Journal of Social Science Studies Vol. 1, No. 2, July 2021, pages 111 – 118

Business Sustainability Strategies in the Facing of Regulatory Uncertainty and Managerial Challenges

Rahayu Mardikaningsih, Didit Darmawan

Mayjen Sungkono University of Mojokerto, Indonesia

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history:
Received 2 April 2021
Revised 8 May 2021
Accepted 15 June 2021

Key words:
Business sustainability,
Environmental regulations,
Managerial strategy,
Sustainability awareness,
Sustainability reporting,
Transparency,
Accountability.

A B S T R A C T

Business sustainability is becoming a crucial aspect in the modern business world, given the increasing pressure from various stakeholders to implement more socially and environmentally responsible practices. This study analyzes the impact of environmental regulatory uncertainty on corporate sustainability strategies, lack of understanding and awareness among management and employees, and challenges in measuring and reporting sustainability performance. The research method used is a literature study to synthesize various academic and industry sources to gain a comprehensive understanding. The results show that regulatory uncertainty creates challenges for companies to design long-term strategies, increases compliance costs, and can hinder sustainability innovation. A lack of understanding and awareness among management and employees hinders the implementation of sustainability initiatives due to a lack of training and effective communication. Difficulties in measuring and reporting sustainability performance are caused by the lack of universal standards, data complexity, and limited company resources to conduct transparent and accountable reporting. The conclusion of this study emphasizes that companies need to adopt flexible strategies, strengthen sustainability education for employees, and develop more transparent measurement and reporting systems. Companies can improve their competitiveness while meeting stakeholder demands for sustainable business practices.

INTRODUCTION

Business sustainability has become a major concern in the modern era, where companies are faced with increasingly complex environmental challenges. Increased public awareness of environmental and social issues has encouraged companies to adopt more responsible and sustainable business practices (Chen et al., 2017). This change is triggered by increasingly stringent government regulations, and demands from consumers who are more aware of the social and environmental impacts of the products and services they consume. For example, many consumers now prefer to shop from companies that demonstrate a commitment to sustainability, such as using environmentally friendly raw materials, reducing carbon emissions, and implementing fair trade practices (Silvestre, 2015). This shows that sustainability is not just a strategic choice, but also an imperative for companies that want to remain relevant and competitive in the global market (Griffin & Grote, 2020).

Companies are beginning to develop innovative managerial strategies to meet these challenges. These include the application of green technologies, efficient resource management, and stakeholder involvement in the decision-making process (Ioannou & Serafeim, 2019). Companies are focusing on profitability, and on the social and environmental impacts of their operations (Rezaee, 2016). Business sustainability is becoming a trend, and a pressing need for companies around the world (Kopnina & Blewitt, 2014). There is a paradigm shift from a short-term focus on financial returns towards a long-term approach that considers environmental and social sustainability (Longoni & Cagliano, 2015). This is triggered by increasing pressure from consumers, investors, and regulators who demand transparency and responsibility in business practices. According to Bui and De Villiers (2017), companies that fail to adapt to these changes risk losing competitiveness and reputation in the market.

* Corresponding author, email address: **dr.diditdarmawan@gmail.com**

It developed an integrated managerial strategy to address environmental challenges. Companies are starting to implement sustainability initiatives that include reducing carbon emissions, using renewable energy and better waste management (Esty & Winston, 2009). The implementation of these initiatives has resulted in the reduction of negative environmental impacts while ensuring the long-term sustainability of operations. Collaboration with various stakeholders, including local communities and non-governmental organizations, is becoming increasingly important to formulate effective policies. According to Silverstre (2015), companies contribute to environmental sustainability, and create added value for society and increase customer loyalty.

The main problem faced by companies in their efforts to achieve business sustainability is the uncertainty of environmental regulations and policies that change frequently (Rezaee, 2016). Many companies find it difficult to adapt their strategies to the various regulations issued by the government, which can vary by country or even region (Leonard, 2006). This uncertainty can result in additional costs and significant legal risks that discourage investment in sustainability initiatives (Porter & van der Linde, 1995). Companies often struggle to predict the impact of new policies that may affect their operations, creating challenges in long-term planning.

Another significant issue is the lack of understanding and awareness among management and employees about the importance of sustainability. According to Ioannou and Serafeim (2019), many companies still see sustainability as an additional burden rather than a strategic opportunity. This can result in resistance to change and a lack of commitment to implementing sustainability practices in daily operations (Epstein & Buhovac, 2014). This misunderstanding often stems from a lack of adequate training and education on environmental and social issues, which can further hamper companies' efforts to adapt to the demands of an increasingly sustainability-focused market.

The challenge of measuring and reporting sustainability performance is also a major issue. Many companies struggle to develop appropriate metrics to measure the environmental and social impacts of their activities. Vagueness in reporting standards and lack of consistency in measurement can make it difficult to compare sustainability performance between companies (Gibson, 2006). This makes it difficult for companies to evaluate the effectiveness of their sustainability strategies, and reduces transparency and accountability in the eyes of stakeholders, including investors and consumers.

Observations on business sustainability and managerial strategies adopted by companies to deal with environmental challenges are critical due to their far-reaching impacts on society and ecosystems. In the increasingly urgent climate change environment, companies have a key role to play in reducing carbon emissions and minimizing negative environmental impacts (Soler & Marce, 2018). Industrial activities that are not environmentally friendly, such as the burning of fossil fuels, overuse of natural resources, and poorly managed waste disposal, contribute greatly to global warming, pollution, and ecosystem damage (Bose, 2010). We must identify best practices that can be adopted by other industries, and encourage collaboration between the private sector, government and society if understanding how companies develop and implement sustainability strategies (Lambin & Thorlakson, 2018). This will help to achieve global sustainability goals, and improve companies' reputation and their competitiveness in a market that is increasingly oriented towards social and environmental responsibility (Elkington, 1997).

The urgency to observe this phenomenon is also related to the increasing demands of consumers and investors for transparency and accountability in business practices. Consumers are now more likely to choose products and services from companies that demonstrate a commitment to sustainability, investors are increasingly considering Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) factors in their investment decision-making. Companies that do not pay attention to sustainability issues risk losing market share and access to capital necessary for growth. An understanding of effective sustainability strategies is crucial to ensure that companies can adapt to market changes and meet stakeholder expectations (Eccles et al., 2014).

The purpose of this study is to analyze the impact of regulatory uncertainty and environmental policies on sustainability strategies adopted by companies. This research aims to understand how regulatory fluctuations can affect the planning and implementation of sustainability initiatives, as well as how companies can adjust to such changes. This study also aims to identify factors that lead to a lack of understanding and awareness among management and employees about the importance of sustainability in company operations. By exploring the internal barriers that hinder the integration of sustainability practices, it is hoped that this research can provide insights into how to increase employee commitment and participation in sustainability initiatives.

This study aims to examine the challenges companies face in measuring and reporting sustainability performance, as well as its impact on transparency and accountability in the eyes of stakeholders. By understanding the difficulties companies face in developing consistent metrics and reporting standards, providing transparency and corporate accountability, this research is expected to provide recommendations to increase stakeholder trust in corporate sustainability commitments.

RESEARCH METHOD

The research method used in this study is a literature study approach, which aims to collect, analyze, and synthesize relevant information regarding business sustainability and managerial strategies to deal with environmental challenges. This approach allows researchers to explore various sources of information, including journal articles, books, industry reports, and policy documents, in order to gain a comprehensive understanding of the issues that companies face regarding sustainability (Webster & Watson, 2002). This research can identify trends, challenges and best practices that have been implemented by companies in various sectors from reviewing the existing literature. This process involved searching for and evaluating relevant literature relating to managerial strategies in managing sustainability and their effect on the long-term performance of the company.

The researcher will use strict inclusion and exclusion criteria to ensure that only relevant and high-quality sources are included in the analysis. These sources should provide insights that are in-depth, evidence-based, and relevant to the context of the research being conducted. We will search for literature that addresses the impact of environmental regulations, sustainability awareness among management and employees, and challenges in measuring and reporting sustainability performance. The research aims to provide a clear and detailed picture of how companies can overcome existing challenges and develop effective sustainability strategies (Fink, 2014).

After collecting and analyzing the relevant literature, the researcher will synthesize it to identify patterns and themes that emerge from the various sources. This process will help to formulate conclusions and recommendations that can be drawn from the literature study. According to Synder (2019), the research is expected to make a significant contribution to the understanding of business sustainability and effective managerial strategies to deal with environmental challenges, as well as a reference for further research in this area.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The Impact of Environmental Regulatory and Policy Uncertainty on Corporate Sustainability Strategies

Regulatory uncertainty and frequently changing environmental policies have a significant impact on the sustainability strategies adopted by companies. Companies are often faced with the challenge of adjusting to regulatory changes that can affect their operations and long-term strategies (Bui & De Villiers, 2017). This uncertainty can create high risk, with companies having to invest in research and development to ensure that they comply with new regulations, which can further divert resources from other sustainability initiatives (Bansal & Hunter, 2003).

One of the main impacts of regulatory uncertainty is increased compliance costs. Companies often have to incur additional costs to comply with new or revised regulations, which can reduce the budget available for sustainability initiatives. For example, if a company has to invest significant funds to meet new emission standards, then those funds may not be available for other sustainability projects, such as green product development or waste reduction programs (Porter & van der Linde, 1995). This may hinder the company's progress towards achieving broader sustainability goals.

Regulatory uncertainty may cause companies to be more conservative in strategic decision-making. Companies may choose to delay investments in new technologies or innovative sustainability practices in situations where environmental regulations are unclear or change frequently (Silvestre, 2015). This decision may result in companies missing opportunities to innovate and compete in an increasingly sustainability-focused market (González-Benito & González-Benito, 2006). According to Bui and De Villiers (2017), uncertainty can hinder the adoption of cleaner and more efficient technologies, which should be part of a company's sustainability strategy.

Regulatory uncertainty can also affect companies' relationships with stakeholders. Investors and consumers are increasingly concerned about companies' commitment to sustainability, and uncertainty in regulatory compliance can undermine their trust. This can result in a decline in reputation and customer loyalty if companies cannot demonstrate consistent compliance with environmental regulations (Eccles et al., 2014). This can have a negative impact on the company's financial performance, as consumers and investors tend to prefer companies that have a good sustainability track record.

Regulatory uncertainty can also encourage companies to be more proactive in developing sustainability strategies. Some companies may choose to take a more innovative and future-oriented approach (Ioannou & Serafeim, 2019). They may invest in research and development to create solutions that meet current regulations, and prepare themselves for possible future changes (Hahn et al., 2013). This proactive approach can enable companies to turn challenges into opportunities in terms of innovation and improve their competitiveness.

Companies operating in uncertain regulatory environments often seek collaboration with other stakeholders, including governments, research institutions, and non-governmental organizations. Regulatory uncertainty can make it difficult for companies to plan long-term strategies, as sudden changes in regulations or lack of clarity in environmental policies can create risks for their operations (Teeter & Sanberg, 2017). Through these collaborations, companies can participate in a broader dialog on environmental policy and contribute to the development of more stable and predictable regulations. This helps companies to navigate uncertainty, and can strengthen their position as leaders in sustainability (Benn et al., 2014).

The uncertainty of environmental regulations and policies is a strategic challenge that companies face to effectively implement business sustainability. Frequent regulatory changes can create high compliance risks, increase operational costs and complicate long-term planning, especially for companies operating in multiple jurisdictions with varying environmental standards. This uncertainty can hinder investment in sustainability innovations as companies may hesitate to allocate resources to projects where regulations are not yet stable.

Non-uniform regulations at the global level can lead to competitive imbalances between companies, where organizations operating in stricter regulatory environments may face greater compliance burdens compared to companies in countries with more relaxed regulations. Companies that do not have an adaptive sustainability strategy will be more vulnerable to operational disruptions and market uncertainty, which in turn can negatively impact their profitability and reputation in the eyes of stakeholders. Therefore, companies need to develop a more flexible approach by strengthening regulatory monitoring systems, building managerial capacity to navigate policy changes, and integrating sustainability into business strategies more dynamically.

Behind the challenges presented by regulatory uncertainty, there are opportunities for companies to strengthen their competitiveness through innovation and strategic collaboration. Companies that are able to anticipate environmental regulatory trends and take proactive steps in the development of green technologies or sustainable business models will be better prepared for change than their competitors. Companies that have integrated sustainability into their long-term strategies, in terms of operations, products, and relationships with stakeholders, tend to be better equipped to meet the demands of stricter regulations. Stricter regulations encourage companies to adopt higher sustainability standards, which can be a competitive advantage to attract investors and consumers who are increasingly concerned about environmental issues.

Companies can build partnerships with governments, research institutions, and non-governmental organizations to contribute to the development of more effective and evidence-based environmental policies. Through active participation in the regulatory dialog, companies can ensure that implemented policies reduce environmental impacts, and provide incentives for innovation and operational efficiency. By implementing a flexible, innovation-oriented and collaboration-based sustainability strategy, companies can turn regulatory uncertainty into an opportunity to build a more resilient, responsive and highly competitive business model in an increasingly sustainability-oriented global business ecosystem.

Factors Causing Lack of Understanding and Awareness of Sustainability among Management and Employees

The lack of understanding and awareness among management and employees about the importance of sustainability in company operations can be caused by various factors. One of the main factors is the lack of adequate education and training on sustainability issues. Many companies do not provide comprehensive training programs to improve employees' knowledge of sustainability so they do not fully understand the impact of their actions on the environment and society (Wang et al., 2016). Without a strong understanding, employees are less likely to realize the importance of sustainability in relation to the wider business (Bui & De Villiers, 2017). This factor has resulted in employees understanding sustainability as a purely administrative obligation rather than as part of the organizational culture that must be established in all aspects of operations.

Another factor contributing to the lack of awareness is the lack of effective communication regarding the company's sustainability policies and initiatives. Information about sustainability is not clearly conveyed to employees so they do not know what is expected of them in terms of sustainability practices (Morsing & Schultz, 2006). Poor communication can create a gap between company goals and employee understanding, ultimately hindering the implementation of sustainability initiatives.

An organizational culture that does not support sustainability can also be a barrier. Employees may feel that sustainability is not a top priority if a company does not integrate sustainability values into its culture and daily practices (Schein, 2010). In an environment where sustainability is not considered important, employees are less likely to be motivated to participate in sustainability-related initiatives, reducing the effectiveness of such programs (Silvestre, 2015).

Another influencing factor is the short-term focus often adopted by management. Many companies are trapped in a short-term profit-oriented mindset that ignores the importance of sustainability as part of a long-term strategy (Epstein & Roy, 2001). When management does not see sustainability as an integral part of business success, they are less likely to invest time and resources to raise awareness and understanding among employees (Rezaee, 2016).

Lack of incentives to participate in sustainability initiatives can also be a hindering factor. If employees do not see direct benefits from their involvement in sustainability programs, they may not feel motivated to contribute (González-Benito & González-Benito, 2006). Companies need to create incentive systems that encourage employees to engage in sustainability practices so that they feel that their contributions are valued and have a tangible impact. Incentives can increase employees' motivation to contribute, feel important, and see positive results from their efforts.

A lack of support from company leadership can hinder awareness and understanding of sustainability. When top management does not demonstrate a strong commitment to sustainability, employees may feel that the initiative is not important (Aguinis & Glavas, 2012). Leaders who support sustainability can initiate policies that encourage environmentally friendly practices, as well as provide the resources and training needed to implement these policies. Support and active involvement from the top have essential to create a strong culture of sustainability throughout the organization.

The complexity of sustainability issues in business is often a major challenge in internalizing sustainability principles into company operations. Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) issues are not only wide-ranging but also interrelated, creating challenges for employees to understand how their actions can contribute to the company's sustainability goals. The absence of a comprehensive understanding can hinder effective implementation of sustainability strategies, lead to a lack of employee engagement, and slow organizational change towards more environmentally and socially responsible practices.

The study by Benn et al. (2014) highlights that without effective communication structures, this complexity can lead to confusion and resistance to change among employees, especially if the long-term benefits of sustainability are not clearly understood. Companies need to develop a systematic educational approach to simplify sustainability information and link it directly to individual work responsibilities and broader business goals. Initiatives such as real case-based training, simulation of the environmental impacts of operational decisions, and integration of sustainability into employee performance evaluation systems can help build awareness and encourage the adoption of more sustainable behaviors in daily activities.

Simplifying sustainability information does not mean removing the inherent complexity of the issue, but rather conveying the information in a way that is easier to understand and relevant to employees' roles in the organization. Data-driven approaches and visualizations can be used to explain the linkages between business activities and sustainability impacts, thereby facilitating understanding and increasing engagement. For example, interactive dashboards that display sustainability indicators in daily operations can help employees understand the extent of their contribution to the company's sustainability targets. Companies can implement a narrative-oriented communication strategy, using success stories and real case studies of how sustainability practices have brought tangible benefits to the business and society. This will help dispel the impression that sustainability is an abstract concept separate from the company's core operations.

Ultimately, if sustainability can be explained in business language that is accessible to all levels of the organization, employees will find it easier to internalize sustainability values and actively apply them in their work processes. Sustainability becomes the responsibility of top-level management, and becomes an integral part of the corporate culture that supports long-term competitiveness.

Challenges in Sustainability Performance Measurement and Reporting and its Impact on Transparency and Accountability

Companies face various challenges to measuring and reporting sustainability performance, which can affect their transparency and accountability in the eyes of stakeholders. One of the main challenges is the lack of consistent standards and metrics for measuring sustainability performance. Various organizations and institutions have developed guidelines and frameworks for sustainability reporting, such as the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) and the Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB), but there is no one universal standard that is widely accepted (Kolk, 2016). This uncertainty can lead to confusion among companies about which metrics to use, hindering their ability to report performance accurately and consistently.

Another challenge companies face is the complexity of the data required to measure sustainability performance. Sustainability performance covers various aspects, including environmental, social, and economic impacts, which often requires collecting data from multiple sources and departments within the organization (Hahn & Kühnen, 2013). This process of data collection and analysis can be very time-consuming and costly, especially for small and medium-sized companies that may not have sufficient resources to conduct comprehensive measurements. Companies may only report on a portion of their sustainability performance, which can reduce transparency.

The challenge of integrating sustainability performance into a company's management system is also a barrier. Many companies still operate with separate management systems for sustainability and other business operations, which can lead to a lack of coordination and understanding of how sustainability relates to overall business objectives (Benn et al., 2014). This misalignment can result in inconsistent and inaccurate reporting, which can further affect stakeholder confidence in the company's commitment to sustainability.

Another significant challenge is the lack of stakeholder involvement in the measurement and reporting process. Many companies do not involve stakeholders, such as employees, customers and local communities, in the development of their sustainability metrics and indicators (Freeman, 1984). Companies may not be able to identify the issues that are most relevant and important to them without stakeholder input, which can reduce the relevance and credibility of sustainability reports (van Bommel, 2018). Greater stakeholder engagement can help companies to understand their expectations and needs, and improve accountability.

Lack of training and understanding among employees can also be a limiting factor in measuring and reporting sustainability performance. If employees are not trained to understand the importance of sustainability and how to measure performance in this area, they may not be able to provide accurate or relevant data (Wang et al., 2016). This can result in errors in reports and reduced transparency, as stakeholders may not get a clear picture of the company's sustainability performance.

The impact of these challenges on transparency and accountability is significant. When companies are unable to accurately measure and report sustainability performance, they risk losing the trust of stakeholders. Without adequate transparency and accountability, company risk being perceived as irresponsible or even covering up their negative impacts on the environment or society, which can ultimately damage their relationships with stakeholders. Investors, consumers and the general public are increasingly demanding transparency in sustainability practices, and the inability to provide clear and accurate information can result in a decline in reputation and loyalty (Eccles et al., 2014). This can affect companies' financial performance and their ability to attract investment.

Finally, the challenge of measuring and reporting sustainability performance can also hinder companies' progress towards achieving their sustainability goals. Without accurate and relevant data, companies may not be able to identify areas where they need to make improvements or develop effective strategies to achieve sustainability goals (Hahn & Kühnen, 2013). Therefore, it is important for companies to address this challenge by developing better measurement systems, engaging stakeholders, and improving training for employees so that they can increase transparency and accountability in the eyes of stakeholders.

Increased transparency and accountability in measuring and reporting sustainability performance are key elements to ensure that companies meet compliance standards, and strengthen relationships with stakeholders. With the adoption of a more accurate and consistent reporting system, companies can avoid greenwashing practices, where sustainability claims that are not supported by valid data can damage the company's credibility. The implementation of widely recognized reporting standards, such as the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) and Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB), allows for more objective comparisons between companies in the same industry, creating a more transparent and competitive business environment.

Companies that are able to demonstrate a strong commitment to sustainability by providing accurate and verified data will have an advantage to attract Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG)-based investments and expand access to more favorable sources of financing. Therefore, strengthening the sustainability reporting system is not just a matter of regulatory compliance, but also an essential business strategy to build competitiveness and strengthen the company's reputation in the global market.

Furthermore, a commitment to transparency and accountability in sustainability also has a direct impact on stakeholder engagement, be it investors, consumers or local communities affected by the company's operations. By ensuring that information related to the company's environmental and social impacts is available and easily accessible, companies can build stronger trust and create long-term value for all stakeholders. Companies that proactively engage stakeholders in the sustainability reporting process are more likely to gain more stable regulatory support and have higher resilience to environmental policy changes.

Openness to communicate sustainability achievements and challenges can increase internal commitment, encourage innovation in resource efficiency, and accelerate the adoption of environmentally friendly technologies. Companies that are able to overcome challenges in sustainability measurement and reporting will be better equipped to deal with market dynamics that are increasingly oriented towards social and environmental responsibility. Adapting to this trend is not just a moral responsibility, but also a business strategy that supports long-term sustainability and more sustainable economic growth.

CONCLUSION

Business sustainability is increasingly becoming a strategic necessity for companies to deal with evolving environmental, social, and regulatory pressures. The uncertainty of environmental regulations and policies poses significant challenges for companies to design effective sustainability strategies, especially in terms of compliance costs and legal risks. The lack of understanding and awareness among management and employees regarding the importance of sustainability is also a major inhibiting factor in the implementation of responsible business practices. Difficulties in measuring and reporting sustainability performance further complicate companies' efforts to improve transparency and accountability in the eyes of stakeholders. Companies that are able to manage these challenges with strategic, innovative and collaborative approaches stand a better chance of enhancing their competitiveness and creating long-term value.

Based on these findings, companies need to adopt more adaptive and flexible strategies to deal with regulatory uncertainty. Investment in research and development for green technology innovation and active engagement in policy dialog with stakeholders can help companies to navigate regulatory changes. Improving education and training for management and employees on sustainability needs to be a priority so that awareness and commitment to responsible business practices can grow throughout the organization. In terms of measuring and reporting sustainability performance, companies are advised to adopt widely recognized reporting standards to increase transparency and credibility. Companies can meet regulatory and market demands, and contribute significantly to global sustainability goals with these measures.

REFERENCES

Aguinis, H., & Glavas, A. (2012). What We Know and Don't Know about Corporate Social Responsibility: A Review and Research Agenda. *Journal of Management*, 38(4), 932-968.

Bansal, P., & Hunter, T. (2003). Strategic Explanations for the Early Adoption of ISO 14001. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 46(3), 289-299.

Benn, S., Dunphy, D., & Griffiths, A. (2014). *Organizational Change for Corporate Sustainability*. Routledge.

Bose, B. K. (2010). Global Warming: Energy, Environmental Pollution, and the Impact of Power Electronics. *IEEE Industrial Electronics Magazine*, 4(1), 6-17.

Bui, B., & De Villiers, C. (2017). Business Strategies and Management Accounting in Response to Climate Change Risk Exposure and Regulatory Uncertainty. *The British Accounting Review*, 49(1), 4-24.

Chen, H., Zeng, S., Lin, H., & Ma, H. (2017). Munificence, Dynamism, and Complexity: How Industry Context Drives Corporate Sustainability. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 26(2), 125-141.

Eccles, R. G., Ioannou, I., & Serafeim, G. (2014). The Impact of Corporate Sustainability on Organizational Processes and Performance. *Management Science*, 60(11), 2835-2857.

Elkington, J. (1997). *Cannibals with Forks: The Triple Bottom Line of 21st Century Business*. Capstone.

Epstein, M. J., & Buhovac, A. R. (2014). *Making Sustainability Work: Best Practices in Managing and Measuring Corporate Social, Environmental, and Economic Impacts*. Greenleaf Publishing.

Epstein, M. J. & M. J. Roy. (2001). Sustainability in Action: Identifying and Measuring the Key Performance Drivers. *Journal of Corporate Citizenship*, 34(5), 585-604.

Esty, D. C., & Winston, A. (2009). *Green to Gold: How Smart Companies Use Environmental Strategy to Innovate, Create Value, and Build Competitive Advantage*. John Wiley & Sons.

Fink, A. (2014). *How to Conduct Surveys: A Step-by-Step Guide*. Sage Publications.

Freeman, R. E. (1984). *Strategic Management: A Stakeholder Approach*. Pitman.

Gibson, R. B. (2006). *Sustainability Assessment: Criteria and Processes*. Earthscan.

González-Benito, J., & González-Benito, O. (2006). The Role of Stakeholders in the Development of Environmental Management Systems. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 15(3), 185-197.

Griffin, M. A., & Grote, G. (2020). When is More Uncertainty Better? A Model of Uncertainty Regulation and Effectiveness. *Academy of Management Review*, 45(4), 745-765.

Hahn, R., & Kühnen, M. (2013). Determinants of Sustainability Reporting: A Review of Empirical Research and Implications for Future Research. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 59, 5-21.

Ioannou, I., & Serafeim, G. (2019). Corporate Sustainability: A Strategy?. *Harvard Business School Accounting & Management Unit Working Paper*, 19-65.

Kolk, A. (2016). The Integration of Sustainability in Corporate Reporting: A Review of the Literature. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 135(3), 1-15.

Kopnina, H., & Blewitt, J. (2014). *Sustainable Business: Key Issues*. Routledge.

Lambin, E. F., & Thorlakson, T. (2018). Sustainability Standards: Interactions between Private Actors, Civil Society, and Governments. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources*, 43(1), 369-393.

Leonard, H. J. (2006). *Pollution and the Struggle for the World Product: Multinational Corporations, Environment, and International Comparative Advantage*.Cambridge University Press.

Longoni, A., & Cagliano, R. (2015). Environmental and Social Sustainability Priorities: Their Integration in Operations Strategies. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 35(2), 216-245.

Morsing, M., & Schultz, M. (2006). Corporate Social Responsibility Communication: Stakeholder Information, Response and Involvement Strategies. *Business Ethics: A European Review*, 15(4), 323-338.

Porter, M. E., & Van Der Linde, C. (1995). Green and Competitive: Ending the Stalemate. *Harvard Business Review*, 73(5), 120-134.

Rezaee, Z. (2016). Business Sustainability Research: A Theoretical and Integrated Perspective. *Journal of Accounting Literature*, 36(1), 48-64.

Schein, E. H. (2010). *Organizational Culture and Leadership*. Jossey-Bass.

Silvestre, B. S. (2015). Sustainable Supply Chain Management in Emerging Economies: Environmental Turbulence, Institutional Voids and Sustainability Trajectories. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 167, 156-169.

Soler, C. E., & Marce, S. A. C. (2018). Sustainable Companies, Addressing Climate Change. A Theoretical Review. *Business and Management Studies*, 4(1), 33-40.

Snyder, H. (2019). Literature Review as a Research Methodology: An Overview and Guidelines. *Journal of Business Research*, 104, 333-339.

Teeter, P., & Sandberg, J. (2017). Constraining or Enabling Green Capability Development? How Policy Uncertainty Affects Organizational Responses to Flexible Environmental Regulations. *British Journal of Management*, 28(4), 649-665.

Van Bommel, K. (2018). Managing Tensions in Sustainable Business Models: Exploring Instrumental and Integrative Strategies. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 196, 829-841.

Wang, Y., Gunasekaran, A., & Ngai, E. W. T. (2016). Big Data in Logistics and Supply Chain Management: an Overview. *International Journal of Production Research*, 54(1), 1-21.

Webster, J., & Watson, R. T. (2002). Analyzing the Past to Prepare for the Future: Writing a Literature Review. *MIS Quarterly*, 26(2), 13-23.