible policies in terms of working hours, leave, and welfare programs can significantly improve QWL.

Another important strategy to improve QWL is through employee training and skills development. Assertiveness training programs can help improve employee competencies, increase self-confidence, and strengthen healthy working relationships (Bulgis et al., 2018). With investment in training, employees will feel valued and have opportunities to grow, ultimately improving their well-being.

Improved QWL can also be achieved by creating an inclusive work environment that supports employees' socio-economic balance. Organizations that create a supportive work atmosphere and are free from discrimination, whether in terms of gender, race, or social background, allow employees to develop optimally (Chrobot-Mason & Aramovich, 2013). Organizations that implement a policy of balance between economic and social satisfaction tend to have higher levels of QWL (Bouzerara & Rachid, 2020). This suggests that a balance between decent pay, adequate work facilities, and health benefits can improve overall employee well-being (Darmawan & Solihah, 2020). An inclusive and socio-economically balanced work environment can improve QWL which in turn leads to improved employee performance and retention.

Another factor that contributes to increased QWL is an effective employee retention policy. One important aspect of this retention policy is how the organization maintains the well-being of employees, both physically, emotionally, and socially (Djazilan & Darmawan, 2020). Rahman et al. (2017) in their research found that organizations that have an employee well-being-based retention strategy can reduce turnover and increase employee loyalty. Factors such as career development opportunities, work-life balance, and social support at work are highly influential in an employee's decision to stay (Houssein et al., 2020). The implementation of QWL-based retention strategies can retain a competent workforce and ensure that they remain motivated to work in the long-term which contributes to organizational stability.

Improving internal communication and building an organizational culture that values employee well-being are also highly effective strategies. One important aspect of good internal communication is to ensure that information flows smoothly between management and employees (Cowan, 2017). Ma and Drago (2018) found that organizations that adopt work policies that focus on positive interpersonal relationships tend to have higher levels of employee satisfaction. An organizational culture that is supportive, transparent, and provides constructive feedback will help increase employee motivation and commitment.

Organizations can also implement well-being-based change management to ensure that QWL strategies can continue to evolve over time. Companies that actively adapt QWL policies to global business developments tend to be more successful in maintaining a competent and competitive workforce (Handayani, 2018). This includes utilizing technology in work systems, flexible approaches to human resource management, and employee involvement in strategic decision-making.

Overall, the most effective strategies for improving quality of work life (QWL) include work flexibility policies, training and skills development, socio-economic balance, employee retention strategies, good internal communication, and well-being-based change management. By implementing this approach, organizations can ensure that employees feel more valued and have higher job satisfaction. This in turn has a positive impact on their productivity and performance appraisal. Employees who feel supported and cared for tend to be more motivated to contribute their best, thus creating a more productive and harmonious work environment.

The Relationship between QWL and Employee Performance Appraisal System in Organizations

Research on QWL shows that employee well-being has a significant impact on the performance appraisal system. One important aspect of QWL is how employees feel valued and supported in their work environment, including in the performance appraisal process (Ukko et al., 2008). Research by Islami and Islami (2019) found that implementing an effective performance appraisal system can increase job satisfaction, motivation, and quality of work life of employees. If the performance appraisal system is implemented transparently and fairly, employees will feel more valued and more motivated to work optimally, thus increasing their perception of QWL.

Another study conducted by Nayak and Sahoo (2015) showed that QWL is correlated with organizational performance and employee commitment. They found that employee commitment acts as a mediator in the relationship between QWL and organizational performance, indicating that employees with better quality of work life tend to have higher performance. A good quality of work life increases job satisfaction, reduces stress, and encourages a sense of responsibility towards their work (Gounder & Govender, 2018). Therefore, a performance appraisal system that considers QWL factors can help improve overall organizational productivity.

QWL also affects the way employees respond to performance evaluation systems. Organizations that implement QWL enhancement policies are more likely to get positive responses from employees in the performance appraisal system (Mahmood et al., 2010). Their study found that policies that pay attention to work-life balance, work flexibility, and a supportive work environment can increase employee satisfaction with the performance evaluation system (Pandey & Khan, 2016). This leads to increased job satisfaction and employee engagement in achieving organizational goals.

Research by Surolia and Rai (2018) in the insurance sector shows that there is a strong correlation between QWL and individual performance in the organization. They found that aspects of QWL such as work environment, work-life balance, and career development opportunities directly influence how employees are evaluated in the performance appraisal system. Employees who feel that they work in a conducive environment and are given the opportunity to develop their skills tend to show higher performance (Mardikaningsih & Putra, 2021). A good work-life balance also contributes to increased productivity and job satisfaction, which ultimately affects how they are rated in the performance appraisal system. Organizations that implement a QWL-based appraisal system will have a fairer and more accurate method to evaluate employee performance.

Another study from Thakur and Sharma (2019) emphasized that performance evaluation systems that do not take into account employee well-being can lead to burnout and decreased productivity. They found that low QWL can lead to high job stress, which in turn negatively impacts performance evaluation results. Burnout leads to decreased motivation, frustration, and ultimately a negative impact on productivity (Karatepe & Tekinkus, 2006). Employees who feel pressured and unappreciated in an unsupportive work environment will find it difficult to give their best. Therefore, performance appraisal systems should take into account employee well-being factors in order to increase job satisfaction and organizational effectiveness.

In addition to the individual well-being aspect, research conducted by Beigi et al. (2015) highlighted that organizations with QWL-based performance appraisal systems are better able to improve employee loyalty and retention. Their study found that employee turnover rates were lower in organizations that adopted a performance appraisal system that balanced organizational expectations with employee well-being.

QWL and performance appraisal systems have a close relationship. Improving QWL can increase employee satisfaction with the appraisal system, improve productivity, and create a healthier and more supportive work environment. Therefore, organizations need to consider employee well-being factors in the performance evaluation system. By ensuring that performance appraisals are based on work output, and taking into account employee well-being and engagement, organizations can create a fairer and more effective system. This increases employee motivation and commitment, and contributes to overall organizational performance.

Factors Influencing the Effectiveness of QWL Improvement Strategies to Support More Objective and Accurate Performance Appraisals

The effectiveness of Quality of Work Life (QWL) improvement strategies to support more objective and accurate performance appraisals is influenced by various factors, including the work environment, employee well-being, and organizational policies. Pandey and Khan (2016) stated that one of the key factors in the success of QWL strategies is a supportive work environment. They found that a safe, comfortable, and inclusive work environment can enhance employee well-being and improve their performance so that appraisal results better reflect employees' actual contributions (Pandey & Khan, 2016).

Career development and training opportunities also contribute to the effectiveness of QWL strategies. Training and skills development programs provide employees with the opportunity to enhance their capabilities, resulting in improved productivity and performance in the workplace (Arifin & Putra, 2020). Employees who have access to training and skill development programs feel more valued and are more productive at work, leading to more accurate performance evaluations (Singh et al., 2016). With ongoing training, organizations can ensure that employees have competencies that match the needs of the organization so that performance appraisals are not only based on task achievement, but also long-term development.

Another factor is work-life balance, which allows employees to have the flexibility to carry out their duties without sacrificing personal well-being. Kanwal and Aneet (2017) found that companies that provide flexibility in working time and leave policies tend to have more productive and satisfied employees. A good work-life balance allows employees to be more focused and efficient in their work (Tamunomiebi & Oyibi, 2020). When this balance is maintained, employees are better able to achieve higher performance standards so that evaluation results are more objective.

The fourth highly influential factor is employee involvement in organizational decision-making. Companies that provide opportunities for employees to participate in strategic decision-making experience improvements in individual performance and job satisfaction (Oyomo, 2017). With increased involvement, employees feel more responsible for their work and are therefore more committed to achieving better results, which in turn improves the accuracy of performance appraisals. Involvement in decision-making improves the quality of performance appraisals and supports the creation of a more productive and effective work environment.

A fair reward and compensation system is also an important factor in the effectiveness of QWL strategies. According to Nelson (2021), employees who feel rewarded according to their efforts will be more eager to make their best contributions, which in turn will affect the overall performance of the organization. Handayani (2018) in her study revealed that organizations that implement a performance-based compensation system tend to have employees with higher levels of job satisfaction. When employees feel their efforts are fairly rewarded, they will be more motivated to achieve optimal performance, which in turn creates a more accurate assessment system (Werdati et al., 2020).

Technology is also a crucial factor to support the effectiveness of QWL strategies and the objectivity of performance appraisals. Companies that use technology-based evaluation systems, such as performance tracking software, can reduce subjectivity in assessments and increase the transparency of the evaluation process (Islami & Islami, 2019). Technology allows organizations to monitor employee productivity in real-time and reduce bias in performance appraisals.

Finally, an organizational culture that supports employee well-being also plays a major role in the success of QWL improvement strategies. Companies with cultures that emphasize employee well-being and development tend to have fairer and more effective performance appraisal systems (Ma & Drago, 2018). Thus, creating a positive work environment that values employee contributions will increase the accuracy and transparency of performance evaluations.

The effectiveness of quality of work life (QWL) improvement strategies to support objective and accurate performance appraisals is influenced by a supportive work environment, training programs, work-life balance, employee engagement, fair compensation systems, technology utilization, and an organizational culture that supports employee well-being. A positive work environment and effective training programs help employees thrive and feel valued. By paying attention to these factors, organizations can improve fairness and transparency in the performance evaluation system. A fair compensation system and proper utilization of technology help create a more efficient evaluation process. In addition, ensuring that employees work in a good work-life balance will increase their productivity and satisfaction. Thus, creating a supportive work environment is essential to ensure employees can perform optimally.

CONCLUSION

QWL plays a crucial role in improving employee well-being and the effectiveness of performance appraisal systems in organizations. Based on various studies, improving QWL which includes work-life balance, a supportive work environment, and work flexibility policies contribute significantly to employee motivation and productivity. The relationship between QWL and performance appraisal system is reciprocal. A fair and objective appraisal system will provide constructive feedback to employees, increase their job satisfaction, and encourage them to be more committed to achieving organizational goals. Employees who feel valued and supported by organizational policies will be more motivated to perform better, which will then be reflected in positive performance evaluation results. A QWL-oriented policy will create a balance between achieving organizational goals and employee welfare. Employees who feel supported in both work and personal life aspects are likely to perform better, and more accurate performance evaluation results will be achieved. Therefore, organizations need to ensure that the policies implemented are oriented towards work outcomes and workforce welfare.

To ensure the effectiveness of QWL improvement strategies to support performance evaluation systems, organizations are advised to implement several strategic steps. First, companies need to provide ongoing skills development and training programs to improve employee competencies and job satisfaction. This program is important to improve employee competencies so that they can continue to develop in accordance with job demands and organizational goals. Second, work flexibility policies, including hybrid work systems and more flexible working time arrangements, should be implemented to help employees achieve a better work-life balance. This is critical to reducing work stress and improving overall employee well-being. Third, the use of technology in the performance appraisal system can increase the objectivity and transparency of evaluations, thereby reducing bias and improving accuracy. Fourth, an organizational culture that focuses on employee well-being should be strengthened to create a more supportive and inclusive work environment. A supportive, inclusive and transparent organizational culture can create a more harmonious work environment, where employees feel more valued and encouraged to give their best contribution. By implementing these measures, organizations can achieve a fairer performance appraisal system, improve employee well-being, and create a more productive and harmonious work environment.

REFERENCES

- Arifin, S., & Putra, A. R. (2020). Employee Performance Development through Work Experience, Work Ethic, Compensation. *IOSR Journal of Business and Management (IOSR-JBM)*, 22(7), 39-45.
- Beigi, S., Shayegh, M., Zand, S., & Esmaeili, M. (2015). Studying the Relationship Between Quality of Work Life and Customer Relationship Management Performance in Pars-Khodro Company. *Asian Journal of Research in Business Economics and Management*, 5(12), 86-96.
- Bikkana, N., & Madhavi, C. (2017). Quality of Work Life and Human Resource Management in Business Process Management (BPM) Companies in India. *International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development*, 1(2), 35-40.
- Boote, D. N., & Beile, P. (2005). Scholars Before Researchers: On the Centrality of the Dissertation Literature Review in Research Preparation. *Educational Researcher*, 34(6), 3-15.
- Bouzerara, R., & Rachid, C. (2020). Contribution to the Evaluation of the Quality of Life at Work (QWL) by Pensioners in Algerian Enterprises. *International Journal of Management Studies*, 10(3), 48-54.
- Bulgis, F. I. M., Secapramana, L. V. H., & Hariyanto, H. (2018). Assertiveness Training to Support Quality of Work Life (QWL). In *The 6th ASEAN Regional Union of Psychological Societies (ARUPS) Congress*, 45-60.
- Brown, M., Hyatt, D., & Benson, J. (2010). Consequences of the Performance Appraisal Experience. *Personnel Review*, 39(3), 375-396.
- Chrobot-Mason, D., & Aramovich, N. P. (2013). The Psychological Benefits of Creating an Affirming Climate for Workplace Diversity. *Group & Organization Management*, 38(6), 659-689.
- Cooper, H. (2016). *Research Synthesis and Meta-Analysis: A Step-by-Step Approach*. SAGE Publications.
- Cowan, D. (2017). *Strategic Internal Communication: How to Build Employee Engagement and Performance*. Kogan Page Publishers.
- Darmawan, D. (2017). The Effect of the Big Five Personality on Job Performance. *Management & Accounting Research Journal*, 2(1), 36-42.
- Darmawan, D. (2015). The Role of Work Motivation, Discipline, and Work Environment on the Performance of Elementary Teachers in Gempol Subdistrict, Pasuruan Regency. *Indonesian Scientific Management Journal of Education*, 3, 113-122.
- Darmawan, D., Mardikaningsih, R., Sinambela, E. A., Arifin, S., Putra, A. R., Hariani, M., Irfan, M., Al Hakim, Y. R., & Issalillah, F. (2020). The Quality of Human Resources, Job Performance and Employee Loyalty. *International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation*, 24(3), 2580-2592.
- Darmawan, D., & Solihah, N. R. (2020). The Role of Remuneration Contribution and Social Support in Organizational Life to Build Work Engagement. *Journal of Islamic Economics Perspectives*, 1(2), 20-32.
- Djazilan, M. S., & Darmawan, D. (2020). Effect of Compensation, Job Demand, Job Characteristics on Employee Retention. *Journal of Science, Technology, and Society*, 1(2), 1-8.
- Fang, D. (2020). Strategy of Improving Management Level of Enterprise Performance Appraisal: Overview and Analysis. *International Workshop on Advances in Social Sciences*, 537-540.
- Gounder, S., & Govender, P. (2018). The Moderating Effect of Work-life Balance on Job Satisfaction. *Journal of Economics and Behavioral Studies*, 10(2), 63-73.
- Handayani, M. (2018). A Literature Review of Quality of Work Life. *International Journal of Business and Management Studies*, 2(6), 687-690.
- Houssein, A. A., Singh, J. S. K., & Arumugam, T. (2020). Retention of Employees Through Career Development, Employee Engagement and Work-life Balance: An Empirical Study among Employees in the Financial Sector in Djibouti, East Africa. *Global Business and Management Research*, 12(3), 17-32.
- Islami, X., & Islami, V. (2019). Performance Appraisal and the Quality of Working Life. *Organizational Communication eJournal*, 5(2), 67-81.
- Jamaluddin, A., Hoe, L. C., Mohamed, A. A., Darmawan, D., Hannan, A. T., Ismail, M., & Ahmad, N. (2013). Human Resource Management Implications of Technology-Based Organizational Forms. *Academy of Management Journal*, 23(2), 83-94.
- Kanwal, P., & Aneet, P. (2017). Quality of Work Life: A Conceptual Framework. *The International Journal of Management*, 2, 1-13.
- Karatepe, O. M., & Tekinkus, M. (2006). The Effects of Work-family Conflict, Emotional Exhaustion, and Intrinsic Motivation on Job Outcomes of Front-line Employees. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 24(3), 173-193.

- Ma, P., & Drago, H. F. (2018). Quality of Working Life as a Strategy for People Management: The Case of a Graphic Industry in Santa Catarina. *Journal of Business Ethics and Human Resources*, 31(4), 89-102.
- Mahmood, B., Zafar, M. I., Zafar, M. U., & Nawaz, H. (2010). Evaluation of Employees Thinking about Performance Appraisals, Trainings and Development in Competitive Era of Globalization: A Study in Export Based Textile. *Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 47(1), 66-71.
- Mahyanalia, R., Siagian, K. S., Darmawan, D., Gunawan, A., & Arum, S. (2017). Job Insecurity and an Integrative Review for Future Research. *Journal of Management*, 43(6), 1911-1939.
- Mardikaningsih, R. (2016). Leadership and Work Environment Variables and Their Effects on Employee Performance. *Management & Accounting Research Journal*, 1(1), 55-62.
- Mardikaningsih, R., & Putra, A. R. (2021). Efforts to Increase Employee Work Productivity Through Job Satisfaction and Job Training. *Studi Ilmu Sosial Indonesia Manajemen*, 1(1), 51-64.
- Nam, T. (2014). Technology Use and Work-life Balance. *Applied Research in Quality of Life*, 9, 1017-1040.
- Nayak, T., & Sahoo, C. K. (2015). Quality of Work Life and Organizational Performance. *Journal of Health Management*, 17(3), 263-273.
- Nelson, B. B. (2012). *1501 Ways to Reward Employees*. Hachette UK.
- Pandey, S., & Khan, M. S. (2016). A Study of Quality of Work Life and its Impact on Performance Appraisal. *International Educational Scientific Research Journal*, 2(1), 1-6.
- Peters, L. (2015). *Quality of Work-Life*. In *Wiley Encyclopedia of Management*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Putra, A. R., Hariani, M., Nurmalasari, D., Irfan, M., & Al Hakim, Y. R. (2020). Role of Work Environment and Organizational Culture to Job Performance. *Journal of Islamic Economics Perspectives*, 1(2), 1-13.
- Rahman, M. M., Abdul, M., Ali, N., Ahmed, M. J. U., & Rahman, M. S. (2017). Employees' Retention Strategy on Quality of Work Life (QWL) Dimensions of Private Commercial Banks in Bangladesh. *Journal of Human Resource Management*, 22(3), 156-172.
- Rai, R. (2015). Does Quality of Work Life Have Effect on Job Performance? - A study on Indian IT professionals. *The International Journal of Management*, 10, 93-99.
- Singh, L., Jena, A., & Mohanty, P. K. (2016). Quality of Work Life (QWL) in Odisha Mining Corporation (OMC) - An Empirical Study. *Training and Development Journal*, 7(2), 71-76.
- Srivastava, S., & Kanpur, R. (2014). A Study on Quality of Work Life: Key Elements & its Implications. *IOSR Journal of Business and Management*, 16(3), 54-59.
- Surolia, Y., & Rai, M. (2018). A Study of the Relationship Between Quality of Work Life and Performance of Employees in Insurance Sector. *International Journal of Scientific Research*, 7(1), 45-57.
- Tamunomiebi, M. D., & Oyibo, C. (2020). Work-life Balance and Employee Performance: A Literature Review. *European Journal of Business and Management Research*, 5(2), 1-10.
- Thakur, R., & Sharma, D. (2019). A Study of Impact of Quality of Work Life on Work Performance. *Management and Labour Studies*, 44(3), 326-344.
- Ukko, J., Tenhunen, J., & Rantanen, H. (2008). The Impacts of Performance Measurement on the Quality of Working Life. *International Journal of Business Performance Management*, 10(1), 86-98.
- Van Dijk, D., & Schodl, M. M. (2015). Performance Appraisal and Evaluation. In *International Encyclopedia of the Social & Behavioral Sciences: Second Edition*. Elsevier.
- Van Thielen, T., Bauwens, R., Audenaert, M., Van Waeyenberg, T., & Decramer, A. (2018). How to Foster the Well-being of Police Officers: The Role of the Employee Performance Management System. *Evaluation and Program Planning*, 70, 90-98.
- Webster, J., & Watson, R. T. (2002). Analyzing the Past to Prepare for the Future: Writing a Literature Review. *MIS Quarterly*, 26(2), 13-23.
- Werdati, F., Darmawan, D., & Solihah, N. R. (2020). The Role of Remuneration Contribution and Social Support in Organizational Life to Build Work Engagement. *Journal of Islamic Economics Perspectives*, 1(2), 20-32.